

Acidified and ultrafiltered recovered coagulants from water treatment works sludge for removal of phosphorus from wastewater

James Keeley^a, Andrea D. Smith^b, Simon J. Judd^a, Peter Jarvis^{a,*}

^a Cranfield Water Science Institute, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK

^b Severn Trent Water LTD., Severn Trent Centre, PO Box 5309, Coventry CV3 9FH, UK

*Corresponding author, p.jarvis@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract

This study used a range of treated water treatment works sludge options for the removal of phosphorus (P) from primary wastewater. These options included the application of ultrafiltration for recovery of the coagulant from the sludge. The treatment performance and whole life cost (WLC) of the various recovered coagulant (RC) configurations have been considered in relation to fresh ferric sulphate (FFS). Pre-treatment of the sludge with acid followed by removal of organic and particulate contaminants using a 2kD ultrafiltration membrane resulted in a reusable coagulant that closely matched the performance FFS. Unacidified RC showed 53% of the phosphorus removal efficiency of FFS, at a dose of 20 mg/L as Fe and a contact time of 90 mins. A longer contact time of 8 h improved performance to 85% of FFS. P removal at the shorter contact time improved to 88% relative to FFS by pre-acidifying the sludge to pH 2, using an acid molar ratio of 5.2:1 mol H⁺:Fe. Analysis of the removal of P showed that rapid phosphate precipitation accounted for >65% of removal with FFS. However, for the acidified RC a slower adsorption

mechanism dominated; this was accelerated at a lower pH. A cost-benefit analysis showed that relative to dosing FFS and disposing waterworks sludge to land, the 20 year WLC was halved by transporting acidified or unacidified sludge up to 80 km for reuse in wastewater treatment. A maximum inter-site distance was determined to be 240 km above the current disposal route at current prices. Further savings could be made if longer contact times were available to allow greater P removal with unacidified RC.

Keywords

Chemical phosphorus removal, coagulant recovery, ultrafiltration, water treatment works sludge, ferric, coagulation, phosphorus, green chemistry

1. Introduction

Coagulation and flocculation is a key process at potable water treatment works (WTW). Whilst still considered a low-cost treatment method (accounting for ~5% of the total cost of water production and distribution, Niquette et al., 2004), it nonetheless consumes >325,000 tonnes of coagulant annually in the UK alone (Henderson et al., 2009). This generates >182,000 tonnes of waste sludge in the form of water treatment residuals (WTRs) (Pan et al., 2004), demanding significant costs for its disposal (UKWIR, 1999).

Wastewater treatment works (WWTW) also require coagulant to remove phosphorus. In China, industrial effluents are required to meet 0.5 mg/L P (Pan et al., 2009) and for protected waters in Europe and North America consents could become 50 µg/L and 10 µg/L (Remy et al, 2014; Sengupta and Pandit, 2011). Coagulants offer a simpler means of removing P compared to biological nutrient removal (Blackall et al., 2002) but require 2-3-fold higher doses when P consents move from 2 mg/L to <1 mg/L (Ofwat, 2005) as they become less efficient at higher removals. Reuse of alternative chemical P removal agents could offer a more sustainable and cost effective treatment option for water and wastewater utility companies (Babatunde and Zhao, 2007). P removal from wastewater using WTRs is already widespread, as disposal of WTRs to sewer is convenient and frugal as it avoids sludge dewatering, haulage and disposal fees (Walsh, 2009). However, this approach is limited because fewer than 30% of the WTWs in the UK have a sewer connection. Furthermore, when WTRs are disposed to the sewer, it is

usually carried out on an *ad hoc* basis with limited control on the process (UKWIR, 1999; Walsh, 2009).

Reuse of acid-recovered coagulants from WTRs has already been considered in potable treatment (Okuda et al., 2014). Recycling coagulant reduces coagulant demand and waste production. However, the acidification process required is non-selective and the carryover of organic compounds with the coagulant elevates formation of disinfection by-products if used in potable treatment (Keeley et al., 2014a). Numerous purification methods have been documented but at present none adequately combine selectivity with feasible implementation (Keeley et al., 2014b).

Reusing recovered coagulants (RCs) in wastewater treatment can provide similar advantages as reuse in potable treatment but is less sensitive to the presence of impurities. WTRs have proven to be effective and economically viable in a number of wastewater treatment configurations (King et al., 1975; Masides et al., 1988; Parsons and Daniels, 1999; Jimenez et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2014). However, the underlying removal mechanisms remain poorly understood (Thistleton et al., 2002; Szabo et al., 2008). This study aims to compare the removal mechanisms and the whole life cost (WLC) of several WTR reuse approaches with conventional chemical P removal using fresh coagulants.

Ferric coagulants typically remove 95-96% of P after 90 mins and M^{3+} :P molar ratios of 2-4:1 (Parsons and Daniels, 1999; Szabo et al., 2008) using two

main mechanisms: precipitation and adsorption (Hsu, 1976). Firstly, metal sulphate or chloride salts rapidly hydrolyse, forming metal hydroxides and, when phosphorus is present, metal phosphates. Optimal mixing (average G values $>100 \text{ s}^{-1}$; Szabo et al., 2008) and a pH <9 (Garlarneau et al., 1997) can minimise wasted chemical and surplus sludge production (Thistleton et al., 2002) and allow rapid removal of up to 100 times more phosphate per mol of Fe than adsorption (Smith et al., 2008). Phosphate precipitation can be enhanced further by removing competing hydroxide species at pHs of 4.5-5.0 (Thistleton et al., 2002). As coprecipitation hydrolysis occurs, the precipitate particles grow in size (Takacs et al., 2006), before aggregating and settling (Jarvis et al., 2006).

Secondly, adsorption occurs through contact of phosphates with iron hydroxides (Yang et al., 2010). These have a high phosphate removal capacity ($\sim 340 \text{ mg P/g Fe}$ after 36 h) but at a much slower rate ($\sim 0.5 \text{ mg P/g Fe/minute}$; Parsons and Daniels, 1999) than for precipitation ($\sim 150 \text{ mg P/g Fe/minute}$, initially; Szabo et al., 2008). Phosphate adsorption onto the metal hydroxide surface is fast but limited by slow phosphate migration within the metal hydroxide micropores which has been estimated to be as slow as $<4 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Makris et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2011).

Using a lower pH to neutralize hydroxides released by phosphate adsorption can increase adsorption efficacy by 2-3 fold (Razali et al., 2007; Babatunde et al., 2009). Unacidified WTRs and chemically similar ferric hydroxide media can match the performance of FFS (fresh ferric sulphate) in various

configurations (Babatunde et al., 2009; Bai et al., 2014). However, the reliance of adsorption for P removal requires ten times higher molar doses of 50:1, M^{3+} :P (Genz et al., 2004) than coagulants with the additional capability to remove P using the precipitation pathway. Solubilisation of WTRs by acidification to pH 2 can increase the chemical efficiency of P removal by facilitating precipitation pathways (Parsons and Daniels, 1999; Jimenez et al., 2007) and by favouring phosphate uptake by adsorption. The cost of acidification may be offset by the value of greater P removal efficiency than if WTRs were dosed at ambient pH. The contribution each mechanism makes is dependent on many factors but some suggest that adsorption dominates, accounting for 65% of total P removal (Yang et al., 2010). Other studies report that when sufficiently mixed to maximize precipitation, adsorption accounts for only 25% of total removal (Smith et al., 2008).

Understanding how the P removal mechanisms operate when using recovered RCs that have undergone varying degrees of purification is a very under explored area of research but is an essential consideration for the appropriate addition of WTRs into wastewater for P removal. These varying contributions are important considerations in the use of WTR-based P removal and were examined alongside other chemical and physical factors, in terms of their effect on performance and process economics, relative to FFS.

2. Methodology

2.1. Assessing RC Treatment Performance

Jar tests were used to replicate chemical treatment of primary wastewater and to examine the removal performance and treated effluent quality. Various forms of ferric based RCs were compared against the performance of commercial grade FFS (measured as 20% Fe). Screened municipal wastewater was collected daily from a 2000 population equivalent WWTW (Cranfield, UK). This wastewater was used for all jar test experiments (see Supporting Information (SI) A for details on the wastewater composition).

Dewatered sludge cake (14% dry solids; DS) was taken from a 120-180 MLD WTW treating upland water (Derbyshire, UK) that used ferric sulphate coagulant. Sludge cake (1g, wet) was dissolved in 1L of 0.1M analytical grade nitric acid, before analysis for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) using a Shimadzu TOC-V analyser, and Fe using a PerkinElmer atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS). Acid demand and Fe solubilisation were measured with dilute sludge (1g/L) titrated against dilute sulphuric acid.

A range of RC options were prepared from the sludge cake. These were: i) raw dewatered sludge RC; ii) unacidified RC (dewatered sludge diluted to 2.8% DS in deionised water); iii) Acidified RC (as previous but acidified using concentrated sulfuric acid, until the required pH was held); iv) Acidified and ultrafiltered RC (as previous followed by filtration through a 2 kD molecular weight cut off (MWCO) polyethersulfone membrane (Sterlitech Corporation,

Kent, WA, USA), using apparatus previously described (Keeley et al., 2014b).

See SI A for details on the RC chemical composition.

Jar tests were conducted at Fe doses of 0- 50 mg/L for all RCs, using a Phipps & Bird PB-700 jar tester. The jar tester mixed cylindrical beakers containing 1 L of wastewater for 1 minute at 200 rpm ($G = 128 \text{ s}^{-1}$), followed by 30 rpm ($G = 7.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$) for 15 mins, and a 30 minute unmixed settlement stage. Average velocity gradient conversions (G values) were taken from a previous study, using the same apparatus (Sharp et al., 2006). Samples were taken from the supernatant and immediately analysed for total P, total N and chemical oxygen demand (COD) using Hach cell test kits. Removal of contaminants was assessed by comparing its initial concentration with the concentration in the treated water. Residual Fe was analysed using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). The sample pH and turbidity was also measured.

Examination of P Removal Mechanisms. Using an adaptation of a previous method (Szabo, 2008), jar tests were run using the different coagulants and were mixed with wastewater using a 90 second mix (200 rpm) and a 60 minute mix (30 rpm). Samples were taken 2 mins and 1 h after dosing with 20 mg/L Fe to determine P removal. These samples were immediately filtered (0.45 μm , nylon) and analysed for soluble P. This process was repeated with pre-hydrolysed and precipitated coagulants. To achieve pre-hydrolysis, the coagulants were adjusted to pH 7 prior to dosing. Acidified and unacidified RCs (2.8% DS) were fractionated using successive filtration through 840, 500,

210 105, 60 and 10 μm polypropylene meshes (Spectrum Laboratories,
Netherlands). Each fraction was analysed for Fe using AAS before being
dosed into jar tests at normalised doses of 20 mg/L Fe.

Flocculation Time and Prolonged Mixing. The optimum Fe dose was
determined and repeated for all the coagulant types, with different flocculation
durations of 5, 10, 30 and 120 mins. Prolonged mixing for 2, 4, 8 and 24 h at
100 rpm ($G = 43 \text{ s}^{-1}$) was studied to simulate the effect of longer contact times
that occur in settlement tanks or if Fe is dosed to the sewer, upstream of the
WWTW ($\sim 1 \text{ hour/km}$; Gutierrez et al., 2010). To simulate ideal and non-ideal
mixing conditions as may be experienced in full scale WTTWW systems, a set
of tests were carried out where the stirrer speed during the rapid mix phase of
the jar test was varied from 20, 60, 140 and 300 rpm ($5, 21, 72$ and 250 s^{-1} ,
respectively), followed by 30 mins flocculation at 30 rpm. Selected treated
waters were analysed further for alkalinity consumption, measured by titration
to pH 4.5 against 0.02M HCl, using a pH meter. Floc size was measured
using a Malvern Mastersizer.

2.2. Implementation Modelling

A case study was used to investigate the WLC of different RC strategies for P
removal. The results were validated with a water company's asset-planning
business tool. This method allowed a direct comparison of options with
differing operational and capital economic biases. This considered the same
WTW from where the sludge samples were taken and a theoretical WTTWW,
80 km away by road, that had a coagulant demand in excess of that provided

by the WTW's sludge. This distance was nominally selected to allow analysis but was realistic for the European treatment context. Technical details of these sites are outlined below:

- A real WTW treating 150 MLD and generating 33,000 wet tonnes of dewatered ferric sludge per annum (14% DS, of which 25% is Fe), which is currently spread to land, 32 km away.
- A WWTW requiring $\leq 9,000$ t/y of 13% Fe commercial ferric sulphate, based on a molar Fe:P dose of 1.5:1 (equal to the Fe content of the WTW's sludge).

Logistical and operational parameters were analysed to indicate potential sensitivities to changes in market prices, process efficiency and inter-site distance. Bench-scale empirical data were used as design parameters for capital and operating cost models for sludge reception, acidification and purification (McGivney and Kawamura, 2008; SI D). These were used with chemical costs from water companies, and cost engineering data to calculate WLC over a typical payback period of 20 years (Gaterell et al., 2000).

Ultrafiltration performance data was taken from previous bench-scale studies, using a flux of $15 \text{ L/m}^2/\text{h}$ and a permeate Fe concentration of 2 g/L (Keeley et al., 2014b). Sensitivity analysis was used to identify potential effects of improved efficiency and external price changes. This involved measuring the percentage difference from a baseline 20 year WLC, following a 50% increase in component cost (Verrecht et al., 2008).

233

234 Total project capital costs were based on the sum of component capital costs
235 (SI D), plus an additional 10% for piping; 5% for groundworks; 20% for
236 electrical and controls; and 35% for engineering, legal and administration
237 costs (McGivney and Kawamura, 2008).

238

239 Chemical demand OPEX was scaled on the basis of specific Fe:P removal
240 performance and acid demand, which were both experimentally determined.
241 The cost of transport was modelled using commercial data tables (Road
242 Haulage Association, 2013) and was validated using quotes from commercial
243 hauliers (SI D).

244

245 **3. Results and Discussion**

246 **3.1. Chemical Factors**

247 For acidified RC and FFS, increasing Fe dose up to 20 mg/L significantly
248 improved P removal (up to 2.1:1 molar ratio of Fe:P, Figure 1) and was used
249 as the optimum dose for subsequent experiments. At 20 mg/L Fe, P removal
250 varied between the coagulant types: FFS removed 84%; ultrafiltered RCs
251 84%; acidified RCs, 64%; and just 16% with raw cake. These results were
252 consistent with removals at a similar molar dose of 3:1 Fe:P observed in
253 previous studies (Parsons and Daniels, 1999). At 50 mg/L Fe (5:1 molar Fe:P)
254 P removals increased to 97%, 93%, 84% and 22%, respectively. Prior dilution
255 of the sludge cake did not improve P removal but was used in subsequent
256 experiments to ensure consistent dispersion of the coagulant. The results
257 here therefore show that purifying acidified WTW sludge through a UF system

can result in a coagulant that can perform nearly as effectively as a pure coagulant chemical at like for like doses.

The differing physico-chemical properties of the RCs can explain the different removal performances observed. The high P removal at lower Fe:P ratios of 2.1:1 observed with ultrafiltered and acidified RC was due to the iron being available entirely in soluble form, thus giving a similar removal mechanism to FFS (Thistleton, 2002). Lower removal with unfiltered, acidified RC compared with the ultrafiltered RC was due to the presence of more organic-Fe complexes in the unfiltered RC as well as much higher proportions of insoluble Fe (55% compared with <1% in the ultrafiltered RC). The bound and solid Fe compounds were then not available for P removal by direct precipitative mechanisms which has been observed elsewhere (Wang, 2012). This also accounts for the poorer performance of unacidified RC, where the insoluble Fe increased to >99%.

This was further supported from size fractionation analysis of the respective RCs. It was clear that the form of available iron was very different in acidified and unacidified RCs (Figure 2). Over 60% of the available iron was in size fractions that were smaller than 10 μm when sludge was acidified whilst this was <10% for the unacidified form (this equates to 2.6 g/L and 0.1 g/l Fe in this size range for acidified and unacidified RCs respectively). This indicates that for an equivalent iron dose, both more soluble iron will be available for direct reaction with P and smaller particulates will be present for surface adsorption for acidified WTRs.

283

284 COD and turbidity removal followed similar trends with increasing ferric dose
285 (Figure 1). At 20 mg/L Fe, FFS removed 51% of the COD and 80% of the
286 turbidity; for ultrafiltered acidified RC removal was 32% and 68%,
287 respectively; and for acidified RC, 43% and 68%, respectively. The organic
288 content of wastewater treated by the raw sludge cake slightly increased COD
289 levels by 6% and left turbidity unchanged.

290

291 Whilst ferric coagulants are effective at P removal, they can consume
292 wastewater alkalinity and elevate residual Fe concentrations. At Fe doses of
293 ≤ 20 mg/L, residual Fe was maintained < 3 mg/L for all of the tested coagulant
294 sludges, with FFS yielding a residual of 1.4 mg/L. These residual levels were
295 higher than were expected and would exceed the European *Environmental*
296 *Quality Standard* final effluent discharge limit of 1 mg/L as total Fe,
297 (Environment Agency, 2007) but further physical separation by downstream
298 settlement (Parsons and Daniels, 1999) and filtration would mitigate this. The
299 higher values observed here were therefore likely to be due to the short
300 reaction and settling times of 30 mins used in these jar tests in comparison to
301 a typical > 2 hour residence time in full-scale clarification systems
302 (Tchobanoglous et al., 2003). For ultrafiltered and unfiltered acidified RC, Fe
303 doses of 20 to 50 mg/L led to a rapid rise in residual Fe in treated wastewater,
304 increasing by a rate of 0.05 mg/L residual Fe per additional mg/L Fe dosed,
305 up to a maximum of 5 mg/L (Figure 1). Here, soluble Fe-DOC complexes
306 remained in the treated wastewater. Conversely, for FFS, higher doses led to

a slight decrease in residual Fe as direct precipitation of iron hydroxide was promoted.

From an initial pH value of 7.8 and at 20 mg/L Fe, all coagulants maintained an end pH within the starting value by <0.6 units. Alkalinity titrations with treated wastewater against dilute HCl gave final alkalinity of 416, 428, 340 and 456 mg/L as CaCO₃, for FFS, acidified, ultrafiltered and raw sludge cake RCs, respectively, compared to an undosed blank value of 524 mg/L. These all left sufficient alkalinity for subsequent nitrification, given that the measured total nitrogen was 48 ±4 mg/L and a requirement for 7 mg CaCO₃ per g of NH₄⁺-N (Liu and Wang, 2012).

To discriminate between the P removal mechanisms seen when using various RCs, P removal after two mins was compared to that after one hour. Removal was stopped at the time of sampling by filtration, so only soluble P (P_{sol}) removal can be discussed, however this was >70% of TP for the wastewater. FFS achieved 90% overall P_{sol} removal within two mins of dosing (Figure 3). Formation of ferric-phosphate precipitates was the main removal route, due to the high stoichiometric efficiency (~225 mg P/g Fe in 2 mins) which was achieved much faster than for adsorption, which is typically <30 mg P/g Fe per hour (Parsons and Daniels, 1999; Smith et al., 2008). This was confirmed when FFS was pre-hydrolysed before dosing, such that P removal via precipitation could not occur. While some P removal still occurred, through adsorption onto the preformed ferric hydroxide, it accounted for 20% of the removal achieved using FFS. In addition, there was only marginal subsequent

removal (0.6 mg/L P_{sol}) after one hour with FFS. This confirmed the predominance of the precipitation mechanism for FFS giving >65% of overall P_{sol} removal.

The RCs gave slower removal (2 mg/L/h), with a greater proportion of P_{sol} removal achieved after one hour when they were directly dosed (between 50-80% of the overall removal). Similar removals to FFS were observed for the acidified RCs (filtered and unfiltered) when coagulants were pre-hydrolysed, with most removal occurring after 2 minutes (Figure 3). For the unfiltered acidified pre-hydrolysed RC, there was a slight increase in the average soluble P concentration in the wastewater after 1 hour of mixing. This may have been caused by some release of P into the wastewater from the sludge or within the error of measurement of the P concentration given that the increase was only 0.2 mg/L P. Very low levels of P removal were observed for the pre-hydrolysed unacidified RC. These results were expected for the unacidified RC, which was predominantly organic laden ferric hydroxide but more surprising for the soluble Fe^{3+} dominated acidified RCs. Inhibition of precipitation through complexing with organic compounds may account for this (Wang et al., 2012) but the ultrafiltered RC, with a lower organic content, did not show any greater P_{sol} removal at 2 mins (Figure 3). The additional water in the acidified RCs (>10 times more dilute than FFS) offers a further explanation. The increased water content would mediate the hydrolysis of ferric sulphate on addition to the wastewater and impede contact with P_{sol} while precipitation occurred. For the directly dosed RCs, subsequent removal after one hour gave a greater contribution to overall P_{sol} removal (~50%). This

was due to more favourable equilibrium conditions driving adsorption onto solids in the RC (metal hydroxides and other complexes).

Previous work investigating specific removal rates of P using conventional coagulants agrees with the findings here. Specific removals of ~160 mg P/g Fe (after one hour) for the acidified RC were intermediate between those for FFS (276 mg P/g Fe; Parsons and Daniels, 1999) and metal hydroxides (13-20 mg P/g Fe; Genz et al., 2004), suggesting a combination of mediated precipitation and adsorption as the removal mechanisms. The closest comparative specific removal in the literature was for a wastewater treated with fresh ferric chloride under poor mixing conditions (163 mg P/g Fe), where a similar combination of mechanisms was proposed to occur (Smith et al., 2008).

Precipitation and adsorption of phosphate can be increased by 2-3-fold by removing competing hydroxide species at lower pH values (Parsons and Daniels, 1999; Razali et al., 2007). Therefore, P removal was examined over a range of acidic pH (Figure 4 and SI B). Ultrafiltered RC closely tracks the performance of FFS, removing 81% and 74% of P at a sludge pH of 2, respectively. This similarity was due to the exclusion of insoluble Fe from the sludge as well as 50% DOC removal by the ultrafiltration membrane (Keeley et al., 2014a). When dosed, normalised to total Fe, this ensured similar Fe availability and minimal interference from organic compounds (Wang et al., 2012). P removals with FFS and ultrafiltered RC remained unchanged from pH 4.5 to 3 but removed a further 1.5 mg/L P as the pH was lowered to 2. The

end pH for the jar tests was similar for all coagulants tested at each pH (Figure 4). A pH of 1 enabled even greater P removals but was associated with a significant decrease in average treated wastewater end pH to below 6.8 (Figure 4), 0.5 units below the pH values recommended to ensure sufficient alkalinity for downstream processes. P removal with unfiltered RC increased more steadily with progressively lower pH values. This was due to an increased proportion of soluble Fe available (from 16 to 173 and 265 mg/L at pH 4.8, 3 and 2, respectively) for precipitation and reductions in the wastewater pH.

Ultrafiltered RC gave consistently higher Fe in the treated wastewater by between 0.5-1.0 mg/L than the other coagulants between coagulant pH values of 2 and 4.5. This correlated with the higher residual Fe (Figure 1B) and turbidity (Figure 1C) seen at higher doses for ultrafiltered sludge. These data suggest that while the most effective RC in terms of P removal, ultrafiltered sludge produces weaker flocs that are prone to releasing colloidal metal-organic complexes at higher mixing velocities. Alternatively, some stable ferric-organic complexes may remain unreactive and soluble in the acidified RC (Keeley et al., 2014b).

3.2. Physical Factors

Non-ideal mixing conditions are a common cause of coagulant inefficiencies at treatment works (Szabo et al., 2008) and can reduce chemical removal efficiency by 5-fold (Smith et al., 2008). Using a similar method used to examine P_{sol} removal within two mins, removals immediately after different

rapid mix intensities were examined to determine the importance of effective mixing when using RCs. Both FFS and RCs had increased removals as mixing intensity increased from 5 s^{-1} to 75 s^{-1} (Figure 5) which is comparable to the optimum requirement (100 s^{-1} ; Szabo et al., 2008). For FFS, removals increased by 3.5 mg/L (3 times the 5 s^{-1} mixing condition), while the RCs increased from $0.0\text{-}0.5 \text{ mg/L}$, at 5 s^{-1} to $\sim 1.0 \text{ mg/L}$ at 75 s^{-1} and above. In the case of FFS, good mixing is required to promote dispersal of the coagulant for reaction with P. For RCs, where adsorption processes are more important, increased mixing improves mass transfer of P onto the surface of available adsorbent materials.

A further consideration is the impact mixing has on resultant floc size given that effective P removal relies on separation of the solids in (and on) which the P is present (Figure 5). The FFS formed the largest flocs, with a median size of $330\text{-}350 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for initial rapid mixing intensity between $5\text{-}75 \text{ s}^{-1}$. RCs generally had smaller floc sizes, with a maximum median size of $250 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ after poor mixing ($<20 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Increased mixing to 75 s^{-1} appeared to impede floc growth, giving a smaller median size of $100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for acidified RCs and $200 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for unacidified sludge. This was a reflection of the increased proportion of insoluble fractions in the unacidified RCs. Increased mixing intensity up to 250 s^{-1} led to a decrease in the size of the FFS flocs. Similar observations have been seen before as rapid mixing intensity increases during coagulation experiments, such that at high mixing intensity, flocs form then break within the rapid mix period that are then unable to effectively regrow (Aktas et al. 2014). The same observation was seen for the RC flocs, albeit at lower mixing

intensity thresholds. However, for the RCs, floc size then increased at the highest mixing intensity. This was likely to be due to the breakage of the particulates/colloidal aggregates already present in the RCs releasing more Fe surface area that was then available to aggregate particles into larger flocs. These results indicate that RCs will produce flocs that will not be as effectively removed in clarification systems than for FFS due to the smaller floc size.

The hydraulic retention time in settlement tanks at WWTWs is typically 2 h, following a flocculation time of typically >30 mins, providing sufficient contact time for P adsorption (Tchobanoglous et al., 2003). Extended jar tests at a moderate mixing intensity of 43 s^{-1} gave an insight to the changing rates of P removal over several hours. All coagulants showed fastest removal rates in the first two hours, with 3.6, 3.1, 2.5 and 1.9 mg/L/h for FFS, ultrafiltered, acidified and unacidified RCs, respectively (SI C). While FFS and ultrafiltered RCs provided no further removal, acidified and unacidified RCs continued for a further 6 h, at 0.2 and 0.4 mg/L/h, respectively. After 2 h, this equated to 82%, 71%, 56% and 52% TP removal with fresh, ultrafiltered, acidified and unacidified RCs, respectively. After 8 h, all RCs except the dewatered sludge cake RC achieved P removals within 15% of FFS, showing the importance of adsorption mechanisms for the unfiltered RCs.

The continued removal contribution from adsorption onto ferric precipitates in the sludge over the timescales typical of full scale WWTWs offers the potential to obviate the need for acidification of WTRs, provided the treatment

stream allows sufficient contact time. A key consideration for determining the optimal ferric-based phosphorus removal approach is the available contact time within existing treatment stages: for FFS and ultrafiltered sludge this is relatively unimportant but for acidified and unacidified sludges, extended contact time will benefit removal performance.

3.3. Implementing Recovered Coagulant

The assessment of treatment efficacy and acid demand enables a direct comparison of the efficiency of FFS to RCs. Relative to P removal performance of FFS, at a dose of 20 mg/L and 1 hour of mixing, unacidified RC was 53% as efficient; acidified RC 88%; and ultrafiltered RC 95% (Figure 3). The molar requirement of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\text{:Fe}$ required to acidify RC to pH to 2 was 2.6:1 (SI B). This exceeds the 1.5:1 stoichiometric requirement but compares to empirical values seen previously (Parsons and Daniels, 1999).

The value of RC was considered in terms of its P removal performance when compared to FFS. For example, if 1 tonne of FFS costs £100 and can remove 'x' amount of P and if 1 tonne of RC can remove 0.75 of 'x', then its value is £75/tonne. In other words it can offset that value of FFS. In this case, the FFS required would be £25 or 0.25 tonne; the amount required to supplement the recovered coagulant. The case study considered a WWTWs that dosed FFS for P-removal and used that as a base level cost. Each coagulant recovery scenario measured the cost benefit of offsetting some of the FFS demand with RC. In each case, some FFS is required to supplement the recovered RC and this incurs a cost, the "FFS required".

482

483 For the hypothetical, but realistic situation considered, it was shown that the
484 acidification step plays a critical role in the viability of using RCs (Table 1).
485 The whole life cost (WLC) of using three coagulant recovery techniques was
486 lower than for dosing FFS including disposal of the resultant sludge to landfill.
487 The lowest WLC was given by dosing acidified RC, closely followed by
488 transport and dosing of unacidified RC. Such similarity in WLC shows that the
489 acidification cost is almost equal to the value of improved P removal
490 performance. These reuse strategies nearly halve the 20 year WLC of the
491 FFS option. The ultrafiltered RC also gave a lower WLC than for FFS, but was
492 closer than for the other two RC options. The improved treatment
493 performance of ultrafiltered sludge was counteracted by the high CAPEX and
494 OPEX of the UF system. Although the obvious conclusion from this being that
495 ultrafiltered RC is not as viable as unfiltered options, additional benefits from
496 the membrane filtration not included in the analysis may still make the process
497 worthy of consideration. This includes a more reliable and purer Fe coagulant
498 being dosed, more reliable solid liquid separation from a more robust floc
499 forming and improved removal of other impurities (chemical and biological)
500 from the RC that will not be added to treated wastewater.

501

502 Direct connection of the WTW and WWTW sites with a sewer provided the
503 lowest OPEX but this was insufficient to offset the construction CAPEX and
504 gave rise to the highest WLC: £5.5m above conventional treatment. However,
505 it is noted that if sludge was sent to sewers instead of land, the significant

OPEX of WTRs dewatering at the WTW would be saved (Babatunde and Zhao, 2007).

Reuse of sludge within WWTW is dependent on external market forces and operational parameters. Sensitivity analysis highlighted the variables that WLC was most vulnerable to (SI E). Acid and the inferior P removal of RCs compared to FFS were the main contributors to overall costs for reuse of acidified and raw sludge, respectively. A 50% increase in acid unit price would increase the 20 year WLC of acidified RC by 16%. A 50% increase in FFS price would increase unacidified RC WLC by 28% due to the requirement of having to top-up the dose with fresh coagulant. The other main variable is inter-site distance, which determines transport costs. A 50% increase in distance or cost would increase WLC for all sludge transport reuse strategies by 10-17%. In the case of a connecting sewer, distance is the main determinant of CAPEX, with a 50% increase in distance leading to a 39% increase in WLC. Further analysis was used to determine the maximum inter-site distance that would still allow 20 year WLC reductions over FFS. This gave the maximum distance above the existing route to disposal to be: 240 km for acidified and unacidified RCs; 50 km for acidified and ultrafiltered RC; and 16 km for a connecting sewer (SI E). Shorter distances would significantly improve the processes' WLC.

Mechanistic, empirical, and economic analyses have shown that recovered ferric coagulants and raw WTRs are effective at removing P from wastewater under economically viable conditions. Within the limitations defined by the

economic analysis, this will allow utilities to develop strategies that minimize coagulant demand and disposal of WTRs, whilst better protecting the aquatic environment through more extensive nutrient removal. The impact of the formation of smaller flocs on full scale wastewater clarification and dewatering systems and high residual metals when using RCs needs further investigation. These effects may be mitigated by the addition of low doses of supplementary fresh coagulant or from longer flocculation times. These areas should be the focus of future research in coagulant recovery.

4. Conclusions

Experimental and economic analyses have highlighted a number of factors regarding the reuse of WTRs for wastewater nutrient removal.

- Acidified and ultrafiltered sludge resulted in similar P removal as for FFS when dosed under short contact periods (16 mins of mixing).
- Adsorption controlled P removal for unacidified RCs (slow). Precipitative driven processes (fast) dominated for fresh coagulants. While for acidified RCs a combination of processes was evident.
- For fresh coagulants floc size was significantly larger than for the RCs, which has significant implications on the downstream settleability of flocs.
- Reuse of acidified or unacidified RCs can reduce the 20 year WLC by almost 50% in comparison to using conventional use of FFS and WTR disposal to land. Ultrafiltration increased WLC but was still significantly lower than conventional practice.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from EPSRC through their funding of the STREAM Industrial Doctorate Centre, and from the project sponsors: Severn Trent Water, Anglian Water, Northumbrian Water and Scottish Water.

References

- Aktas, T. A., Fujibayashi, M., Takeda, F., Maruo, C., Nishimura, O. (2014) "The role of rapid mixing condition on picophytoplankton floc growth" *Desalination and Water Treatment*, vol 52 (7-9), 1404-1413.
- Babatunde, A.O., Zhao, Y.Q. (2007) "Constructive approaches toward water treatment works sludge management: an international review of beneficial re-uses" *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 37 (2), 129–164.
- Babatunde, A.O., Zhao, Y.Q., Burke, A.M., Morris, M.A., Hanrahan J.P. (2009) "Characterization of aluminium-based water treatment residual for potential phosphorus removal in engineered wetlands" *Environmental Pollution* 157 (10), 2830-2836.
- Bai, L., Wang, C., Pei, Y., Zhao, J. (2014) "Reuse of drinking water treatment residuals in continuous stirred tank reactor for phosphate removal from urban wastewater" *Environmental Technology*, (just-accepted), 1-22.

Blackall, L.L., Crocetti, G.R., Saunders, A.M., Bond, P.L. (2002) "A review and update of the microbiology of enhanced biological phosphorus removal in wastewater treatment plants", *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek*, vol. 81 (1-4), 681-691.

Elliott, H.A., O'Connor, G.A., Lu, P., Brinton, S. (2002) "Influence of water treatment residuals on phosphorus solubility and leaching" *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 31 (4), 1362-1369.

Environment Agency (2007) "Proposed EQS for Water Framework Directive: Annex VIII substances: iron (total dissolved)", Science Report: SC040038/SR9.

Galarneau, E., Gehr, R. (1997) "Phosphorus removal from wastewaters: experimental and theoretical support for alternative mechanisms" *Water Research*, 31 (2), 328-338.

Gaterell, M.R., Gay, R., Wilson, R., Gochin, R.J., Lester, J.N. (2000) "An economic and environmental evaluation of the opportunities for substituting phosphorus recovered from wastewater treatment works in existing UK fertiliser markets", *Environmental Technology*, vol. 21 (19), 1067-1084.

Genz, A., Kornmüller, A., Jekel, M. (2004) "Advanced phosphorus removal from membrane filtrates by adsorption on activated aluminium oxide and granulated ferric hydroxide" *Water research*, 38 (16), 3523-3530.

Gutierrez, O., Park, D., Sharma, K.R., Yuan, Z. (2010) "Iron salts dosage for sulfide control in sewers induces chemical phosphorus removal during wastewater treatment" *Water research*, 44 (11), 3467-3475.

Henderson, J.L., Raucher, R.S., Weicksel, S., Oxenford, J., Mangravite, F. (2009) "Supply of Critical Drinking Water and Wastewater Treatment Chemicals - A White Paper for Understanding Recent Chemical Price Increases and Shortages", 4225, Water Research Foundation, Denver, CO.

Hsu, P.H. (1976) "Comparison of iron (III) and aluminium in precipitation of phosphate from solution", *Water Research*, vol. 10, 90-907.

Ippolito, J.A., Barbarick, K.A., Heil, D.M., Chandler, J.P., Redente, E.F. (2003) "Phosphorus retention mechanisms of a water treatment residual" *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 32 (5), 1857-1864.

Jarvis, P., Jefferson, B., Parsons, S.A. (2006) "Floc structural characteristics using conventional coagulation for a high doc, low alkalinity surface water source" *Water Research*, 40 (14), 2727-2737.

627 Jiménez, B., Martínez, M. and Vaca, M. (2007), "Alum recovery and
628 wastewater sludge stabilization with sulfuric acid", *Water Science*
629 *Technology*, vol. 56 (8), 133-141.

630

631 Keeley, J., Jarvis, P., Smith, A.D., Judd, S.J. (2014a) "Reuse of Recovered
632 Coagulants in Water Treatment: An Investigation on the Effect Coagulant
633 Purity Has on Treatment Performance", *Separation and Purification*
634 *Technology*, vol. 131, 69-78.

635

636 Keeley, J., Jarvis, P., Judd, S.J. (2014b) "Coagulant recovery from water
637 treatment residuals: a review of applicable technologies", *Critical Reviews*
638 *in Environmental Science and Technology*, In Press.

639

640 King, P. H., Chen, B. H. H. and Weeks, R. K. (1975), "Recovery and Re-use
641 of Coagulants from Treatment of Water and Wastewater", *Virginia Water*
642 *Resources Research Center Bulletin*, vol. 77.

643

644 Liu, G., Wang, J. (2012) "Probing the stoichiometry of the nitrification process
645 using the respirometric approach", *Water Research*, vol. 46 (18), 5954–
646 5962.

647

648 Makris, K.C., El-Shall, H., Harris, W.G., O'Connor, G.A., Obreza, T.A. (2004)
649 "Intraparticle phosphorus diffusion in drinking water treatment residual at
650 room temperature", *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 277,
651 417-423.

652

653 Masides, J., Soley, J., Mata-Alvarez, J. (1988) "A feasibility study of alum
654 recovery in wastewater treatment plants" *Water Research*, 22 (4), 399-
655 405.

656

657 McGivney, W., Kawamura, S. (2008) "Cost estimating manual for water
658 treatment facilities", John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken, New Jersey, USA.

659

660 Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L., Stensel, H.D. (2003) "Wastewater
661 Engineering Treatment, and Reuse", 4th Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York,
662 USA.

663

664 Niquette, P., Monette, F., Azzouz, A., Hausler, R. (2004) "Impacts of
665 substituting aluminum-based coagulants in drinking water treatment",
666 *Water Quality Research Journal of Canada*, 39 (3), 303-310.

667

668 Ofwat (2005) "Water Framework Directive – Economic Analysis of Water
669 Industry Costs" <http://www.ofwat.gov.uk/publications/commissioned> [last
670 accessed: 28/05/2014].

671

672 Okuda, T., Nishijima, W., Sugimoto, M., Saka, N., Nakai, S., Tanabe, K., Ito,
673 J., Takenaka, K., Okada, M. (2014) "Removal of coagulant aluminum from
674 water treatment residuals by acid", *Water Research*, 60 (1), 75-81.

675

- Pan, J.R., Huang, C., Lin, S. (2004) "Re-use of fresh water sludge in cement making, *Water Science and Technology*", vol. 50 (9), 183-188.
- Pan, B., W, J., Pan, B., Lu, L., Zhang, W., Xiao, L., Wang, Z., Tao, X., Zheng, S. (2009) "Development of polymer-based nanosized hydrated ferric oxides (HFOs) for enhanced phosphate removal from waste effluents", *Water Research*, vol. 43, 4421-4429.
- Parsons, S. A. and Daniels, S. J. (1999), "The use of recovered coagulants in wastewater treatment", *Environmental technology*, vol. 20 (9), 979-986.
- Razali, M., Zhao, Y.Q., Bruen, M. (2007), "Effectiveness of a drinking-water treatment sludge in removing different phosphorus species from aqueous solution", *Separation Purification Technology*, vol. 55, 300-306.
- Remy, C., Miehe, U., Lesjean, B., Bartholomäus, C. "Comparing environmental impacts of tertiary wastewater treatment technologies for advanced phosphorus removal and disinfection with life cycle assessment", *Water Science and Technology*, vol. 69 (8), 1742-1750.
- Road Haulage Association Cost Tables, Goods vehicle operating costs 2013, DFF International LTD, http://dffintl.co.uk/Cost_Tables_2013.pdf [last accessed on 10/05/14]

Seida, Y., Nakano, Y. (2002) "Removal of phosphate by layered double hydroxides containing iron", *Water Research*, vol. 36, 1306-1312.

Sengupta, A.K., Pandit, A. (2011) "Selective removal of phosphorus from wastewater combined with its recovery as a solid-phase fertilizer", *Water Research*, vol. 45, 3318-3330.

Sharp, E.L., Jarvis, P., Parsons, S.A., Jefferson, B. (2006) "The impact of zeta potential on the physical properties of ferric-NOM flocs", *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 40 (12), 3934-3940.

Simpson, A., Burgess, P., Coleman, S.J. (2002) "The management of potable water treatment sludge: present situation in the UK", *Journal of the Chartered Institute for Water and Environmental Management*, vol. 16 (4), 260-263

Smith, S., Takacs, I., Murthy, S., Daigger, G.T., Szabo, A. (2008) "Phosphate complexation model and its implications for chemical phosphorus removal", *Water Environment Research*, vol. 80 (5), 428-438.

Szabo, A., Takacs, I., Murthy, S., Daigger, G.T., Licsko, I., Smith, S. (2008) "Significance of design and operational variables in chemical phosphorus removal", *Water Environment Research*, vol. 80 (5), 407-416.

724 Takacs, I., Murthy, S., Smith, S., McGrath, M. (2006) "Chemical phosphorus
725 removal to extremely low levels: experience of two plants in the
726 Washington, DC area" *Water Science & Technology*, 53 (12), 21-28.
727

728 Thistleton, J., Berry, T.A., Pearce, P., Parsons, S.A. (2002), "Mechanisms of
729 chemical phosphorus removal II - iron (III) salts", *Trans IChemE*, vol.
730 80(B), 265-269.
731

732 Ulmert, H. and Sarner, E. (2005), "The ReAl process: A combined membrane
733 and precipitation process for recovery of aluminium from waterwork
734 sludge", *Vatten*, vol. 61, (4), 273-281.
735

736 United Kingdom Water Industry Research, Recycling of water treatment works
737 sludge, 99/SL/09/1, UK Water Industry Research Ltd, London, UK, 1999.
738

739 Verrecht, B., Judd, S., Guglielmi, G., Brepols, C., Mulder, J.W. (2008) "An
740 aeration energy model for an immersed membrane bioreactor", *Water*
741 *Research*, vol. 42, 4761-4770.
742

743 Volk, C., Bell, K., Ibrahim, E., Verges, D., Amy, G., LeChevallier, M. (2000)
744 "Impact of enhanced and optimized coagulation on removal of organic
745 matter and its biodegradable fraction in drinking water", *Water Research*,
746 vol. 34 (12), 3247-3257.
747

748 Walsh, M. (2009), "Data Review from Full-scale Installations for Water
749 Treatment Plant Residuals Treatment Processes", *American Water Works*
750 *Association*, Halifax, Canada

751 Wang, C., Guo, W., Tian, B., Pei, Y., Zhang, K. (2011) "Characteristics and
752 kinetics of phosphate adsorption on dewatered ferric-alum residuals"
753 *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*, 46 (14), 1632-1639.
754

755 Wang, C., Wang, Z., Lin, L., Tian, B., Pei, Y. (2012) "Effect of low molecular
756 weight organic acids on phosphorus adsorption by ferric-alum water
757 treatment residuals", *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 203-204, 145-
758 150.
759

760 Yang, K., Li, Z., Zhang, H., Qian, J., Chen, G. (2010) "Municipal wastewater
761 phosphorus removal by coagulation" *Environmental technology*, 31 (6),
762 601-609.
763

764 Yang, L., Wei, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Wang, D. (2014) "Reuse of acid
765 coagulant-recovered drinking waterworks sludge residual to remove
766 phosphorus from wastewater", *Applied Surface Science*, 305, 337–346.



DSpace

<https://dspace.org/>

Acidified and ultrafiltered recovered coagulants from water treatment works sludge for removal of phosphorus from wastewater

Keeley, James; Smith, Andrea D.; Judd, Simon J.; Jarvis, Peter

2015-10-21

Elsevier

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.10.039>

James Keeley, Andrea D. Smith, Simon J. Judd, Peter Jarvis, Acidified and ultrafiltered recovered coagulants from water treatment works sludge for removal of phosphorus from wastewater, Water Research, Volume 88, 1 January 2016, pp380-388