


Accepted Manuscript

Water Research, Volume 43, Issue 5, March 2009, Pages 1265-1272

Title: Nitrous Oxide Emissions for Early Warning of Biological Nitrification Failure in Activated Sludge

Authors: M.D. Butler, Y.Y. Wang, E. Cartmell, T. Stephenson



PII: S0043-1354(08)00639-8

DOI: [10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.027)

Reference: WR 7213

To appear in: *Water Research*

Received Date: 13 May 2008

Revised Date: 10 December 2008

Accepted Date: 12 December 2008

Please cite this article as: Butler, M.D., Wang, Y.Y., Cartmell, E., Stephenson, T. Nitrous Oxide Emissions for Early Warning of Biological Nitrification Failure in Activated Sludge, *Water Research* (2008), doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.027)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Nitrous Oxide Emissions for Early Warning of Biological Nitrification Failure in Activated Sludge

M.D. Butler^a, Y.Y. Wang^b, E. Cartmell^c and T. Stephenson^{c,*}

^aSouthern Water, Captstone Road, Chatham, Kent, ME5 7QA, UK (e-mail: mark.butler@southernwater.co.uk), formally at Water Innovate Ltd, CUBIC, Cranfield, MK43 0AL, UK

^bSchool of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Siping Road, Shanghai 200092, P.R.China (yayi.wang@tongji.edu.cn)

^cCranfield University, Cranfield, MK43 0AL, UK (e-mail: t.stephenson@cranfield.ac.uk, Tel.: +44(0)1234 754054; Fax:+44 (0)1234 754035)

*Corresponding author.

Abstract

Experiments were carried out to establish whether nitrous oxide (N₂O) could be used as a non-invasive early warning indicator for nitrification failure. Eight experiments were undertaken; duplicate shocks DO depletion, influent ammonia increases, Allylthiourea (ATU) shocks and sodium azide (NaN₃) shocks were conducted on a pilot-scale activated sludge plant which consisted of a 315 L completely mixed aeration tank and 100 L clarifier. The process performed well during pre-shock stable operation: ammonia removals were up to 97.8% and N₂O emissions were of low

variability ($<0.5\text{ppm}$). However, toxic shock loads produced a N_2O response of a rise in off-gas concentrations ranging from 16.5 - 186.3 ppm, followed by a lag-time ranging from 3-5 h ($(0.43-0.71)*\text{HRT}$) of increased $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and/or NO_2^- in the effluent ranging from 3.4 - 41.2 mg.L^{-1} . It is this lag-time that provides the early warning for process failure, thus mitigating action can be taken to avoid nitrogen contamination of receiving waters.

Keywords

Activated sludge; early warning; nitrification; nitrous oxide; toxic shocks

1. Introduction

Nitrogen removal has become an important part of wastewater treatment processes due to the significant impact of nitrogen compounds ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_2^-\text{-N}$) on the aquatic environment and more stringent legislation on wastewater discharges. Discharge limits for nitrogen have been now set between 10 and 15 mg.L^{-1} within the European Community and some developed countries have even more stringent restrictions (Jonsson et al., 2001). To meet this demand, the most commonly used method for nitrogen removal is biological treatment based on aerobic nitrification and anoxic denitrification, both of which may produce nitrous oxide (N_2O) (Colliver and Stephenson, 2002).

In microbial nitrification, N_2O formation can occur in two ways during the oxidation

51 of ammonia (NH_3) to nitrite (NO_2^-) (Prosser, 1989): (i) reduction of NO_2^- catalysed by
 52 nitrite reductase (NiR); (ii) chemical decomposition of NO_2^- or intermediates (NH_2OH)
 53 of ammonia oxidation (Itokawa et al., 2001). Although the actual mechanism is still
 54 largely unknown or debatable, the efficiency of ammonium conversion to N_2O
 55 appears to be higher at low oxygen concentrations or low sludge retention times (SRT)
 56 (Goreau et al., 1980; Noda et al., 2003; Kampschreur et al., 2008). The biological
 57 denitrification process removes the excess nitrogen by reducing NO_2^- and NO_3^- to
 58 molecular nitrogen (N_2). It is during this process that N_2O is an obligate intermediate
 59 (Wicht, 1996). Several factors, such as low influent COD/total nitrogen (TN,) high
 60 dissolved oxygen (DO) and low pH, may stimulate production of N_2O during the
 61 denitrification process (Hanaki et al., 1992; Burgess et al., 2002a). Furthermore, N_2O
 62 can also be produced by nitrifier denitrification (ND pathway) where the oxidation of
 63 NH_4^+ into NO_2^- is followed by the reduction of NO_2^- to N_2O and N_2 (Bock et al., 1995;
 64 Itokawa et al., 2001). The sequence of reactions is carried out by only one group of
 65 microorganisms, namely autotrophic NH_3 -oxidizers, typified by *Nitrosomonas*
 66 *eutropha* (Wrage et al., 2001). It is unsurprising that high N_2O emissions have been
 67 observed in a variety of wastewater treatment processes. However, N_2O gas has an
 68 adverse impact on the environment (von Schulthess et al., 1994), as it is known to be
 69 one of the greenhouse gases under the Kyoto Protocol of 1997 where reduction targets
 70 were agreed (Dore et al., 2005).

71

72 When toxic upsets occur the system receives a loading shock, resulting in lower
 73 treatment efficiency or process failure (Gutierrez et al., 2002). If toxicants do hinder
 74 the activity of nitrifying bacteria, it will cause leakage of ammonia into the effluent,
 75 often resulting in breaches of discharge consents (Hayes et al., 1998). With the need

76 to stringently monitor toxic shock loads, there has been an increase in new techniques
77 and technologies available for monitoring changes in wastewaters, e.g. on-line NH_3
78 analysers and respirometers (Vanrolleghem and Lee, 2003). The purpose of these
79 online monitoring instruments is to obtain specific information about changes in
80 wastewater quality (particularly at the inlet) and to ensure treatment efficiency for
81 compliance assessment and control (Bourgeois et al., 2001). There are currently two
82 main types of on-line early warning methods: respirometric methods and microbial
83 methods both of which require analysis of dissolved compounds (Vanrolleghem and
84 Lee, 2003). These technologies allow for early warning but can be costly to maintain,
85 give false negatives (Love and Bott, 2000) and are prone to sensor fouling due to the
86 hostile environment in which the sensors have to be placed (Pedersen and Petersen,
87 1996). More recently, Burgess et al (2002a) demonstrated a strong relationship
88 between ammonia shock loads and the concentration of N_2O in the off-gas from the
89 aeration tank. This suggests that the changes in N_2O concentration in the exhaust gas
90 from a nitrifying process may be a useful parameter for monitoring such processes
91 (Burgess et al., 2002b; Butler et al., 2005a). As a result, a more efficient early-
92 warning system for the nitrification processes can be established based on N_2O
93 emissions as long as the off-gas N_2O concentration can be monitored on line.

94

95 The purpose of this work therefore was to gain a better understanding of the
96 correlation between N_2O accumulation and the increasing of effluent NH_3/NO_2 in
97 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) under varying shock loads and further to
98 establish whether off-gas N_2O could be used as a non-invasive early warning indicator
99 to predict nitrification failure.

100

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Activated sludge pilot plant

A pilot-scale activated sludge plant (Fig. 1) comprising of a control and test lane was used to represent full-scale sewage works as recommended in the literature (Horváth and Schmidtke, 1983). Each lane plant consisted of an aeration tank (315 L) and a clarifier (100 L). An anoxic selector zone with a volume of 10L was used as a dosage mixing area for shock load experiments. For the initial start-up, the aeration basin was filled with half wastewater and half recycled activated sludge (RAS) from a full-scale municipal waste water treatment works (Anglian Water, Cotton Valley, UK).

Fig.1-Schematic diagram of the pilot-scale activated sludge plants

2.2 Plant operation

The influent settled sewage was supplied from Cranfield University Wastewater Treatment Works at a rate of 45 L.h^{-1} giving a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 7 h. The wasted activated sludge (WAS) and Recycled Activated Sludge (RAS) were delivered by a peristaltic pump (505U, Watson and Marlow, UK). Sludge recirculation was controlled at 100% of the average influent flow. The sludge age was maintained at approximately 15 d after the acclimatization period.

2.3 Experimental design and approach

126 Prior to the experiments, the pilot plants were operated for at least two sludge ages
 127 (30 d) with stable process performance parameters as expected for a full-scale
 128 treatment plant. Two lanes were used, a test to measure any response from the shock
 129 load and a control to ensure validity of the response. Following each shock load, the
 130 test was allowed to return to pre-shock stable-operation for one sludge age before the
 131 next shock load. At the start of each shock load (0 h), the mixed liquor dissolved
 132 oxygen (MLDO), pH, temperature and mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) as well
 133 as influent and effluent chemical oxygen demand (COD), $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_2^-\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$
 134 and N_2O were measured in both test and control. The influent and effluent $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$,
 135 $\text{NO}_2^-\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$, N_2O and DO were monitored either every 30 min or every 60 min
 136 for the duration of the 9 h experimental period. There was a total of eight shock loads,
 137 defined as runs 1-8, with repetition of each experiment (see Table 1).

138
 139 For Runs 1 and 2, the aim was to reduce the ML DO concentration to $<1.0 \text{ mg.L}^{-1}$ for
 140 1 h, after which the air was turned back on. This was accomplished by turning the air
 141 off at 0 h and then turning it back on at 1 h. Ammonia overload experiments (Runs 3
 142 and 4) were undertaken by adding 2.55 g of $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ (7.5 g of NH_3Cl dissolved in 1 L
 143 of distilled H_2O) to the test pilot plant lane with a shock load calculated to exert an
 144 instantaneous $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ loading of $2.7 \text{ mgNH}_3\text{-N g MLSS}^{-1}$ (mean value) and an oxygen
 145 demand of 3.5 mg.L^{-1} on the 315 L aeration tank. The shock load was added via a
 146 peristaltic pump at a rate of 45 L.h^{-1} to the anoxic zone at 0 h.

147
 148 Allylthiourea (ATU) is an effective inhibitor of nitrification processes, blocking the
 149 $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ to NH_2OH step of ammonia oxidation by inhibiting *Nitrosomonas* and not
 150 affecting the heterotrophic biodegradation activity (Hall, 1984; Reuschenbach et al.,

2003). In this experiment (Runs 5 and 6), a shock load of ATU of 239.4 mg dissolved in 1 L of distilled H₂O was pumped at 45 L.h⁻¹ into the anoxic zone at 0 h. Sodium azide (NaN₃) is a strong, selective inhibitor of nitrite oxidation. It inhibits the oxidation of NO₂⁻ to NO₃⁻ but does not affect the oxidation of NH₄⁺ to NO₂⁻ (Ginestet *et al.*, 1998). Two NaN₃ shock loads (Runs 7 and 8) were carried out to examine the N₂O response when interrupting the oxidation of nitrite to nitrate. A shock load of 7.245 g of NaN₃ dissolved in 1 L of distilled H₂O was pumped at 45 L.h⁻¹ into the anoxic zone at 0 h, a dose calculated to give 75 % inhibition.

2.4 Analytical methods

A gas analyser (7700 IR, Signal, UK), which used a dual-beam non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) method, accurately measured N₂O gas ranging from 0 - 1,200 ppm (± 1 %). The N₂O monitor was calibrated from compressed air and 1,000 ppm N₂O (BOC Group Plc, UK) weekly, with a detection limit of 0.1 ppm. The N₂O concentration was initially read from the front screen panel, but the NaN₃ experiments used a datalogger to store the results (Squirrel 400, Grant, UK). Simultaneously, an N-TOX[®] N₂O analyser (Water Innovate Ltd, UK) was used in one of the NaN₃ inhibition experiments to quantify off-gas N₂O emissions. The gas analyser remained separate from the activated sludge at all times, drawing off-gas by a small pump housed in the gas analyser from the headspace of a hood on the surface of the aeration tank via Perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) tubing. The non-contact method avoided operational problems normally associated with sensor fouling and corrosion.

Influent and effluent COD, NH₃-N, NO₂⁻-N, NO₃⁻-N were detected with Hach vial

methods (Camlab and Merck vial method, Vwr International adapted from Standard Methods, APHA, 1998). Mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) were measured according to Standard Methods (American Public Health Association, 1998).

3. Results

The pilot-scale activated sludge plant received concentrations of COD and $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ranging from 136 - 326 and 21.5 - 45.3 mg.L^{-1} respectively (Table 2). Pre-shock stable operation data showed that the pilot plants had COD and NH_3 removals ranging from 64.0 - 91.2 % and 93.5 - 100 % respectively, mimicking that of a full-scale plant (Table 2). During pre-shock operation, N_2O emissions were low and showed little variation ranging from 0 - 0.5 ppm (Table 2). Mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) varied from 1508 - 4261 mg.L^{-1} and ML pH ranged from 6.39 - 7.12 in the activated sludge lanes (Table 3). Mixed liquor dissolved oxygen ranged from 1.8 - 4.7 mg.L^{-1} and ML temperature ranged from 16.1 - 21.0°C (Table 3). During all shock loads, the control-rig was monitored along side the test-rig and no responses in terms of N_2O , ML DO and effluent NH_3 ; NO_2^- and NO_3^- were observed.

In both the O_2 deprivation runs (Runs 1 and 2), the air was turned off for a period of 1 h and ML DO was seen to drop below 1 mg.L^{-1} (Fig.2). There was no submersible pump to maintain mixing of the MLSS, and so no N_2O was observed in the first hour (Fig. 2). When the air was turned back on, there was an immediate rise in the N_2O concentration and a peak could be seen over the following 2 h at 16.5 and 17.1 ppm for Runs 1 and 2 respectively. In other words, the maximum N_2O emission occurred after 1 h from the start of aeration, which was mainly due to the lower ML DO (below

1 mg.L⁻¹) during the first two hours. After the peak, the N₂O emission rates in two runs were decreased gradually and were stable at relatively low levels after the DO rose to pre-shock concentrations (about 4 h (Run 1) and 5 h (Run 2) after the adjustment).

205

Fig. 2- Run 1 (a) and Run 2 (b) - Loss of aeration experiment; Headspace N₂O, NH₃-N Effluent, NO₃⁻-N Effluent and Dissolved Oxygen (secondary y-axis); A vertical line at 7h shows when that the experimental period reached one HRT.

209

Increases in effluent NH₃ can be seen at 7 h (one HRT) in both runs, although during Run 1 higher increase to 13.1 mg.L⁻¹ compared with 3.4 mg.L⁻¹ in Run 2 was observed. Nitrite was also monitored in Run 2 and was seen to rise to around 2.5 – 5mg.L⁻¹ with effluent NH₃ at the HRT, with a concurrent decrease in effluent NO₃⁻ concentrations.

215

In Runs 3 and 4, the ammonia shock experiment, initial influent NH₃ concentration were 32.4 and 41.3 mg.L⁻¹ respectively. With ammonia shock loads of 2.82 (Run 3) and 2.61 (Run 4) mgNH₃-N g[MLSS]⁻¹, an instantaneous sharp increase was seen in the N₂O emissions (Fig.3), with maximum peaks of 18.3 and 22.3 ppm for Runs 3 and 4 respectively, which then declined to pre-shock concentrations. A sharp increase in effluent NH₃ was also observed after one HRT, with maximum concentrations of 41.2 mg.L⁻¹ (Run 3) and 39.1mg.L⁻¹ (Run 4) respectively. On the other hand, an increase of effluent NO₂⁻-N occurred in run 4 (Fig 3b) but on a smaller scale at approximately 1.5 mg.L⁻¹ NO₂⁻-N, which might be explained by the relatively low levels of the ammonia shock load. A simultaneous drop in ML DO, i.e. declining from 2 to 0.3

mg.L⁻¹ in Run 3 and 2.8 to 0.8 mg.L⁻¹ in Run 4, was seen following the ammonia shock load, recovering 3 h later in both runs. The minimum of the ML DO was accompanied by a peak in N₂O emission 60 min after the start of the ammonia shock loads.

Fig. 3-Run3 (a) and 4(b).Ammonia shock experiment; Headspace N₂O, NH₃-N effluent, NO₃⁻-N effluent and Dissolved Oxygen (secondary y-axis). A vertical line at 7h shows when that the experimental period reached one HRT.

An initial ATU dose of 23.94 mg, calculated to give a 75 % inhibition to activated sludge (CIWEM, 1997), gave no response. So the dosage was increased to 239.4 mg ATU for runs 5 and 6. After the ATU addition, immediate rises in N₂O off-gas concentrations of 26.7 and 20.1 ppm were observed for Runs 5 and 6 respectively followed by a 4 h recovery period to pre-shock concentrations (Fig. 4). After one HRT, a simultaneous increase in effluent NH₃ and a decrease in effluent NO₃⁻ were observed in both runs. Maximum observed NH₃-N were at concentrations of 11.4 and 12.5 mg.L⁻¹ for Runs 5 and 6, with NO₂⁻ effluent increasing also at the HRT (Fig 4b). Unlike the two former experiments, ML DO in these two runs increased slightly during first two hours and then reverted to pre-shock concentrations gradually. This indicated that the rise in N₂O off-gas was directly related to toxicity, and not due to the impact of available oxygen.

Fig.4-Run 5 (a) and Run 6 (b). Increased AO inhibition experiment; Headspace N₂O, NH₃-N effluent, NO₃⁻-N effluent, NO₂⁻-N effluent and Dissolved Oxygen

(secondary y-axis). A vertical at 7h shows when that the experimental period reached one HRT.

A second N₂O analyser was used for independent verification of the results from the Signal analyser in Run 7. As shown in Fig. 5, an immediate and sharp rise in N₂O emission occurred, peaking at 186.3 and 147.5 ppm for Runs 7 and 8 respectively, but did not recover to pre-shock concentrations. In particular, it should also be mentioned that N₂O emission characteristics or patterns in these two runs were significantly different. A remarkable N₂O peak occurred in Run 7, after which the N₂O profile declined gradually. In contrast, during Run 8, a sharp and continuous rise of N₂O concentration was observed but had not reached a peak until at 7 h (one HRT), followed by a constant level for the prolonged reaction. Concomitantly, there was a drop in effluent NO₃⁻ at 7h and an increase in effluent NO₂⁻ to maximum observed concentrations of 9.8 and 8.7 mg.L⁻¹ for Runs 7 and 8 respectively. Mixed liquor DO remained at constant levels at approximately 1.5 mg.L⁻¹ throughout the test and no ammonia responses were observed.

Fig.5-Run 7(a) and Run 8 (b)– NO inhibition experiment; NH₃-N effluent, NO₃⁻-N effluent, NO₂⁻-N effluent, Dissolved Oxygen and Headspace N₂O (secondary y-axis) (*Signal N₂O analyser; **N-TOX N₂O analyser). A vertical line at 7h shows when that the experimental period reached one HRT.

Time sequence analysis for observed N₂O peaks and increases of effluent NH₄/NO_x in these four experiments are summarized in Fig.6. It can be seen that the observed N₂O peak always preceded the appearance of ammonia and nitrite in the effluent by

approximately 3~5 h, i.e. $0.43 \sim 0.71 \times \text{HRT}$.

Fig. 6- Time sequence analysis for observed N_2O peak and effluent NH_4/NO_x increasing during nitrification.

4. Discussion

In both the loss of aeration and ammonia overloading experiments, the transient accumulation of N_2O was observed in the aerobic period, followed by a lag time of approximately 3-5 h before an increase in $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_2^-\text{-N}$ effluent. It is this lag time that provided the early warning. These results confirmed the findings of Burgess et al. (2002b), who proposed that off-gas N_2O from aeration tanks can provide early warning of nitrification failure when effective loss of dissolved oxygen occurs, either due to loss of aeration or a high oxygen demand load. In the oxygen depletion experiments, the N_2O increase was not observed until the air was turned back on. Kimochi et al. (1998) also observed N_2O concentrations in the headspace to be the highest immediately after starting aeration and gradually decreasing with time. Similar results were reported by Zheng et al. (1994) and Burgess et al. (2002b), who both found that a high concentration of N_2O was produced by activated sludge systems during the nitrification process under low DO conditions. Moreover, Noda et al. (2003) revealed that N_2O emission was accelerated under low DO conditions insufficient for nitrification. Previous authors have reported that the mechanism for N_2O release by ammonia oxidisers is a simultaneous reduction of NH_3 and oxidation of NO_2^- (Poth and Focht, 1985; Anderson *et al.*, 1993; Bock *et al.*, 1995). Research by Poth and Focht (1985) has shown that NO_2^- could act as an electron acceptor

during oxygen-limited nitrification. Their findings suggested that ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) such as *Nitrosomonas* possess a nitrite reductase enzyme, which is activated under oxygen-limiting conditions and dominated over the nitrite oxidoreductase enzyme. The decrease in effluent NO_3^- for the experiments when aeration was ceased suggested that the nitrite oxidisers were inhibited due to the lack of oxygen and were unable to oxidise the NO_2^- through to NO_3^- . Also, Laanbroek and Gerads (1993) demonstrated that the ability of ammonia oxidisers to substitute oxygen with nitrite as the terminal electron acceptor at low oxygen concentrations gave them a competitive edge over nitrite oxidisers.

Based on the above discussion, we concluded that the increase in N_2O concentration in DO depleted experiments was a result of the decrease in DO, which caused the bacteria to use nitrite as the terminal electron acceptor (Kuai and Verstraete, 1998). However, in municipal wastewater treatment plants, nitrogen removal is achieved at low oxygenation to reduce cost, i.e. in conditions favorable to N_2O production. So, on-line monitoring ML DO and off-gas N_2O emissions for the biological nitrification processes could be of significance in terms of saving operating costs as well as protecting the environment. Recent simulation studies undertaken by Sivret et al. (2008) indicate that nitrous oxide could be used to provide better control of aeration in nitrifying activated sludge plants.

In both the inhibitor experiments, a N_2O response was followed by an increase in effluent NH_3 and/or effluent NO_2^- . It is again the lag time that provided the early warning for nitrification failure. By the addition of an inhibitor, nitrification did not progress sufficiently, and thus accumulation of ammonia and/or nitrite was observed.

For the ATU inhibitor experiment, since the first step of nitrification was blocked, N_2O emissions were probably produced only through denitrification by heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria. Although the N_2O emission rate from the denitrification pathway is lower under a high oxygen concentration compared to that at low oxygen concentration, N_2O was observed since denitrifying bacteria are able to denitrify in aerobic conditions (Krul and Veemingen, 1977). Likewise, a previous study has also observed that the N_2O concentration increased when an ATU dose of 0.076 mg.L^{-1} was applied to the aeration tank (Burgess et al., 2002b). At full-scale, the off-gases can be captured via a hood before delivery to the N_2O detection system; this has been demonstrated on full-scale industrial and municipal activated sludge plants (Butler et al., 2005b).

Mitigation of nitrification toxicity could be achieved by a range of possible actions; the simplest might be diversion of incoming flow to holding tanks, to be blended into the plant over time. Alteration of process operating conditions that are recognised as favourable to nitrification, e.g. pH adjustment and increasing aeration, is another obvious strategy. If the cause of the toxic event is a known chemical, then a specific mitigation strategy could be used e.g. addition of multivalent cations to prevent nitrification inhibition by ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Hu et al., 2003). Bioaugmentation with nitrifying bacteria has also been used to improve ammonia removal, however, large amounts would be needed for severe shocks (Abeyasinghe et al., 2002). It is also possible that addition of copper could stimulate nitrification (Ensign et al., 1993).

5. Conclusions

350

351 All shock loads experiments showed that the observed N_2O peak always preceded the
352 appearance of ammonia/nitrite in the effluent by approximately 3-5 h, i.e.
353 $0.43 \sim 0.71 \times HRT$. It is this lag time, before NH_3 and/or NO_2^- appears in the effluent,
354 which provides early warning for nitrification failure. The increase of N_2O emission,
355 therefore, may be a good indicator for monitoring the nitrification process, as they are
356 correlated to both influent wastewater characteristics (e.g. ammonia load, toxic
357 inhibitors) and operational parameters (e.g. DO) for the aeration tank.

358

359 **Acknowledgement**

360

361 This investigation was funded in part by Severn Trent Water through an industrial
362 CASE studentship and the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council
363 (EPSRC). Support from Water Innovate Ltd, UK, is also acknowledged. One of us
364 (YYW) was supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council and the National Natural
365 Science Foundation (50608064). The views expressed by the authors are personal and
366 do not necessarily represent those of the organisations involved.

367

368 **References**

369

370 Abeyasinghe, D.H., Vivaj de Silvad, D.G., Stahl, D.A. and Rittmann, B.E. (2002) The
371 effectiveness of bioaugmentation in nitrifying systems stressed by a washout
372 condition and cold temperature. *Water Environment Research*. 74, 187-199

373

- Anderson, I.C., Poth, M., Homstead, J. and Burdige, D. (1993) A comparison of NO and N₂O production by the autotrophic nitrifier *Nitrosomonas europaea* and the heterotrophic nitrifier *Alcaligenes faecalis*. *Applied Environment Microbiology* **59**, 3525-3533.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF. (1998) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th ed. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation, Washington DC, USA.
- Bock, E., Schmidt, I., Steven, R. and Zarf, D. (1995) Nitrogen loss caused by nitrifying *Nitrosomonas* cells using ammonia or hydrogen as electron donors and nitrite as electron acceptor. *Archives of Microbiology* **163**, 16-20.
- Bourgeois, W., Burgess, J.E. and Stuetz, R.M. (2001) On-line monitoring of wastewater quality: a review. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology* **76**, 337-348.
- Burgess, J.E., Colliver, B.B., Stuetz R.M., Stephenson, T. (2002a) Dinitrogen oxide production by a mixed culture of nitrifying bacteria during ammonia shock loading and aeration failure. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology & Biotechnology* **29**, 309-313.
- Burgess, J.E., Stuetz, R.M., Morton S. and Stephenson, T. (2002b) Dinitrogen oxide detection for process failure early warning systems. *Water Science and Technology* **45**(4-5), 247-254.

- 399 Butler, M.D., Stephenson, T., Stokes, L. and Stuets, R.M. (2005a) Dinitrogen oxide
 400 detection for nitrification failure early warning systems. *Water Science and*
 401 *Technology* **52** (8), 249-256
 402
- 403 Butler, M.D., Cartmell, E., Stokes, L. and Stephenson, T. (2005b) Non-invasive
 404 monitoring for early warning of nitrification failure. WEFTEC 05, 78th Annual Water
 405 Environment Federation Technical Exhibition and Conference, Washington DC, Oct
 406 29 – Nov 2. 15pp.
 407
- 408 Chartered Institution of Water and Environment Management. (1997) Activated
 409 Sludge Treatment. Handbooks of UK Wastewater Practice, CIWEM. London, UK.
 410
- 411 Dore, C.J., Watterson, J.D, Murrells, T.P, Passant, N.R., Hobson, M.M., Baggott,
 412 S.L., Thistlethwaite, G., Goodwin, J.W.L., King, K.R., Adams, M., Walker, C.,
 413 Downes, M.K., Coleman, P.J., Stewart, R.A., Wagner, A., Sturman, J., Conolly, C.,
 414 Lawrence, H. and Cumine, P.R. (2005) UK Emissions of Air Pollutants 1970 to 2003.
 415 NETCEN, Oxford, UK.
 416
- 417 Ensign, S.A., Hyman, M.R. and Arp, D.J. (1993) In vitro activation of ammonia
 418 monooxygenase from *Nitrosomonas europaea* by copper. *Journal of Bacteriology*, **175**,
 419 1971-1980
 420
- 421 Ginestet, P., Audic, J.M., Urbain, V. and Block, J.C. (1998). Estimation of nitrifying
 422 bacterial activities by measuring oxygen uptake in the presence of the metabolic

- 423 inhibitors allylthiourea and azide. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **64**, 2266-
424 2268.
- 425
- 426 Goreau, T.J., Kaplan, W.A., Wofsy, J.C., McElroy, M.B., Valois, F.W. and Watson,
427 S.W. (1980) Production of NO_2 and N_2O by nitrifying bacteria at reduced
428 concentrations of oxygen. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **40**, 526-532.
- 429
- 430 Gutierrez, M., Etxebarria, J. and de las Fuentes, L. (2002) Evaluation of wastewater
431 toxicity: comparative study between Microtox and activated sludge oxygen uptake
432 inhibition. *Water Research* **36**, 919-926.
- 433
- 434 Hall, G.H. (1984) Measurement of nitrification rate in lake sediments: comparison of
435 the nitrification inhibitors nitrapyrin and allylthiourea. *FEMS Microbiological*
436 *Ecological* **10**, 25-36.
- 437
- 438 Hanaki, K., Zheng. H., Matsuo, T. (1992) Production of nitrous oxide gas during
439 denitrification of wastewater. *Water Science and Technology* **26** (526), 1027-1036.
- 440
- 441 Hayes, E., Upton J., Batts R. and Pickin S. (1998) On-line nitrification inhibition
442 monitoring using immobilized bacteria. *Water Science and Technology* **37**(12), 193-
443 196.
- 444
- 445 Horvath, I. and Schmidtke, N.W. (1983) Scale-up and scale-down concepts and
446 problems. In: Schmidtke, N.W and Smith, D.W. (Eds), *Scale-up of Water and*

- 447 Wastewater Treatment Processes, papers presented at the 1st International Workshop,
448 Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. Butterworth Publishers, Boston, USA.
- 449
- 450 Hu, Z., Kartit, C., Grasso, D. and Sinets, B. (2003) Nitrification inhibition by
451 ethylenediamine – based chelating agents. *Environmental Engineering Science*, 20,
452 219-228
- 453
- 454 Itokawa, H., Hanaki, K. and Matsuo, T. (2001) Nitrous oxide production in high-
455 loading biological nitrogen removal process under low COD/N ratio condition. *Water*
456 *Science and Technology* **35**, 657-664.
- 457
- 458 Jonsson, K., Aspichueta, E., de la Sota, A. and Jansen, J. la C., (2001). Evaluation of
459 nitrification-inhibition measurements. *Water Science and Technology* **43** (1), 201-
460 208.
- 461
- 462 Joo, SH., Kim, DJ., Yoo, IK., Park, K. and Cha, GC. (2000) Partial nitrification in an
463 upflow biological aerated filter by O₂ limitation. *Biotechnology Letters* **22**,937-940.
- 464
- 465 Kampschreur, M. J., Tan, N., Kleerebezem, R., Picoreanu, C., Jetten M. S. M. and
466 Van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2008) Effect of dynamic process conditions on nitrogen
467 oxide emissions from a nitrifying culture. *Environmental Science and Technology* **42**,
468 429-435.
- 469
- 470 Kimochi, Y., Inamori, Y., Mizuochi, M., Xu, K.Q. and Matsumura, M. (1998).
471 Nitrogen removal and N₂O emission in a full-scale domestic wastewater treatment

- 472 plant with intermittent aeration. Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering **86**, 202-
473 206.
- 474
- 475 Krul, J.M. and Veeningen, R. (1977) The synthesis of the dissimilatory nitrate
476 reductase under aerobic conditions in a number of denitrifying bacteria, isolated from
477 activated sludge and drinking water. Water Research **11**, 39-43.
- 478
- 479 Laanboek, H.J. and Gerards, S., 1993. Competition for limiting amounts of oxygen
480 between *Nitrosomonas europaea* and *Nitrobacter winogradskyi* grown in mixed
481 continuous cultures. Archives of Microbiology **159**, 453-459.
- 482
- 483 Love, N.G. and Bott, C.B. (2000) A review and needs survey of upset early warning
484 devices. Water Environment Research Foundation, Alexandria, VA USA.
- 485
- 486 Noda, N., Kaneko, N., Mikami, M., Kimochi, Y., Tsuneda, S., Hirata, A., Mizuochi,
487 M. and Inamori, Y. (2003) Effects of SRT and DO on N₂O reductase activity in an
488 anoxic-oxic activated sludge system. Water Science and Technology **48** (11-12), 363-
489 370.
- 490
- 491 Pedersen, F. and Petersen, G.I. (1996) Variability of species sensitivity to complex
492 mixtures. Water Science and Technology **33**(6), 109-119.
- 493
- 494 Poth, M. and Focht, D.D. (1985) ¹⁴N kinetic analysis of N₂O production by
495 *Nitrosomonas europaea*: an examination of nitrifier denitrification. Applied
496 Environment Microbiology **49**, 1134-1141.

497

498 Prosser, J.I. (1989) Autotrophic nitrification in bacteria. *Advances in Microbial*
499 *Physiology* **30**, 125-181.

500

501 Reuschenbach, P., Paggaa, U. and Strotmannb, U. (2003) A critical comparison of
502 respirometric biodegradation tests based on OECD 301 and related test methods.
503 *Water Research* **37**, 1571-1582.

504

505 Sivret, E. C., Pierson, W. L. and Stuetz, R. M. (2008) Nitrous oxide monitoring for
506 nitrifying activated sludge aeration control: a simulation study. *Biotechnology and*
507 *Bioengineering* **101**, 109-118.

508

509 Tallec, G., Garnier, J., Billen, G. and Gousailles, M. (2006) Nitrous oxide emissions
510 from secondary activated sludge in nitrifying conditions of urban wastewater
511 treatment plants: Effect of oxygenation level. *Water Research* **40** (15), 2972-2980.

512

513 Vanrolleghem, P.A. and Lee, D.S. (2003) On-line monitoring equipment for
514 wastewater treatment processes: state of the art. *Water Science and Technology* **47**(2),
515 1-34.

516 Von Schulthess, R. and Gujer, W. (1996) Release of nitrous oxide (N₂O) from
517 denitrifying activated sludge: Verification and application of a mathematical model.
518 *Water Research* **30**, 521-530.

519

520 Wicht, H. (1996). A model for predicting nitrous oxide production during
521 denitrification in activated sludge. *Water Science and Technology* **34** (5/6), 99-106.


522

523 Wrage, N., Velthof, G.L., Van Beusichem, M.L. and Oenema, O.(2001) Role of
524 nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. Soil Biology and
525 Biochemistry **33** (12-13), 1723-1732.


526

527 Zheng, H., Hanaki, K. and Matsuo, T. (1994) Production of nitrous oxide gas during
528 nitrification of wastewater. Water Science and Technology **30** (6), 133- 141.

529



(P-peristaltic pump; RAS-Recycled Activated Sludge; WAS-Wasted Activated Sludge and CUWTW-Cranfield University Wastewater Treatment Works)



Shock loads	Experiment	Effect on MLSS(aeration tank)	Source
----------------	------------	-------------------------------	--------

Table 1-Experimental overview of shock loads and their effects

1	Loss of aeration	DO <1.0 mg.L ⁻¹ for 1 hour	Burgess <i>et al.</i> (2002)
2	Loss of aeration	DO <1.0 mg.L ⁻¹ 1 for 1 hour	Burgess <i>et al.</i> (2002)
3	NH ₃ overload	2.82 mgNH ₃ -N g[MLSS] ⁻¹ (3.5mg.L ⁻¹ O ₂ demand)	Burgess <i>et al.</i> (2002)
4	NH ₃ overload	2.61mgNH ₃ -N g[MLSS] ⁻¹ (3.5mg.L ⁻¹ O ₂ demand)	Burgess <i>et al.</i> (2002)
5	AO ^a inhibition	750 % inhibition (239.4 mg ATU)	CIWEM (1997)
6	AO ^a inhibition	750 % inhibition (239.4 mg ATU)	CIWEM (1997)
7	NO ^b inhibition	75 % inhibition (7.245 g NaN ₃)	Tomlinson (1966)
8	NO ^b inhibition	75 % inhibition (7.245 g NaN ₃)	Tomlinson (1966)

^aAO, Ammonia Oxidation

^bNO, Nitrite Oxidation

Table 2- Influent and effluent COD, NH₃ for pilot plants at pre-shock steady-state condition

Influent (mg.L ⁻¹)	Effluent	
	(mg.L ⁻¹)	N ₂ O(ppm)
	COD	NH ₃

	COD	NH ₃		C ^a	T ^b	C ^a	T ^b	C ^a	T ^b
1-O ₂	187	26.3	7.11	49	38	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2
2-O ₂	207	24.4	8.48	38	48	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
3-NH ₃	304	35.4	8.59	65	87	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0
4-NH ₃	326	45.3	7.20	48	40	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.4
5-ATU	136	21.8	6.24	49	34	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.4
6-ATU	163	25.7	6.34	41	58	0.3	1.1	0.4	0.2
7- NaN ₃	277	29.4	9.42	40	45	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.0
8- NaN ₃	260	21.5	12.09	36	23	0.9	1.4	0.2	0.0

^aC,Control-rig^bT,Test-rig**Table 3-Operational parameters for pilot plants at pre-shock steady-state condition**


Shock loads	ML SS		ML DO		ML pH		ML Temp. (°C)	
	(mg.L ⁻¹)		(mg.L ⁻¹)					
	C ^a	T ^b	C ^a	T ^b	C ^a	T ^b	C ^a	T ^b
1-O ₂	2189	1998	2.6	2.8	6.43	6.56	19.6	19.7

2-O ₂	1641	1732	4.3	3.2	6.98	6.82	16.1	16.2
3-NH ₃ ^c	2321	2256	2.2	2.1	6.58	6.95	19.1	19.2
4-NH ₃	2234	2432	2.8	2.7	7.01	6.95	18.4	18.7
5-ATU	1508	2032	4.3	5.2	6.27	6.56	17.7	21.0
6-ATU	1731	2241	2.4	4.7	7.12	6.62	19.9	17.8
7- NaN ₃	3918	4261	1.8	2.1	6.62	6.39	18.8	19.4
8- NaN ₃	2904	4235	3.7	2.3	6.77	6.73	19.3	19.8


^aAO, Ammonia Oxidation


^bNO, Nitrite Oxidation


^cinstantaneous addition





(P-peristaltic pump; RAS-Recycled Activated Sludge; WAS-Wasted Activated Sludge and CUWTW-Cranfield University Wastewater Treatment Works)















b









Time to N_2O peak; Time to effluent NH_3/NO_2 increase
 —●— Peak N_2O concentration ----a.time to effluent NH_3/NO_2 increase(mean)
 ----b. time to N_2O peak(mean)



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Nitrous oxide emissions for early warning of biological nitrification failure in activated sludge.

Butler, Mark D.; Wang, Yayi; Cartmell, Elise; Stephenson, Tom

2009-03-01T00:00:00Z

Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.12.027>

Butler MD, Wang YY, Cartmell E, Stephenson T. (2009) Nitrous oxide emissions for early warning of biological nitrification failure in activated sludge. Water Research, Volume 43, Issue 5, March 2009, pp. 1265-1272