

Integration of cost-risk assessment of denial of service within an intelligent maintenance system

L. Carlander^a, L. Kirkwood^{a*}, E. Shehab^a, P. Baguley^a, I. Durazo-Cardenas^a

^a*Department of Manufacturing, Materials and Design, Cranfield University, Cranfield, UK*

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* l.kirkwood@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract

As organisations become richer in data the function of asset management will have to increasingly use intelligent systems to control condition monitoring systems and organise maintenance. In the future the UK rail industry is anticipating having to optimize capacity by running trains closer to each other. In this situation maintenance becomes extremely problematic as within such a high-performance network a relatively minor fault will impact more trains and passengers; such denial of service causes reputational damage for the industry and causes fines to be levied against the infrastructure owner, Network Rail.

Intelligent systems used to control condition monitoring systems will need to optimize for several factors; optimization for minimizing denial of service will be one such factor. With schedules anticipated to be increasingly complicated detailed estimation methods will be extremely difficult to implement. Cost prediction of maintenance activities tend to be expert driven and require extensive details, making automation of such an activity difficult. Therefore a stochastic process will be needed to approach the problem of predicting the denial of service arising from any required maintenance. Good uncertainty modelling will help to increase the confidence of estimates.

This paper seeks to detail the challenges that the UK Railway industry face with regards to cost modelling of maintenance activities and outline an example of a suitable cost model for quantifying cost uncertainty. The proposed uncertainty quantification is based on historical cost data and interpretation of its statistical distributions. These estimates are then integrated in a cost model to obtain accurate uncertainty measurements of outputs through Monte-Carlo simulation methods. An additional criteria of the model was that it be suitable for integration into an existing prototype integrated intelligent maintenance system. It is anticipated that applying an integrated maintenance management system will apply significant downward pressure on maintenance budgets and reduce denial of service. Accurate cost estimation is therefore of great importance if anticipated cost efficiencies are to be achieved. While the rail industry has been the focus of this work, other industries have been considered and it is anticipated that the approach will be applicable to many other organisations across several asset management intensive industries.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 8th Product-Service Systems across Life Cycle

Keywords: Cost engineering; maintenance; cost-risk; cost optimisation; rail infrastructure

1. Introduction

The UK rail industry is under intense pressures, in terms of capacity of the network, maintenance budgets and asset reliability. The UK faces a particularly difficult challenge in the modernization of the rail network as age of much of the infrastructure is significant. Anticipated rises in passenger numbers and operating services will raise the pressures on the capacity of the network [1]. Against this background of rising asset usage Network Rail is hoping to reduce maintenance costs [2]. To achieve both targets asset down-time incidents will have to be reduced through the very best practice in asset management. It is expected that increasing usage of autonomous systems will make a significant contribution towards reducing denial of service. While many view autonomous systems in terms of using UAV drones or robotic systems, much of the impact from the widespread application of autonomous systems will be in the area of software based decision support or decision making. The AUTONOM project is hoping to deliver much of the framework for such a system.

AUTONOM is funded by EPSRC [3] under the autonomous and intelligent systems program (AISP); and is also supported by key UK industrialists, including Network Rail. AUTONOM seeks to enable effective asset and maintenance decision-making in data-rich scenarios autonomously.

Uncertainty and Risk are an integral part of cost engineering. Uncertainty and risk assessment in industry is used to show more clearly the possible ranges of values. Single point estimates, (where a single value is presented as the estimate) can be misleading and give decision makers a false sense of certainty about the estimate. A three-point estimate is a popular method for presenting the least-costly, most-costly and most-likely estimates, however while it gives information on the range of an estimate it gives limited information on the shape of the probability distribution function.

If an organization has sufficiently detailed information then variables can be assigned ranges, and through a process of curve fitting, assigned probability distribution functions. The organization can then perform a Monte Carlo simulation to produce a more accurate estimate. Monte Carlo simulation is considered the industry best practice for dealing with uncertainty in data.

Cost estimation is not performed in isolation within the

AUTONOM project, there is a focus on three technical areas: Data Fusion, Planning and Scheduling and Cost Analysis. All are part of an integrated strategy that could lead to better decision support for maintenance activities.




Figure 1: AUTONOM integration strategy [4]

The Data Fusion approach consists of gathering suitable data from multiple sources, so that data can be merged to supply inputs to an automated planning and scheduling model. The scheduling model uses a genetic algorithm approach to generate many different solutions and hunt towards a more optimal solution. This process is made more complicated by the need to schedule multiple maintenance tasks into an ordered list. The schedule is then used by the cost analysis, on which suitable cost engineering best practices are applied. These combined approaches will enable decision making within an integrated framework.

A challenge of this work has been to formulate cost models that can work with the limited information. The data-flows within the demonstrator limit the available information to use in the model calculation, as shown in in figure 2.




Figure 2 Cost analysis module I/O analysis

In the reported state of the demonstrator [5], the cost estimates generated were broken down into material costs,

labour costs and denial of service costs. However each of these cost estimates were single point estimates.

While the data transitions and initial state of the prototype has been described elsewhere [5], the planned future developments are worth further consideration.

- The planned architecture calls for feedback of results internally, specifically cost estimates will need to be fed back to the planning/scheduling and used in that process.
- Initial versions of the demonstrator calculated single point estimates, for accuracies sake this should be expanded into a full Monte Carlo

This work outlines the contributions towards improving the estimate for denial of service through Monte Carlo simulation.

Network Rail is keen to improve their current practices with regards to maintenance activities of their high value assets throughout their entire rail network. In order to build frameworks and models case studies are being built that highlight challenges that Network Rail faces and provide outline solutions. When these can be validated it is anticipated that the continuation work will be to apply the lessons learnt to other project partners' maintenance challenges in the oil and nuclear sectors.

2. Uncertainty analysis

This case study is based on specific chosen maintenance activities that are related to points failures, track circuit failures, track defects, condition of track and many other infrastructure causes. A major gap in this research is to find the potential dependencies between these activities, their costs and the uncertainties in cost.

One aim of this work is to develop a framework to quantify uncertainty and integrate risk of maintenance activities within a cost model. The cost model on which the study is based is to generate cost of labour, materials and denial of service related to maintenance activities. Uncertainty measurements should be applied to all these input parameters. The methodology proposed for both uncertainty quantification and integration of the uncertainty model into the general cost model.

Project understanding	Challenges	Framework Development	Model Development
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project definition • Literature review 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mindmap of challenges • Explanation of challenges 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mindmap for uncertainty quantification • Mindmap for uncertainty integration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncertainty quantification development • Uncertainty integration development

Figure 3: Methodology of the uncertainty integration project

Figure 3 shows the chosen methodology for this paper. This paper relates relevant points from the literature research subject and the identified research gap. The identified challenges were explored using a Mindmap approach.

2.1 Uncertainty and Risk

Uncertainty and risk are two related terms which are often confused and it is worthwhile to clarify their meaning. According to ISO 31000, “risk is often expressed in terms of the consequences of an event (including changes in circumstances) and the associated likelihood of occurrence” while “Uncertainty is the state, even partial, of deficiency of information related to, understanding or knowledge of an event, its consequence, or likelihood” [6].

2.2 Uncertainty classification and quantification

According to Miliken's work [7] the first step in analysing uncertainty is to identify its nature. It can be:

- state uncertainty, which is related to impossibility to assign probabilities to the likelihood of future events,
- response uncertainty that is related to the outcome of a decision
- effect uncertainty that reflects a lack of understanding of the impact of events or changes on the studied environment [8].

The work performed by Erkoyunku [9] categorises the different kinds of uncertainty measurement techniques as deterministic, qualitative or quantitative.




Figure 4: Uncertainty assessment methods [9]

It is stated that all these techniques are very efficient, with a particular focus on quantitative techniques such as probability theory, mathematical and statistical techniques, and Monte Carlo simulation, as well as deterministic methods like the sensitivity analysis. According to the literature [9], quantitative approaches are known as the best means to provide suitable information to facilitate decision making.

Variables can be expressed using probability distributions. The Monte Carlo a method is based on probability theory and is used to explore complex probabilistic situations and results in a suitable approximation of the studied systems probability distribution is obtained.

The data used in this study was taken from the TRUST system. The TRUST system logs delays on the network. Each delay is attributed to a particular fault and to an owner. Typically this results in fines being passed between Network

Rail and the TOC's. While the data available notes to whom the fault was attributed and the scale of the delay and resulting fine and the cause-code of the delay. The event of interest is therefore a delayed train and can be further broken down into different events for each code. A cracked rail event can be differentiated from a flooding type of event. Analysis of some of the codes that are used in the integrated demonstrator and quantification of the uncertainty is achievable. This work is a rudimentary analysis of risk as different failure events can be quantified in terms of consequences and impact [6], but likelihood is not possible with the provided data.

3. Methodology

A methodology for quantification of uncertainty and integration within the demonstrators cost models is presented in Figure 5.




Figure 5: Methodology for uncertainty quantification and integration

Generally the data forming the histograms had high numbers of low value faults, but a long “tail” of low probability but high cost events. This makes deciding bin size a more complex proposition. Generally bin size started small and was increased until the number of unpopulated bins situated between occupied bins were minimised. This desire to minimise gaps could result in bins of too great a scale and therefore this process currently relies on human judgement. However a process based on mathematical rules would be simple to code when the framework is ready for full integration within the AUTONOM project framework.

Once histograms of delay minutes data are created the methodology directs users to examine the data first for symmetrical distributions and secondly if asymmetry is found correlation with known (and often used) distributions. If both questions are insufficient the process indicates that there is a possibility that more than one distribution will be needed to explain the data. This would correspond to a situation where a hidden factor is at work. In this situation knowing the data contains a hidden factor could inspire further analysis and using two curves to describe the data gives closer correlation between the Monte Carlo and the available data.

The coefficient of determination (R^2) is a number between 0 and 1 that determines the degree of correlation between two curves. The coefficient of determination is used as the metric of choice for determining which curve form fits with the data. The input data is the Denial of Service (DoS) delays, which are correlated with the cost. The fault types looked at are those that are related to the maintenance activities studied throughout the demonstrator [5] created for the AUTONOM project.

4. Results

Distribution of costs and delay minutes are analysed using the described methodology and modelled using the probability distribution(s) found to fit best. The work consists in finding the best fit between statistical histograms and known probability distributions. The closer to 1 the factor is, the more the curves are correlated. Figure 6 shows the results of such a method, with a blue curve and a red curve representing a frequency distribution based on the data, and the chosen best fit probability distribution, respectively.




Figure 6: Correlation between distribution of delay data and best fit probability distribution

The determination factor between these two curves is used as the metric for curve suitability. The resulting best-fitting probability distributions can be applied as inputs to a Monte Carlo simulation.




Figure 7: Histogram of data and calculated Probability Distribution Function

While some of the analysed faults were suitably approximated by the use of a single Probability Distribution Function (see figure 7), the framework was open to the possibility of using two Probability Distribution Functions to more accurately fit the available data.




Figure 8: Combined Probability Distribution Functions

Using Weibull and PERT distributions to more accurately fit the behaviour of the available data are shown in figure 8.




Figure 9: Cumulative probability curves

Using the same data used in figure 8 the resulting

cumulative probability curve in figure 9 reveals that the data has a significant range, when compared to the cumulative probability curves generated from a log-normal probability distribution, (see figure 10).




Figure 10: cumulative probability of log-normal function

Figure 10 shows the P20-P80 range (range bounded by the probability being 20 and 80 respectively). Using P20 to P80 as indicative of the range of values reduces the influence of long tails from some of the probability distributions and makes range values more sensible as a metric of interest. We see that the cumulative probability from multiple probability distribution functions can result in a wider P20-P80 range.

5. Integration

Integration of the cost-risk information within the broader AUTONOM framework will be challenging. Prior to this work the existing cost model generated single point estimates. The integration architecture demanded a feedback of cost information to the schedule modeller. Using a single figure cost estimate suits the Genetic Algorithm approach, but as the real cost information is often more complex, deciding what information to pass back towards the schedule model becomes challenging.

Integrated Genetic Algorithm and Monte-Carlo methodologies are mentioned within the available literature and applied to a range of problems. The work of Marseguerra and Zio [10] provides an example integrated Monte Carlo and Genetic algorithm approach to maintenance. This very relevant example provides illustrative case-studies of a chemical process plan and the optimisation of maintenance costs. The claims that a very modest number of simulation runs (at most 1000 but less for many cases) is adequate is not clearly demonstrated to be true. Subsequent work, Marseguerra et al. (2002) [11], used only 100 repetitions for the Monte Carlo model used. Such small sampling is said to be justified by the fact the GA provides more repetition; the individual solutions might not have much confidence but the number of repeated times the solution is found helps add confidence. This team released similar results where the three best solutions found are re-examined using a repeat of the Monte Carlo simulation using 1×10^6 trials and were able to satisfy themselves that the solution “seems to actually deserve the title of being ‘optimal’ or ‘near optimal’ [12].

While much of this type of work was limited by the computational power available in 1999 and 2002 [10-12], this

constraint will not be as strong now. We could reasonably run each GA solution using a MC simulation that uses many more trials. As this trials number is going to be strongly linked with the accuracy of the overall GA-MC optimisation we'll have to select the number of trials used carefully to maximise both trial accuracy and speed of result delivery.

Our work will have one significant additional complications not faced by previous GA-MC attempts; our denial of service costs, (or "downtime penalty" as the previous GA-MC literature describes it [11]), can not be easily fixed at a single value. Additionally, a Monte Carlo cost model will generate lots of information- such as most likely cost and cost-range, highest-possible cost. Dependent upon the application, the user may wish to take the option that is more expensive, but has a lower cost-range, a lower cost-risk option.

6. Conclusions

This paper has provided details on the methodology to apply in order to find suitable cost uncertainty quantification and the steps towards integration into an existing model. Many cost estimating techniques that can be applied; such as probability theory, regression analysis or Monte Carlo simulation. Many of them are useful when designing a framework for uncertainty quantification and integration, and when applying it to maintenance cost models.

Due to the integration aspect of this project, the difficulty in integrating Monte Carlo simulations with Genetic algorithms has been discussed. There remains several issues to resolve; both the architecture of the GA/MC integration and the technical difficulties with the integration. Selection of the metric of choice (either range or mean value of the cost estimate) will have to be completed after validation trials.

Issues of computational efficiency of the integrated Genetic Algorithm and Monte Carlo method are also of interest, as both are considered computationally expensive. We might find that the historical reliance on very small trial numbers for MC simulations is no longer needed. If combinatorial explosion remains a challenge future work will be directed towards examining what possible processes can be used to making the Monte Carlo method more efficient, thereby reducing the computational effort required by the AUTONOM system as it deals with the many maintenance tasks required to keep the Rail network performing.

Acknowledgements

This research is performed as part of the "AUTONOM: Integrated through-life support for high-value systems" project. This project is funded by EPSRC and supported by Network Rail, BAE Systems, Schlumberger, DSTL, NNL, Sellafield and UKSA.

References

- [1] The Future Railway. Technical Strategy Leadership Group. (2012) <http://www.futurerailway.org/RTS/About/Documents/RTS%202012%20The%20Future%20Railway.pdf>
- [2] Network Rail, (2014), Network Rail's activity and expenditure plans. <http://www.networkrail.co.uk/browse%20documents/strategicbusinessplan/cp5/supporting%20documents/our%20activity%20and%20expenditure%20plan%20maintenance%20expenditure%20summary.pdf>
- [3] <http://gow.epsrc.ac.uk/NGBOViewGrant.aspx?GrantRef=EP/J011630/1>
- [4] Kirkwood, L., Shehab, E., Baguley, P., Amorim-Melo, P., Durazo-Cardenas, I. Challenges in cost analysis of innovative maintenance of distributed high-value assets. *Procedia CIRP* 2014;22: 148-151.
- [5] Durazo-Cardenas I., Starr A., Tumer C., Kirkwood L., Bevilacqua M., Baguley P., Shehab E. An Integrated Condition-Based, planning and cost-weighted rail intelligent maintenance system. *Railway engineering 13th International conference*. 2015.
- [6] ISO 31000:2009 Risk management - Principles and guidelines
- [7] Milliken, F.J. Three Types of Perceived Uncertainty about the Environment: State, Effect, and Response. *The Academy of Management Review*. 1987; 12: 133-143.
- [8] Ashill, N. & Jobber, D. The effects of the external environment on marketing decision-maker uncertainty. *Journal of Marketing Management*. 2013;30: 268-294.
- [9] Erkoyuncu, J.A. Cost Uncertainty Management and Modelling for Industrial Product-Service Systems. Cranfield University. PhD Thesis. 2011.
- [10] Marseguerra M., Zio E. Optimizing maintenance and repair policies via a combination of genetic algorithms and Monte Carlo simulation. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* 2000; 68: 69-83
- [11] Marseguerra M., Zio E., Podofillini L. Condition-based maintenance optimization by means of genetic algorithms and Monte Carlo simulation. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* 2002; 77: 151-166
- [12] Cantoni M., Marseguerra M., Zio E. Genetic algorithms and Monte Carlo simulation for optimal plant design. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety* 2000;68: 29-38



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Integration of cost-risk assessment of denial of service within an intelligent maintenance system

Carlander, L.; Kirkwood, Leigh; Shehab, Essam; Baguley, Paul; Durazo-Cardenas, Isidro

2016-06-13

Elsevier

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2016.03.229>

L. Carlander, L. Kirkwood, E. Shehab, P. Baguley, I. Durazo-Cardenas, Integration of Cost-risk Assessment of Denial of Service within an Intelligent Maintenance System, Procedia CIRP, Volume 47, 2016, pp66-71