

Development of an experimental S-CO₂ loop for bottoming cycle applications

Eduardo Anselmi^a, Pavlos Zachos^a, Robert Collins^b, Mark Hassan^b

^aCranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, United Kingdom

^bRolls-Royce plc, Derby, DE24 8BJ, United Kingdom

E-Mail: e.a.anselmipalma@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract: This paper describes the design of a supercritical carbon dioxide [S-CO₂] rig for bottoming power cycle applications. The final envisaged layout of the facility includes a fully coupled compressor-turbine system, a number of heat exchangers to enable heat management of the cycle and a control system for start-ups, shut-downs, inventory control and transient operation of the loop. The objective of the preliminary design phase is to experimentally de-risk the robustness of the closed loop system as well as prove the purpose of individual components and various measurement and control modules.

1. Introduction

Waste heat recovery is the process of using residual exhaust heat to generate additional power from a primary (topping) power cycle. This approach has notable benefits in terms of combined cycle efficiency enhancements without additional fuel burn. However, it comes with the pitfall of increased footprint and weight of the retrofitted topping power plant. This drawback can be addressed by a S-CO₂ plant, which offers the possibility of substantially high power-to-size/weight ratios [1].

The rig design described herein is a pilot research project, aiming to de-risk the development of key components for a future prototype demonstrator of a bottoming S-CO₂ power cycle. During the preliminary stages of this work, the focus is on testing the compressor and the main heat cycle exchanger (MHEX). In order to de-risk each component individually, the envisaged closed loop S-CO₂ system allows de-coupled testing of the main heat exchanger and the compression system by means of the sub-loops that are separately controlled.

The project incorporates some of the lessons learned from existing test facilities of this type [2-11].

2. Rig design considerations

The aim of this project is to design, build and commission a closed loop S-CO₂ system to enable critical component testing and whole cycle demonstration of a representative waste heat recovery system. As starting point, a simple recuperated Brayton cycle has been selected as a baseline reference system. This design choice will allow interfaces with data acquisition and control systems and a gradual development and implementation of more sophisticated layouts such as simple recuperated split shafts or nested expansion configurations.

The development of the facility comprises the following stages (see also Table 1):

1. Development of the baseline S-CO₂ closed loop facility and specification of required components and operating processes.
2. Development of a high-pressure air-to-CO₂ compact heat exchanger.
3. Design and development of an S-CO₂ compression system. This includes the design definition of the main flow path as well as the mechanical design of the system.
4. Development and implementation of data acquisition and rig control systems for operating the test loop:
 - o Compressor speed / recirculation valves
 - o Start-up/shut-down procedures
 - o CO₂ inventory control

Table 1- Roadmap of the test rig development

Stage	Components	Outcomes
I	Circulation compressor, expansion valve, post cooler	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - De-risk S-CO₂ loop. - Demonstrate component/rig robustness and proof of concept. - Demonstrate pressure and temperature acquisition data at supercritical state.
I + MHEX	MHEX, combustor, pre and post cooler, circulation compressor, expansion valve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Test MHEX performance. - Demonstrate pressure and temperature control cooling system.
II	Stage 1 + centrifugal compressor, expansion valve	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - De-risk compressor installation. - Demonstrate pressure and temperature control at supercritical state. - Demonstrate compressor performance at representative PR. - Demonstrate representative uncoupled rig control.
III	Stage 1 + centrifugal compressor, turbine - uncoupled	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - De-risk turbine installation. - Demonstrate uncoupled design point performance and control. - Demonstrate part load/transient
IV	Stage 1 + centrifugal compressor, turbine - coupled	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Demonstrate coupled design point performance and control. - Demonstrate start-up & shut-down process of representative cycle.

3 . Description of the experimental loop

The combined conceptual schematic diagram of stages I, I + MHEX and II is shown in Figure 1. The loop at stages I and I + MHEX comprises of a main heat exchanger, an expansion valve, pre- and post-cooler heat exchangers and a transcritical compressor. A combustor will provide the required heat input to the system while the heat sink will be an evaporative cooling system. Stage II features the centrifugal compressor and the recirculation anti-surge valve. Ultimately, a fully coupled operation of the compression – expansion systems is envisaged. Table 2 summarises the main operating parameters of the envisaged S-CO₂ facility.

Table 2- Design parameters for the S-CO₂ rig test facility.

Parameter	Stages: I / I + MHEX	Stage II
Overall Pressure Ratio	2.66	1.95
Top pressure [MPa]	12	15
Top temperature [K]	450 / 820	820
Bottom pressure [MPa]	4.5	7.7
Inlet compressor temperature [K]	294	305
CO₂ mass flow [kg/s]	1	5
CO₂ mass flow to MHEX [kg/s]	0.3	




Figure 1 – Schematic of the envisaged S-CO₂ test rig. Blue: Stage I loop, Black: Stage II loop.

4. Loop design considerations

The initial phases of the rig commissioning process will address closed loop system robustness, monitoring and controllability of gas properties across the system, CO₂ inventory management and health and safety considerations.

Heat exchanger testing will be the objective of rig Sage I and Stage I + MHEX. The design will feature a “hybrid” printed circuit (PCHE) and formed plate heat exchanger (FPHE) technology (see Southall *et al.* [11]). The former has been used in recuperation applications, including S-CO₂ rig test at high pressure (SANDIA [7,12], Bechtel [8]). The latter is more applicable to low pressure cases as its mechanical integrity at high-pressure is questionable. Combination of these two technologies will extend the applicability at high pressures.

A set of transcritical compressors from the refrigeration industry will be used to deliver the specified flow rate of 1 kg/s for Stage I. For the expansion process, a control valve will temporarily replace the turbine required in these cycles. A series of flow mixing and splitting points shown in Figure 1, will be used for temperature control across the system.

A bespoke, supercritical centrifugal compression system will be commissioned and tested during Stage II of the facility development. Lessons learnt from previous stages will allow a progressive implementation of more sophisticated measurement techniques. At stage II, pressure and temperature measurements will be introduced at key loop stations such as compressor inlet, compressor outlet and post cooler inlet. Additionally, static pressure measurements will be taken from the surface shroud adjacent to the gas path and at several locations along the leakage path in order to verify predictions and enable reactive system protection. Based on desired temperature measurement accuracy, platinum resistance temperature detectors of class A will be used (± 0.2 K envisaged accuracy). Finally, for mass flow and density measurements, Coriolis mass flow meters ($\pm 0.1\%$ envisaged accuracy), will be installed at the inlet of the compressor.

5. Summary and future plans

This abstract describes the development phases of a supercritical CO₂ closed loop system to enable testing of key components of a representative waste heat recovery system. This loop is designed to satisfy testing requirements of each major component in a flexible environment. Commissioning of the loop is currently planned for early 2017 with initial tests planned for later that year.

6. Acknowledgments

This research has received funding from Innovate UK under project reference 101982. The authors are grateful to Rolls-Royce plc and Meggitt Ltd T/A Heatric for its support during the project. The authors would like to thank the input of Michael Johnston and Vassilios Pachidis in this research effort.

7. References

- [1] Dostál, V., Driscoll, M J and Hejzlar, P. “A supercritical carbon dioxide cycle for the next generation nuclear reactors”. MIT, MIT-ANP-TR-10, 2004.
- [2] Wang, J., Huang, Y., Zang, J. and Liu, G. “Research activities on supercritical carbon dioxide power conversion technology in China”. *Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2015*. ASME GT2015-43938, Montreal, Canada, June 2015.
- [3] Garg, P., Krishna, S. H., Kumar, P., Conboy, T. and Ho, C. “Advanced low pressure cycle for concentrated solar power generation”. *Proceedings of the ASME 2014 8th International Conference of Energy Sustainability, ES 2014 Collocated with the ASME 2012 12th International Conference on Fuel Cell Science, Engineering and Technology*. Volume 1: 30 June 2014.
- [4] Vesely, L., Dostal, V. and Hajek, P. “Design of experimental loop with supercritical carbon dioxide”. *Proceedings of International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, ICONE. Volume 3: Next Generation Reactors and Advanced Reactors; Nuclear Safety and Security*. Czech Republic, 7 July 2014.
- [5] Hasuike, H., Yamamoto, T., Fukushima, T., Watanabe, T., Utamura, M. and Aritomi, M. “Test plan and preliminary test results of a bench scale closed cycle gas turbine with supercritical CO₂”. *Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2010*. ASME GT2010-22171, Glasgow, UK, June 2010.
- [6] Ahn, Y., Lee, J., Kim, S. G., Lee, J. I. and Cha, J. E. “The design study of supercritical carbon dioxide integral experiment loop”. *Proceedings of the ASME Turbo Expo 2013*. ASME GT2013-94122, San Antonio, USA, June 2013.

- [7] Wright, S. A., Conboy, T. M., Parma, E. J., Lewis, T. G., Rochau, G. A. and Suo-Anttila, A. J. “Summary of the Sandia Supercritical CO₂ development program”. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles Symposium*. Colorado, USA. 2011.
- [8] Clementoni, E. M. and Cox, T. L. “Steady-state power operation of a supercritical carbon dioxide brayton cycle”. *Proceedings of the 4th International Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles Symposium*. USA. 2014.
- [9] Held, T. J. “Initial test results of a megawatt-class supercritical CO₂ heat engine”. *Proceedings of the 4th International Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles Symposium*. USA. 2014.
- [10] Moore, J., Brun, K., Evans, N., Bueno, P. and Kalra, C. “Development of 1 MWe supercritical CO₂ Brayton cycle test loop *Proceedings of the 4th International Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles Symposium*. USA. 2014.
- [11] Southall, D. and Dewson, S. J. “Innovative compact heat exchangers”. *Proceedings of International Congress on Advances in Nuclear Power Plants 2010, ICAPP 2010*. 2010. p. 218–226. ISBN 9781617386435.
- [12] Wright, S. A., Fuller, R. and Lipinski, R. J. “Sandia closed Brayton cycle test loop description and test results”. *Proceedings of American Nuclear Society Embedded Topical Meeting - 2005 Space Nuclear Conference*. San Diego, USA, June 2005. p. 804–811.

8. List of Symbols

FHPE	Formed plate heat exchangers
MHEX	Main heat exchanger
PCHE	Printed circuit heat exchangers



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Development of an experimental S-CO₂ loop for bottoming cycle applications

Anselmi Palma, Eduardo; Zachos, Pavlos K.; Collins, Robert; Hassan, Mark

2016-10-11

Unknown

<https://sco2-seminar-2016.conf.tuwien.ac.at/>

Anselmi E, Zachos P, Collins R, Hassan M. (2016) Development of an experimental S-CO₂ loop for bottoming cycle applications. 1st European Seminar on Supercritical CO₂ (sCO₂) Power Systems, 29-30 September 2016, Vienna, Austria