



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED BY

Klemens Katterbauer,  
Euclid University, Central African Republic

## REVIEWED BY

Iryna Mihus,  
Scientific Center of Innovative Research, Estonia

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Nam Vu,  
✉ Nam.Vu@cranfield.ac.uk

RECEIVED 03 December 2025

REVISED 12 January 2026

ACCEPTED 23 January 2026

PUBLISHED 17 February 2026

## CITATION

Otoibhi I, Watters C, Giangan A and Vu N (2026)  
Sentiment and thematic trends in african  
cryptocurrency literature: a mini review.  
*Front. Blockchain* 9:1760261.  
doi: 10.3389/fbloc.2026.1760261

## COPYRIGHT

© 2026 Otoibhi, Watters, Giangan and Vu. This is  
an open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the copyright  
owner(s) are credited and that the original  
publication in this journal is cited, in accordance  
with accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted which  
does not comply with these terms.

# Sentiment and thematic trends in african cryptocurrency literature: a mini review

Ighodaro Otoibhi<sup>1</sup>, Casey Watters<sup>1</sup>, Angie Giangan<sup>2</sup> and  
Nam Vu<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Law, Bond University, Robina, QLD, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Independent Researcher, Robina, QLD, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Centre for Logistics, Procurement and Supply Chain Management, Cranfield School of Management, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, United Kingdom

Cryptocurrencies are alternative mediums of exchange to traditional fiat currencies, such as the dollar or the euro. A typical cryptocurrency is digital-only, is stored in distributed ledger technology (notably Blockchain), and conducts its transactions in a decentralized network system. While there exists a considerable amount of literature addressing the roles of cryptocurrencies and other decentralized assets in developed economies, there is a lack of effort in discovering the role of these assets in the developing world. To contribute to a holistic understanding of cryptocurrencies and their values/impacts, this review examines the use of cryptocurrencies in Africa, identifying key trends and sentiment over time. Following a systematic process of reviewing the literature, the authors identified 121 relevant papers on the subject. We found that in the early days, cryptocurrencies were primarily used to address specific financial challenges in Africa, such as high remittance costs and a large underbanked population. Later on, cryptocurrencies began to adopt additional roles, such as diversifying investment, and central bank digital currencies and other digital assets emerged as legitimate means of payment and financial services. Furthermore, using VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner) sentiment analysis tool, we identified four critical periods in the development of cryptocurrencies in Africa and the associated sentiment regarding this type of asset from the literature. Our findings contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the development and main use of cryptocurrencies in Africa.

## KEYWORDS

blockchain, CBDC, cryptocurrency, financial inclusion, FinTech, regulation, remittances, volatility

## 1 Introduction

The 2009 Global Financial Crisis gave rise to the birth of the first cryptocurrency, namely, Bitcoin. Cryptocurrency is a digital asset that facilitates transactions between counterparties, given that it can be used as a means of exchange. Cryptocurrency holders store their assets in distributed ledger technology, while transactions are recorded in a decentralized network system (Aysan et al., 2021). The value of cryptocurrency is derived from the scale of participants who are motivated to adopt the technology due to myriad socio-economic influences. These factors have spurred the churning out of a large pool of literature within Africa and beyond.

The majority of the academic literature on cryptocurrencies, other decentralized digital assets, and central bank digital currencies (CBDC) focuses on their role in the United States and other developed countries. However, the role of these assets and their importance in the areas of financial inclusion and as a store of value are different in the developing world, where the Central African Republic was one of the first two countries globally to recognize Bitcoin as legal tender (Katterbauer et al., 2022a; Watters, 2023b). This research examines the English language literature in economics and finance, business and management, and social sciences, focusing on cryptocurrencies and their use in Africa to identify trends in topics and sentiment over time.

Findings in the review show that the sentiments observed in some of the relevant scholarship regarding factors driving cryptocurrency investment and use of CBDC within the African continent are not fundamentally due to economic and financial indicators. For example, while Oladapo (2024) links psychological, financial considerations, and demographic elements to Bitcoin investments in Nigeria, Ahmed et al. (2025b) investigate the adoption of eNaira by Nigerian retailers, revealing that usability perceptions and emotional factors greatly mediate the adoption of the asset. Other than these internalities, social elements are also essential to the motivation to participate in the cryptocurrency markets and the provision of technological services. Thus, some social science literature accentuates persuasions from regulation, governance, and social inclusion perspectives in the analysis of cryptocurrencies and their usage. Scholars such as Ebong and George (2021) highlight the way digital financial services enable inclusion in Uganda, while Chitimira and Torerai (2023), Mahadew and Mauree (2024), and Abdelgawad (2024), in their study of the application of anti-money laundering (AML) regulation and Islamic law to cryptocurrency in Africa (see also (Katterbauer et al., 2022c; Katterbauer et al., 2022b)), point out that conflicts exist between regulatory authorities, religious tenets, and financial technology innovation. Nevertheless, a good portion of the literature from the social sciences betrays positive signals towards cryptocurrency operation in the continent, albeit cautioning that social inclusion gains must be weighed against vulnerabilities such as fraud and money laundering (Antwi et al., 2023; Hersi, 2024; Esoimeme, 2018).

The study sets out to answer the question, “What are the topics and sentiment of literature regarding cryptocurrencies in Africa, and have they changed over time? In so doing, the study will show that the literature considered within the time period set by the sentiment analysis proves that consistent positive sentiment towards cryptocurrencies was maintained throughout the period.

## 2 Methodology

### 2.1 Literature search strategy and inclusion criteria

The mini review adopted the Scopus index to identify literature on decentralized digital assets and their use in Africa. The Scopus database is commonly used for literature reviews (Nazarovets and Mryglod, 2025; Kusuma et al., 2024; Lionar et al., 2025; Hartanto et al., 2024) due to its comprehensive nature and quality controls. The search required the article to have one word related to

cryptocurrency in the title, abstract, or as a key word (“cryptocurrency” OR “cryptocurrencies” OR “crypto currency” OR “crypto currencies” OR “digital currency” OR “digital currencies” OR “virtual money” OR “decentralized currency” OR “decentralised currency” OR “decentralized currencies” OR “decentralised currencies” OR “digital assets” OR “virtual currency” OR “virtual currencies” OR “Bitcoin” OR “Ethereum”). It also required the word Africa, the name of an African country, or the corresponding adjective. The following search string was borrowed from Wang et al. (2025).

TITLE-ABS-KEY (“Africa” OR “Algeria” OR “Angola” OR “Benin” OR “Botswana” OR “Burkina Faso” OR “Burundi” OR “Cabo Verde” OR “Cameroon” OR “Central African” OR “Chad” OR “Comoros” OR “Congo” OR “Djibouti” OR “Egypt” OR “Equatorial Guinea” OR “Eritrea” OR “Eswatini” OR “Ethiopia” OR “Gabon” OR “Gambia” OR “Ghana” OR “Guinea” OR “Guinea-Bissau” OR “Ivory Coast” OR “Kenya” OR “Lesotho” OR “Liberia” OR “Libya” OR “Madagascar” OR “Malawi” OR “Mali” OR “Mauritania” OR “Mauritius” OR “Morocco” OR “Mozambique” OR “Namibia” OR “Niger” OR “Nigeria” OR “Rwanda” OR “Sao Tome and Principe” OR “Senegal” OR “Seychelles” OR “Sierra Leone” OR “Somalia” OR “South Africa” OR “South Sudan” OR “Sudan” OR “Tanzania” OR “Togo” OR “Tunisia” OR “Uganda” OR “Zambia” OR “Zimbabwe” OR “African” OR “Algerian” OR “Angolan” OR “Beninese” OR “Batswana” OR “Burkinabé” OR “Burundian” OR “Cape Verdean” OR “Cameroonian” OR “Central African” OR “Chadian” OR “Comoran” OR “Congolese” OR “Djiboutian” OR “Egyptian” OR “Equatorial Guinean” OR “Eritrean” OR “Swazi” OR “Ethiopian” OR “Gabonese” OR “Gambian” OR “Ghanaian” OR “Guinean” OR “Bissau-Guinean” OR “Ivorian” OR “Kenyan” OR “Basotho” OR “Liberian” OR “Libyan” OR “Malagasy” OR “Malawian” OR “Malian” OR “Mauritanian” OR “Mauritian” OR “Moroccan” OR “Mozambican” OR “Namibian” OR “Nigerien” OR “Nigerian” OR “Rwandan” OR “São Toméan” OR “Senegalese” OR “Seychellois” OR “Sierra Leonean” OR “Somali” OR “South African” OR “South Sudanese” OR “Sudanese” OR “Tanzanian” OR “Togolese” OR “Tunisian” OR “Ugandan” OR “Zambian” OR “Zimbabwean”).

The search, conducted on 25 May 2025, was limited to publications in economics and finance, business and management, and social sciences. This returned 202 documents. After excluding conference papers, books, and chapters, there were 135 articles.

Six more were excluded for being non-English (5 in Russian and 1 in Spanish). Of the 129 articles that were examined, eight were excluded for not being about cryptocurrency and therefore being outside the scope (Hutchens, 2012; Kurfi, 2009; Erima and Mosweu, 2022; Arinze et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2023) or primarily focusing on jurisdictions outside of Africa (Rosales, 2019; Shroff et al., 2025; Abdullah et al., 2023). This left 121 used in the review. As this study is not a meta-analysis but rather examines sentiment trends in Africa over time, we sought to include all literature unless the search returned a false positive and the article did not discuss cryptocurrency or Africa. This inclusive approach is adopted to avoid the risk of bias in selecting articles for inclusion. For example, the first excluded article (Hutchens, 2012) is about a library’s digital resources (described as digital assets) and the editor’s first name is



Chad (same as the African country). As this article does not discuss anything about cryptocurrency or Africa, it is excluded. The literature was then divided into four periods, each representing 3 years.<sup>1</sup> For each time period, the five most common keywords were identified to assess trends. While only one Scopus-indexed article in the covered disciplines was written regarding cryptocurrency in Africa prior to 2017, thereby limiting the value of the sentiment analysis during this period, the lack of literature itself is still significant in understanding the role of cryptocurrency in Africa over time. Sentiment was calculated for all articles available through the Bond University library using VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner), a sentiment analysis tool that is part of the NLTK Python library and commonly used in academic sentiment analysis (Asthana et al., 2024; Youvan, 2024; Biswas and Ghosh, 2022). For the pre-2017 period, the sole article was available for analysis. For the 2017–2019 period, 2 of the 9 articles were unavailable. For the 2020–2022 period, 4 of the 39 articles were unavailable, and for the final period (2023–2025), only 48 of the 72 articles were available. The unavailability of articles during the final period appears to stem from a delay in recently published articles being included in the database. As there is unlikely to be a correlation between the sentiment and whether an article is included (or its inclusion is delayed) in a commercial academic

database, excluding the unavailable articles is unlikely to have a material impact on the findings.

Sentiment analysis can be conducted via machine/deep learning models or via lexicon-based models (Kumar et al., 2024). As a lexicon-based model, VADER provides a more reproducible result but lacks the nuance of some machine learning models (He et al., 2025). Lexicons-based approaches are often used on short text, but VADER is also used for academic text and general literature (Vinodini, 2023; Youvan, 2024). The mean sentiment score for the literature from each time period is listed in Table 1 below, with 1 representing entirely positive literature and –1 representing entirely negative literature. The percentage of positive literature is also listed for each time period.

As a lexicon model for general text, VADER operates well across disciplines. While discipline-specific models, such as CryptoBERT (Moradi-Kamali et al., 2025; van der Veen and Bleich, 2025), may provide better accuracy, such models are generally trained for limited topics and literature types (Kulakowski and Frasincar, 2023) and are sensitive to domain and dialect mismatch. VADER performs better when analyzing literature across contexts (Hutto and Gilbert, 2014). The focus of this study is cryptocurrency. However, the literature encompasses a range of topics and asset types (Watters, 2023a), including risks, financial inclusion, banking, and CBDCs, from several academic disciplines. Furthermore, the literature originates from across Africa, and thus the risk of national or regional linguistic differences makes a cryptocurrency-specific model less ideal than a transparent, non-fine-tuned general model when undertaking this comparative analysis. Otherwise, models may incorporate locale-specific biases. While adopting VADER over a fine-tuned domain-specific model supports transparency and limits bias, it is important to note that general lexicon models, including VADER, may yield more extreme results than fine-tuned models (He et al., 2025).

After completing the sentiment by time period, a word cloud (see Figure 1 above) was produced using the available articles across the four time periods. This word cloud illustrates the key themes found in the literature.

### 3 Discussion

The first-ever literature on cryptocurrency, that is, the Bitcoin white paper, titled *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*, proposed a new financial system independent of intermediaries, wherein transactions with a decentralized digital currency are conducted in a peer-to-peer network community. This is contrary to the intermediate role played by banks operating in the traditional financial system. Thus, early literature in Africa after the creation of Bitcoin by Satoshi Nakamoto in 2009 was centered on remittances and financial inclusion, with the key questions bordering on whether cryptocurrencies could facilitate reduced transaction costs in international remittances for Africans. The debate was necessary given the high transaction fees charged by financial firms such as Western Union and MoneyGram. Other topics included technological infrastructure and barriers, as well as the perception of cryptocurrency volatility, and, later, regulatory challenges, although these areas lacked depth in analysis. These discussions, however, were largely outside the academic sphere in

<sup>1</sup> The final time period goes until the search date of 25 May 2025.

<sup>2</sup> The design is inspired by Wang et al. WANG, J., TANG, L., ZHANG, J., CHOI, B.-K. and PHILLIPS, C. 2025. Green Human Resource Management System Development in Africa: A Systematic Literature Review. *Systems*, 13, 526.

TABLE 1 Article topics and sentiment by period.

| Period    | Total | Sentiment                         | Keywords                                                                   | Citations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2014–2016 | 1     | Mean<br>0.999<br>Positive<br>100% | Bitcoin<br>Cryptocurrency<br>Currency<br>Virtual Currency                  | Nieman (2015)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 2017–2019 | 9     | Mean<br>0.437<br>Positive<br>71%  | Mixed Method<br>Taxation<br>Inside Money<br>Private Currency<br>Output Tax | Traceable chain (2019), Vincent and Evans (2019), Wang and De Filippi (2019), Greeff (2019), Chudinovskikh and Sevryugin (2019), Salawu and Moloi (2018), Ram (2018), Nair and Emozozo (2018), Esoimeme (2018)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| 2020–2022 | 39    | Mean<br>0.96<br>Positive<br>97%   | Africa<br>COVID-19<br>CBDC<br>Kenya<br>Digitization                        | Mthembu et al. (2022), Mzoughi et al. (2022), Zhao (2022), David (2022), Gopane (2022), Amponsah et al. (2022), Sami and Abdallah (2022), Kumah and Odei-Mensah (2022), Koroma et al. (2022), Terták and Kovács (2022), Kumah et al. (2022), Nkrumah-Boadu et al. (2022), Ndlovu and Chikobvu (2022), Cheng (2022), Parsons (2022), Shahzad et al. (2022), Raifu and Ogbonna (2022), Bziker (2021), Ussher et al. (2021), Chigbu and Nekhwevha (2021), Levulytė and Šapkauskienė (2021), Sami and Abdallah (2021), Gobena (2021), Ebong and George (2021), Kumah and Odei-Mensah (2021), Nadarajah et al. (2021), Alonso et al. (2021), Mqamelo (2021), Ukwueze (2021), Hamukuaya (2021), Omane-Adjepong and Alagidede (2021), Vorwerk and Rexroth (2020), Dzandza (2020), Dahir et al. (2020), Morvant-Roux and Peixoto-Charles (2020), Al-Naif (2020), Mavilia and Pisani (2020), Mazambani and Mutambara (2020), Barinaga (2020)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 2023–2025 | 72    | Mean<br>0.736<br>Positive<br>85%  | Nigeria<br>eNaira Financial<br>Inclusion<br>Central Banks                  | Adom-Dankwa et al. (2025), Ahmed et al. (2025a), Makurumidze et al. (2025), Ngong (2025), Thi Hang (2025), Korsah et al. (2025), Saleh et al. (2025), Osakwe et al. (2025), Owusu Amponsah et al. (2025), Belguith et al. (2025), David and Addo (2025), Bonhoure (2025), Ahmed et al. (2025b), Liu (2025), Berthou and Ponsot (2025), Smit and van der Merwe (2025), Aghdam et al. (2024), Joseph et al. (2024), Ndlovu and Chikobvu (2024), Chikobvu and Ndlovu (2024), Umeokwobi et al. (2024), Koziuk et al. (2024), McPherson-Smith (2024), Omotubora (2024), Hersi (2024), Ozili (2024), Alam et al. (2024), Liu et al. (2024), Dowd (2024), Kumah (2024), Abdurrahman et al. (2024), Chkili (2024), Garba et al. (2024), Gbadebo (2024a), Idowu et al. (2024), Gbadebo (2024b), Sestino et al. (2024), Olaleye et al. (2024), Mahadew and Mauree (2024), Umoru et al. (2024), Qabhobho et al. (2024), Lau et al. (2024), Marin and Dumitrescu (2024), Aggarwal et al. (2024), Oladapo (2024), Shaik et al. (2024), Abdelgawad (2024), Boakye-Adjei et al. (2023), Stringham (2023), Kumar et al. (2023), Chitimira and Torerai (2023), Ehret and Olaniyan (2023), Ndlovu and Chikobvu (2023), Rehman et al. (2023), Gobena (2023), Ozili (2023), Chikobvu and Ndlovu (2023a), Nyakurukwa and Seetharam (2023), Denecker et al. (2023), Zharikov (2023), Ngulube (2023), Jutel (2023), Baş et al. (2023), Aggarwal et al. (2023), Salem et al. (2023), Mohanty and Tangara (2023), Antwi et al. (2023), Budree and Nyathi (2023), Vumazonke and Parsons (2023), Chikobvu and Ndlovu (2023b), Gupta et al. (2023), Mohammed (2023) |

Africa, with only one Scopus-indexed academic paper published up to 2016. It was not until 2017 that the literature on cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology in Africa assumed a broader perception (Joseph et al., 2024). These encompass topics such as macroeconomic stability and cryptocurrency coexistence with fiat currencies (Nadarajah et al., 2021), policy and monetary dynamics (Abdelgawad, 2024), investment diversification and risks (Chikobvu and Ndlovu, 2023a; Chikobvu and Ndlovu, 2023b; Chikobvu and Ndlovu, 2024), and cross-border payment and capital flow (Boakye-Adjei et al., 2023). Additional topics, ranging from business and management perspectives to social sciences perspectives, include institutionalization of digital finance (Ehret and Olaniyan, 2023), governance and regulation (McPherson-Smith, 2024), socio-political critiques (Jutel, 2023), and labor and development (Chigbu and Nekhwevha, 2021).

The pre-2017 cryptocurrency literature in Africa primarily takes the form of reports and non-scopus indexed literature and highlighted the use of cryptocurrency as a practical solution to Africa's high remittance costs and financial inclusion deficit, as evidenced by the large number of underbanked populations. Citing

research from 2012 to 2015, an Afreximbank policy paper presented the arguments put forward by scholars, who argue that financial inclusion has the potential to reduce economic hardship and mitigate external shocks. The promotion of economic growth and development in Africa has drawn the attention of development institutions and policymakers to the phenomenon (Agbloyor, 2025). Notwithstanding this, the articles further argued that African countries typically fall short of achieving financial inclusion compared to the rest of the world. Thus, another cardinal question that the literature on cryptocurrencies sought to answer during this period was whether technology could enhance financial inclusion in the countries of the continent. Dzeha and others (Agbloyor, 2025) maintained that these studies showed that remittances and financial technology (FinTech) individually stimulate financial inclusion. Nevertheless, the consideration of the interactive effects of both phenomena on financial inclusion has not been sufficiently analyzed (Agbloyor, 2025). The authors opined that the underpinning insight of the interaction is that an increase in FinTech facilitation of transactions promotes an undemanding flow of remittances, which in turn incentivizes households to open bank

accounts (Agbloyor, 2025). Particularly, it can be deduced from the above analysis that the increase in the use of cryptocurrencies to facilitate low-cost remittances has increased financial inclusion in Africa. This positive sentiment is expressed in Table 1, with the data showing 100% in the sentiment analysis from 2014 to 2016 stems, in part, from the limited literature during this period and is also despite notable hindrances in the early adoption of cryptocurrency in Africa, such as digital literacy, limited internet access, and suspicion of fraud.

Evidence from post-2016 empirical literature proves that African countries leverage blockchain networks to allow Bitcoin to be used in financial transactions, owing to local conditions present in the African financial system (Kumah, 2024). These conditions include high inflation, exchange rate volatility, mismanagement by banks, and corruption. For example, in investigating the coexistence of Bitcoin and African fiat currencies and the macroeconomic implications for the period of 2015–2022, Kumah (2024) applied quantile regression (QR) and quantile-in-quantile regression (QQR) to analyze the time-varying asymmetry behavior of Bitcoin and six African fiat currencies. The QR result illustrated that in the medium-term, Ghana, Mauritius, Nigeria, and Tunisia present negative associations but positive relations in the long-term (Kumah, 2024). Although South Africa and Egypt show positive dependencies in the medium-term and long-term, it was observed that the Egyptian Pound (EGP) experienced positive and negative switches during the period (Kumah, 2024). The macroeconomic implication of the findings is that during inflation and currency crises of Cede, Dina, Naira, and Rupee, Bitcoin can be used to hedge the currencies at all possible market conditions, signifying that the cryptocurrency is a feasible alternative currency in the medium-term (Kumah, 2024). The comparative performance against major African currencies during this time likely explains why 71% of the articles showed positive sentiment towards cryptocurrency from 2017 to 2019. This further escalated to 97% positive with a mean positive score of 0.96 for 2020–2022. While this is partially indicative of the performance of major cryptocurrencies compared to national currencies at the time, the sentiment remained strong after 2022, with 85% of articles being positive and a mean positive score of 0.736, despite cryptocurrency entering a bear market.

Despite the benefits of cryptocurrencies noted above, crypto volatility in Africa poses risks to financial system stability if adequate policy and regulatory frameworks are not implemented. Adopting a mixed-methodology approach, Joseph et al. (2024) asserted that there are two strands of cryptocurrency literature from the period of 2018–2021. The first strand argues that there is no significant interconnectedness between traditional financial markets and cryptocurrency markets, backing this view with the portfolio theory. According to this theory, cryptocurrency is used to diversify portfolios across various asset classes or to hedge volatility in the conventional financial system (Joseph et al., 2024). The other strand, consisting of a few studies focused on Africa, found that the correlation between the two markets is moderate but growing (Joseph et al., 2024). For example, Kumah and Odei-Mensah (2021) found no evidence to support a spillover effect of the cryptocurrency market crisis on the traditional financial markets. However, a counterargument from other strands of literature, such as that of Omane-Adjepong and Alagidede

(2021), in line with the financial integration theory, found substantial evidence to suggest that financial crises within cryptocurrency markets could spill over into conventional financial markets. Nevertheless, with the employment of the dynamic conditional correlation-generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (DCC-GARCH) model, Joseph et al. (2024) found no evidence “of a spillover effect from the African financial markets to the cryptocurrency markets” in their study.

The adoption of cryptocurrency in the traditional financial markets exacerbated the regulatory challenges regarding how value-added tax (VAT), income, and capital gains taxes are to be treated. Between 2017 and 2019, some African cryptocurrency literature on taxation focused on these issues. Using an interpretative mixed method, Ram (2018) observed that for tax purposes, Bitcoin is perceived as distinct from fiat currency because the transactions with the cryptocurrency are seen as barter transactions. The author also posited that the way Bitcoin needs to be regulated should be the same as fiat currency (Ram, 2018). Another study found that negative consequences result from the application of the South African VAT Act No. 89 of 1991, wherein the receipt of Bitcoin as consideration for the supply of goods or services and the exchange of the cryptocurrency for South African rand is subject to a 14% standard rate output tax, which will consequently engender “double taxation.” (Greeff, 2019). In all identified topics, the sentiment analysis result, as shown in Table 1, reveals that 71% of the selected African cryptocurrency literature in the sample period expressed positive sentiment.

Thematic studies in the African cryptocurrency literature focused more on the impact of cryptocurrency on the financial system from 2020 to 2022, partly because of the COVID-19 pandemic’s effect on market performance and the increased government’s inclination towards financial sovereignty. An evaluation of the cryptocurrency-stocks nexus of “three COVID-19 worst-hit African countries,” namely, South Africa, Nigeria, and Egypt, revealed that it provided a safe-haven for African stocks (Raifu and Ogbonna, 2022). Conversely, some literature showed evidence of −1.71% and −0.78% negative reaction for “the Nigerian stock market and cryptocurrency market” when the announcement of the first death was made in comparison to the “first reported case of the outbreak” (Mohammed, 2023). The observed negative effect during the pandemic has been tied to the co-movement of the cryptocurrency market and the regulated financial market (Mohammed, 2023). However, in the case of Tunisia, a study showed that throughout the COVID-19 outbreak, the incorporation of Bitcoin in an appropriately diversified benchmark portfolio brought significant diversification benefits to the Tunisian sectoral stock markets (Salem et al., 2023).

It is noteworthy to state that the digitization of financial services in Africa during the sample period paved the way for the adoption of CBDC and other digital currencies. These financial innovations were used to trade and receive money throughout the COVID-19 pandemic by financially disadvantaged people in Africa. An empirical study focused on “Grassroots Economics Community

Inclusion Currency (CIC) model,” known as the Sarafu Network, which was conducted on xDAI blockchain in Nairobi, Kenya, showed a connection between the transfer of cryptocurrency tokens worth \$30 to beneficiaries and an increase in the participants’ CIC expenditure, average trade size, income, among others (Mqamelo, 2021). On these bases, it can be acknowledged that private currency and CBDC foster financial inclusion and economic welfare. Some African literature in the sample period of 2023–2025 articulated this view. While Boakye-Adjei et al. (2023) stated that CBDCs promote widespread access to payments and “other financial services,” Ahmed et al.’s (Ahmed et al., 2025b) survey, conducted in the capital territory of Nigeria, showed that emotions significantly influence Nigerian retailers’ adoption of the e-Naira (Nigeria’s CBDC). Perceived trustworthiness, usefulness, and user-friendliness were all significant factors (Ahmed et al., 2025b). Owing to these factors, the e-Naira maintains low popularity, as expressed in an International Monetary Fund working paper, which reports the average value of e-Naira weekly transactions at N923 million (Ree, 2023; Lee, 2025).

Other literature argues from a socio-cultural perspective, advocating for the reformation of a religiously influenced regulatory framework applicable to cryptocurrencies, such as the influence of *Fatwā* reflected in the Egyptian Central Bank and Banking Sector Law No. 194 of 2020 (Abdelgawad, 2024).

### 3.1 Implications and future research

By way of comparison, while the literature from 2014 to 2016 revealed existence but a lack of in-depth analysis regarding volatility and its effects on cryptocurrency transactions, evidence from the literature investigated in subsequent eras, as reviewed in this study, emphasized the threat posed by cryptocurrency market volatility. A large body of cryptocurrency literature discusses consumer protection and the establishment of regulatory frameworks, including AML regulations from the 2017–2019, 2020–2022, and 2023–2025 periods. African literature in these times analyzed the necessity for policy and regulatory frameworks to prevent fraud and possible negative effects of volatility on financial stability, resulting from the interactions between cryptocurrency and traditional financial markets. In contrast to these periods, the literature prior to 2017 lacks evidence of extensive study in these critical discussions, as reflected in the absence of literature on the topics. The findings suggest overall optimism. However, this optimism appears to be divided between the benefits of decentralized cryptocurrencies and CBDCs, with some considering decentralization a threat to economic stability.

While the literature across time reflects the benefits of cryptocurrency in Africa, such as the low cost of transactions, access to financial services, stock and fiat currency hedging during financial crisis, the positive sentiments resulting from the analysis in the entire sample period also signal the potential of cryptocurrency adoption in promoting the economic welfare of people living below the poverty line in Africa. Thus, policymakers should strategize on developing policies that engender the integration of cryptocurrency and other digital assets in Africa’s financial ecosystem. Nevertheless, there are issues associated with

the complexities of cryptocurrencies and their underlying technology, which may pose risks to the economic welfare of the less privileged in Africa. Also, the possibility that the interconnectedness of crypto and traditional financial markets could jeopardize Africa’s economic sustainability warrants further research to achieve financial inclusion through cryptocurrency adoption safely.

There is a wide range of issues addressed in other jurisdictions that were not a focus of the African literature, many of which relate to the use of smart contracts and other benefits of blockchain beyond the technology’s role as a medium of exchange, such as use in managing supply chains (Kiran and Shishank, 2022; Alsadi et al., 2023), protecting human rights (Al-Saqaf and Seidler, 2017), addressing disinformation (Al-Saqaf, 2019; Picha Edwardsson and Al-Saqaf, 2022), and ensuring product quality. Future research is recommended to see whether these issues are similarly overlooked in other developing jurisdictions.

## 4 Conclusion

The perspectives across disciplines convey varied understandings, utilising multimodal analyses to examine cryptocurrency adoption and financial inclusion in Africa. In accordance with the recurring topics in the individual sample period, while economists debate cryptocurrency adoption from a macroeconomic perspective, finance scholars highlight the interoperability of cryptocurrency and traditional stock markets, while also addressing systemic risks. Management and business research show the benefits of using cryptocurrencies for payments, institutional adoption of the technology, and trust. The social science literature situates the adoption of the technology within communal, cultural, and even political descriptions. These positive benefits result in an overall positive sentiment in academic literature across all time periods, including during the cryptocurrency bear market. The consistent positive results of these strands, considered in the sentiment analysis, indicate that cryptocurrencies hold potential for advancing financial inclusion in Africa. However, their success is contingent on complementing innovation with an appropriate regulatory framework that takes cognizance of local realities.

## Author contributions

IO: Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Conceptualization. CW: Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – review and editing, Methodology. AG: Investigation, Writing – review and editing, Methodology. NV: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declared that financial support was not received for this work and/or its publication.

## Conflict of interest

The author(s) declared that this work was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declared that generative AI was not used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial

intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Abdelgawad, H. M. (2024). The impact of Islamic law on cryptocurrency regulation in Egypt. *Manch. J. Transnational Islamic Law Pract.* 20, 381–388.
- Abdullah, A. M., Abdullah, H., Alias, N., and Rahman, M. R. C. A. (2023). Assessing the potential for asset diversification: an analysis of Brazilian stock indexes, bitcoin, gold, crude oil and exchange rates. *J. Invest. Strategies* 12, 53–83.
- Abdurrahman, D. T., Ayetigbo, O. A., Okunlola, O. C., and Adegbola, A. E. (2024). Revisiting the factors affecting cryptocurrency adoption: evidence from Nigeria. *J. Afr. Bus.*
- Adom-Dankwa, A., Atsu, F., Gyamfi, E. N., Amewu, G., and Ofori-Boateng, K. (2025). Sovereign bond yield and cryptocurrency returns within the frontier West African monetary zone: a dynamic contagion analysis. *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.* 12, 360. doi:10.1057/s41599-025-04599-0
- Agbloyor, G. (2025). International remittances, financial technology, and financial inclusion in Africa, Paper Series APRWPS/2025/05. *AFREXIMBANK Policy Res. Work.*
- Aggarwal, V., Sharma, S., Kumar, P., Mohnot, J., and Yadav, M. (2023). Lessons from the Russia–Ukraine war: portfolio optimisation in cryptos and stocks for investors in Ghana. *Int. J. Dipl. Econ.* 9, 160–175. doi:10.1504/ijdipec.2023.134635
- Aggarwal, V., Saini, M., Kumar, P., Yadav, M., and Doifode, A. (2024). Impact of cryptocurrencies on inflation: evidence from BICS countries. *Int. J. Dipl. Econ.* 10, 78–91. doi:10.1504/ijdipec.2024.137099
- Aghdam, S. M., Gharehchopogh, F. S., and Masdari, M. (2024). “A hybrid approach in opinion leaders selection using African vultures optimization and hunger games search algorithms. *Soc. Netw. Anal. Min.* 14, 60. doi:10.1007/s13278-024-01228-7
- Ahmed, M., Al-Hussaini, A. I. S., and Ibrahim, A. A. (2025a). Factors influencing the intention to use the Nigerian central bank digital currency (E-Naira) among Nigerian retailers. *J. Financial Serv. Mark.* 30, 1. doi:10.1057/s41264-024-00294-4
- Ahmed, M., Al-Hussaini, A. I. S., Ibrahim, A. A., and Abubakar, R. A. (2025b). Mediating effect of emotionality on the intention to use the Nigerian central bank digital currency (e-Naira). *Int. J. Bus. Syst. Res.* 19, 95–110. doi:10.1504/ijbsr.2025.145488
- Al-Naif, K. L. (2020). The relationship between crypto currencies and official arabian currencies exchange rate. *Acad. Account. Financial Stud. J.* 24.
- Al-Saqaf, W. (2019). Addressing disinformation by creating a blockchain-based fact check registry. *TRUTH TRUST ONLINE.*
- Al-Saqaf, W., and Seidler, N. (2017). Blockchain technology for social impact: opportunities and challenges ahead. *J. Cyber Policy* 2, 338–354. doi:10.1080/23738871.2017.1400084
- Alam, M. S., Amendola, A., Candila, V., and Jabarabadi, S. D. (2024). Is monetary policy a driver of cryptocurrencies? Evidence from a structural break GARCH-MIDAS approach. *Econometrics* 12, 2. doi:10.3390/econometrics12010002
- Alonso, S. L. N., Jorge-Vazquez, J., and Forradellas, R. F. R. (2021). Central banks digital currency: detection of optimal countries for the implementation of a CBDC and the implication for payment industry open innovation. *J. Open Innovation Technol. Mark. Complex.* 7, 1–23.
- Alsadi, M., Arshad, J., Ali, J., Prince, A., and Shishank, S. (2023). TruCert: blockchain-based trustworthy product certification within autonomous automotive supply chains. *Comput. Electr. Eng.* 109, 108738. doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2023.108738
- Amponsah, A. A., Adekoya, A. F., and Weyori, B. A. (2022). Improving the financial security of national health insurance using cloud-based blockchain technology application. *Int. J. Inf. Manag. Data Insights* 2, 100081. doi:10.1016/j.jijime.2022.100081
- Antwi, S., Tetteh, A. B., Armah, P., and Dankwah, E. O. (2023). Anti-money laundering measures and financial sector development: empirical evidence from Africa. *Cogent Econ. Finance* 11, 2209957. doi:10.1080/23322039.2023.2209957
- Arinze, U. C., Eneh, A. H., and Longe, B. O. (2020). Regulatory perspective on nuclear cyber security: the fundamental issues. *Int. J. Nucl. Secur.* 6. doi:10.7290/ijns060103
- Asthana, P., Barnwal, M., Yadav, A., Aggrawal, M., and Goel, M. (2024). “VADER: a lightweight and effective approach for sentiment analysis,” in 2024 2nd International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICCIT) (IEEE), 687–692.
- Aysan, A. F., Demirtaş, H. B., and Saraç, M. (2021). The ascent of bitcoin: bibliometric analysis of bitcoin research. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 14, 427. doi:10.3390/jrfm14090427
- Barinaga, E. (2020). A route to commons-based democratic monies? Embedding the governance of money in traditional communal institutions. *Front. Blockchain* 3, 575851. doi:10.3389/fbloc.2020.575851
- Baş, T., Özyıldın, O., and Dura, Y. C. (2023). Does bitcoin affect term deposits? Evidence from MINT countries. *Economics* 17.
- Belguith, R., Alnafisah, H., Snene Manzli, Y., and Jeribi, A. (2025). Can bitcoin and gold have dynamic hedging and safe haven capabilities against the BRICS plus stock market indices during global crises? An evidence from a time-varying copula approach. *Emerg. Mark. Finance Trade.*
- Berthou, C., and Ponsot, J. F. (2025). China, the global south, and the transnationalisation strategy of the renminbi. *Econ. Political Stud.* 13, 43–69. doi:10.1080/20954816.2024.2410826
- Biswas, S., and Ghosh, S. (2022). Drug usage analysis by VADER sentiment analysis on leading countries. *Mapana J. Sci.* 21.
- Boakye-Adjei, N. Y., Auer, R., Banka, H., Faragallah, A., Frost, J., Natarajan, H., et al. (2023). Can central bank digital currencies help advance financial inclusion? *J. Payments Strategy Syst.* 17, 433–447. doi:10.69554/ksvn7890
- Bonhoure, E. (2025). Indigenous knowledge and digital financial inclusion: a comparison between Europe and Sub-Saharan Africa. *Inf. Technol. Dev.* 31, 251–279. doi:10.1080/02681102.2024.2384716
- Budree, A., and Nyathi, T. N. (2023). Can cryptocurrency be a payment method in a developing economy? The case of bitcoin in South Africa. *J. Electron. Commer. Organ.* 21.
- Bziker, Z. (2021). The status of cryptocurrency in Morocco. *Res. Glob.* 3, 100040. doi:10.1016/j.resglo.2021.100040
- Cheng, G. (2022). China's digital silk road in the age of the digital economy: political analysis. *Vestn. Rudn. Int. Relat.* 22, 271–287. doi:10.22363/2313-0660-2022-22-2-271-287
- Chigbu, B. I., and Nekhwevha, F. H. (2021). The future of work and uncertain labour alternatives as we live through the Industrial age of possible singularity: evidence from South Africa. *Technol. Soc.* 67, 101715. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101715
- Chikobvu, D., and Ndlovu, T. (2023a). The generalised extreme value distribution approach to comparing the riskiness of BitCoin/US Dollar and South African Rand/US Dollar returns. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 16, 253. doi:10.3390/jrfm16040253
- Chikobvu, D., and Ndlovu, T. (2023b). RiskMetrics method for estimating Value at Risk to compare the riskiness of BitCoin and Rand. *Invest. Manag. Financial Innovations* 20, 207–217. doi:10.21511/imfi.20(1).2023.18
- Chikobvu, D., and Ndlovu, T. (2024). Statistical analysis of the BitCoin and South African rand exchange rates risks when the tails are somewhat heavy. *J. Statistics Appl. Probab.* 13, 1411–1429.
- Chitimira, H., and Torera, E. (2023). A regulatory analysis of digital financial services and the adoption of central bank digital currencies in Zimbabwe and South Africa. *Juridical Trib.* 13, 384–407. doi:10.24818/tbj/2023/13/3.04

- Chkili, W. (2024). The risk transmission from the COVID-19 to MENA stock markets: what is the best safe haven asset during the pandemic? *EuroMed J. Bus.* doi:10.1108/emjb-11-2023-0307
- Chudinovskikh, M., and Sevryugin, V. (2019). Cryptocurrency regulation in the brics countries and the Eurasian economic union. *BRICS Law J.* 6, 63–81. doi:10.21684/2412-2343-2019-6-1-63-81
- Dahir, A. M., Mahat, F., Amin Noordin, B. A., and Hisyam Ab Razak, N. (2020). Dynamic connectedness between Bitcoin and equity market information across BRICS countries: evidence from TVP-VAR connectedness approach. *Int. J. Manag. Finance* 16, 357–371. doi:10.1108/ijmf-03-2019-0117
- David, S. (2022). Con City. *Dissent* 69, 9–13. doi:10.1353/dss.2022.0068
- David, M. J., and Addo, A. (2025). *Cryptocurrency use for cross-border payments: understanding the popularity of crypto among Nigerians importing from China.* Information Technology and People. doi:10.1108/ITP-06-2023-0635
- Denecker, O., D'Estienne, A., Gompertz, P. M., and Sasia, E. (2023). Central bank digital currencies: an active role for commercial banks. *J. Payments Strategy Syst.* 17, 26–35.
- Dowd, K. (2024). So far, Central Bank Digital Currencies have failed. *Econ. Aff.* 44, 71–94. doi:10.1111/ecaf.12621
- Dzandza, P. E. (2020). Digitizing the intellectual output of Ghanaian universities. *Collect. Curation* 39, 69–75. doi:10.1108/cc-05-2019-0012
- Ebong, J., and George, B. (2021). Financial inclusion through Digital Financial services (DFS): a study in Uganda. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 14, 393. doi:10.3390/jrfm14090393
- Ehret, M., and Olaniyan, R. (2023). Banking the unbanked. Constitutive rules and the institutionalization of Mobile payment systems in Nigeria. *J. Bus. Res.* 163, 113845. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113845
- Erima, J. A., and Mosweu, T. L. (2022). Revamping archival education training in Africa: insights from the digital records curation study school held in Gaborone. *Comma* 2021, 99–103. doi:10.3828/coma.2021.10
- Esoimeme, E. E. (2018). The money laundering risks and vulnerabilities associated with MMM Nigeria. *J. Money Laund. Control* 21, 112–119. doi:10.1108/jmlc-01-2017-0002
- Garba, K. H., Lazarus, S., and Button, M. (2024). *An assessment of convicted cryptocurrency fraudsters.* Current Issues in Criminal Justice 38 (1).
- Gbadebo, A. D. (2024a). The impact of cryptocurrency on the financial system in Nigeria. *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.* 22, 1022–1038. doi:10.57239/pjls-2024-22.2.0072
- Gbadebo, A. D. (2024b). Utilising crowdfunding for infrastructural development in Nigeria. *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.* 22, 1006–1021. doi:10.57239/pjls-2024-22.2.0071
- Gobena, M. A. (2021). The regulation of cryptocurrencies under Ethiopian legal norms. *Mizan Law Rev.* 15, 173–194. doi:10.4314/mlr.v15i1.6
- Gobena, M. A. (2023). Money laundering in Ethiopia: an analysis of typologies and techniques. *J. Money Laund. Control* 26, 696–708. doi:10.1108/jmlc-03-2022-0053
- Gopane, T. J. (2022). Volatility behaviour of bitcoin as a digital asset. *J. Telecommun. Digital Econ.* 10, 195–213. doi:10.18080/jtde.v10n2.510
- Greeff, C. (2019). An investigation into the output tax consequences of bitcoin transactions for a South African value-added tax vendor. *South Afr. J. Econ. Manag. Sci.* 22. doi:10.4102/sajems.v22i1.2162
- Gupta, N., Mitra, P., and Banerjee, D. (2023). Cryptocurrencies and traditional assets: decoding the analogy from emerging economies with crypto usage. *Invest. Manag. Financial Innovations* 20, 1–13. doi:10.21511/imfi.20(1).2023.01
- Hamukuaya, N. H. (2021). The development of cryptocurrencies as a payment method in South Africa. *Potchefstroom Electron. Law J.* 24, 1–23. doi:10.17159/1727-3781/2021/v24i0a9364
- Hartanto, A., Nachrowi, N. D., Samputra, P. L., and Huda, N. (2024). A bibliometric analysis of Islamic banking sustainability: a study based on scopus scientific database. *J. Islamic Mark.* 15, 2245–2285. doi:10.1108/jima-04-2023-0123
- He, Y., Gangan, A., Vu, N., and Watters, C. (2025). Positive sentiments in early academic literature on DeepSeek: a cross-disciplinary mini review.
- Hersi, A. H. (2024). A critical analysis of Somalia's current antimoney laundering and counter financing of terrorism regime: a comparative study with Malaysia. *J. Money Laund. Control* 27, 710–723. doi:10.1108/jmlc-05-2023-0090
- Hutchens, C. (2012). An interview with liz gushee, digital collections librarian at the harry ransom center. *Ser. Rev.* 38, 196–199. doi:10.1080/00987913.2012.10765458
- Hutto, C., and Gilbert, E. V. (2014). "A parsimonious rule-based model for sentiment analysis of social media text," in Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media, 216–225.
- Idowu, S. S., Aikomo, O., Kosoko, D., and Oduntan, J. (2024). Nigeria's digital currency in the global context: implications for the domestic socio-economic development. *Electron. Gov.* 20, 557–568. doi:10.1504/eg.2024.140765
- Joseph, T. E., Jahanger, A., Onwe, J. C., and Balsalobre-Lorente, D. (2024). The implication of cryptocurrency volatility on five largest African financial system stability. *Financ. Innov.* 10, 42. doi:10.1186/s40854-023-00580-5
- Jutel, O. (2023). "Blockchain financialization, neo-colonialism, and binance. *Front. Blockchain* 6. 1160257. doi:10.3389/fbloc.2023.1160257
- Katterbauer, K., Syed, H., and Cleenewerck, L. (2022a). The impact of the legalization of bitcoin in the Central African republic—A legal analysis. *Cuad. Econ.* 713, 746.
- Katterbauer, K., Syed, H., Cleenewerck, L., and Genc, S. (2022b). Sharia compliance of cryptocurrencies: data-driven sharia compliance assessment. *Talaa J. Islamic Finance* 2, 75–85. doi:10.54045/talaa.v2i2.691
- Katterbauer, K., Syed, H., and De Kiev, L. C. (2022c). An innovative AI blockchain framework for Islamic microfinancing. *J. Islamic Finance* 11, 67–80. doi:10.31436/jif.v11i1.636
- Kiran, K., and Shishank, S. (2022). "Digital twinning of autonomous automobile supply chain: a systematic literature review, conceptual models, and practical use cases," in Conference proceedings of British Academy of Management (The University of Manchester).
- Koroma, J., Rongting, Z., Muhideen, S., Akintunde, T. Y., Amosun, T. S., Dauda, S. J., et al. (2022). Assessing citizens' behavior towards blockchain cryptocurrency adoption in the mano river union states: Mediation, moderation role of trust and ethical issues. *Technol. Soc.* 68, 101885. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.101885
- Korsah, D., Mensah, L., Osei, K. A., and Amewu, G. (2025). Dynamic connectedness, hedge and safe-haven effects: cryptocurrencies, precious metals and African stock markets. *Int. J. Emerg. Mark.*
- Koziuk, V., Ivashuk, Y., Hayda, Y., Oliinyk, V., Fursova, O., and Storozhenko, O. (2024). Preference factors regarding central bank digital currency anonymity: behavioural, cultural, or institutional. *Financial Credit Activity Problems Theory Pract.* 4, 9–21. doi:10.55643/fcaptp.4.57.2024.4457
- Kulakowski, M., and Frascar, F. (2023). Sentiment classification of cryptocurrency-related social media posts. *IEEE Intell. Syst.* 38, 5–9. doi:10.1109/mis.2023.3283170
- Kumah, S. P. (2024). Cryptocurrency and African fiat currencies: a peaceful coexistence? *Economic notes*, 53.
- Kumah, S. P., and Odei-Mensah, J. (2021). Are cryptocurrencies and African stock markets integrated? *Q. Rev. Econ. Finance* 81, 330–341. doi:10.1016/j.qref.2021.06.022
- Kumah, S. P., and Odei-Mensah, J. (2022). Can altcoins become viable alternatives to African fiat currencies? *Int. J. Dev. Issues* 21, 24–53. doi:10.1108/ijdi-04-2021-0088
- Kumah, S. P., Odei-Mensah, J., and Baaba Amanamah, R. (2022). Co-movement of cryptocurrencies and African stock returns: a multiresolution analysis. *Cogent Bus. Manag.* 9, 2124595. doi:10.1080/23311975.2022.2124595
- Kumar, S., Jain, R., Balli, F., and Billah, M. (2023). Interconnectivity and investment strategies among commodity prices, cryptocurrencies, and G-20 capital markets: a comparative analysis during COVID-19 and Russian-Ukraine war. *Int. Rev. Econ. Finance* 88, 547–593.
- Kumar, B., Badiger, V. S., and Jacintha, A. D. (2024). "Sentiment analysis for products review based on NLP using lexicon-based approach and roberta," in 2024 International Conference on Intelligent and Innovative Technologies in Computing, Electrical and Electronics (IITCEE) (IEEE), 1–6.
- Kurfi, A. K. (2009). Corporate capital structure and lease financing practices of selected manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *Eur. J. Econ. Finance Adm. Sci.*, 27–33.
- Kusuma, H. S., Nida, R. A., Listiawati, V., Rahayu, D. E., Febryola, L. A., Darmokoeseomo, H., et al. (2024). Trends on adsorption of lead (Pb) using water hyacinth: bibliometric evaluation of scopus database. *Environ. Res.* 244, 117917. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2023.117917
- Lau, C. K., Soliman, A. M., and Zhang, D. (2024). Energy price inflation, geopolitical risk, and bitcoin dependence structure: evidence from BRICS. *Emerg. Mark. Finance Trade* 60, 2631–2645. doi:10.1080/1540496x.2024.2326566
- Lee, E. (2025). Advancing digital economy and financial inclusion through central bank digital currencies: a comprehensive analysis of policies and legal implications through e-CNY and eNaira. *Afr. J. Int. Comp. Law* 33, 1–28. doi:10.3366/ajicl.2025.0510
- Levulytė, L., and Šapkauskienė, A. (2021). Cryptocurrency in context of fiat money functions. *Q. Rev. Econ. Finance* 82, 44–54.
- Lionar, U., Mulyana, A., Sapriya, S., Winarti, M., Mairi, S., and Engkizar, E. (2025). Exploring research trends in global citizenship education: a bibliometric study utilizing the scopus database. *Eur. J. Educ. Res.* 14, 567–584. doi:10.12973/eu-jer.14.2.569
- Liu, J. J. (2025). Decentering the dollar in Africa-China trade: how Nigerian entrepreneurs navigate currency swaps and digital currencies in an era of USD hegemony and RMB internationalization. *Afr. Stud. Rev.*
- Liu, J., Wang, S., Xiang, L., Ma, S., and Xiao, Z. (2024). Unveiling hidden connections: spillover among BRICS' cryptocurrency-implied exchange rate discounts and US financial markets. *North Am. J. Econ. Finance* 71, 102090. doi:10.1016/j.najef.2024.102090
- Mahadew, B., and Mauree, S. A. (2024). Cryptocurrencies and virtual assets in Mauritius: a critical assessment of the legal framework. *Afr. Focus* 37, 122–143. doi:10.1163/2031356x-20240107
- Makurumidze, S., Sifile, O., Twesige, D., Gasheja, F., Gwangwava, E., Matowanyika, K., et al. (2025). Leveraging on the fourth industrial revolution by African accountants in

the sustenance of SDGs and AU agenda 2063. *Sustain. Dev.* 33, 144–157. doi:10.1002/sd.3110

Marin, S. R., and Dumitrescu, D. (2024). Empirical evidence regarding implications of crypto-assets energy usage on climate. *Econ. Comput. Econ. Cybern. Stud. Res.* 58, 37–51.

Mavilia, R., and Pisani, R. (2020). Blockchain and catching-up in developing countries: the case of financial inclusion in Africa. *Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innovation Dev.* 12, 151–163. doi:10.1080/20421338.2019.1624009

Mazambani, L., and Mutambara, E. (2020). Predicting FinTech innovation adoption in South Africa: the case of cryptocurrency. *Afr. J. Econ. Manag. Stud.* 11, 30–50. doi:10.1108/ajems-04-2019-0152

Mcpherson-Smith, O. (2024). The politics of cryptocurrency regulation in Africa. *Afr. Aff.* 123, 377–392. doi:10.1093/afraf/adae016

Mohammed, I. (2023). COVID-19 outbreak and performance of financial markets: evidence from the stock market, the foreign exchange market and cryptocurrencies market in Nigeria. *Int. J. Bus. Emerg. Mark.* 15, 1–33. doi:10.1504/ijbem.2023.128157

Mohanty, G., and Tangara, H. (2023). Cryptocurrencies in international investment law: the dawn of a new era of protected investments under article 25(1) of the ICSID convention? *Manch. J. Int. Econ. Law* 20, 66–83.

Moradi-Kamali, H., Rajabi-Ghozlou, M.-H., Ghazavi, M., Soltani, A., Sattarzadeh, A., and Entezari-Maleki, R. (2025). Market-derived financial sentiment analysis: context-aware language models for crypto forecasting. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2502.14897*.

Morvant-Roux, S., and Peixoto-Charles, A. (2020). Here and there? Mobile money and the politics of transnational living patterns in West Africa. *Oxf. Dev. Stud.* 48, 181–194. doi:10.1080/13600818.2020.1770208

Mqamelo, R. (2021). Community currencies as crisis response: results from a randomized control trial in Kenya. *Front. Blockchain* 4, 739751. doi:10.3389/fbloc.2021.739751

Mthembu, N., Sanusi, K. A., and Eita, J. H. (2022). Do stock market volatility and cybercrime affect cryptocurrency returns? Evidence from South African economy. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 15, 589. doi:10.3390/jrfm15120589

Mzoughi, H., Benkraiem, R., and Guesmi, K. (2022). The bitcoin market reaction to the launch of central bank digital currencies. *Res. Int. Bus. Finance* 63, 101800. doi:10.1016/j.ribaf.2022.101800

Nadarajah, S., Afuecheta, E., and Chan, S. (2021). Dependence between bitcoin and African currencies. *Qual. Quantity* 55, 1203–1218. doi:10.1007/s11135-020-01051-0

Nair, M., and Emozozo, R. (2018). Electronic currency in Africa: m-pesa as private inside money. *Econ. Aff.* 38, 197–206. doi:10.1111/ecaf.12292

Nazarovets, S., and Mryglod, O. (2025). Ukrainian arts and humanities research in scopus: a bibliometric analysis. *Libr. Hi Tech.* 43, 156–179. doi:10.1108/lht-05-2023-0180

Ndlovu, T., and Chikobvu, D. (2022). Comparing riskiness of exchange rate volatility using the value at risk and expected shortfall methods. *Invest. Manag. Financial Innovations* 19, 360–371. doi:10.21511/imfi.19(2).2022.31

Ndlovu, T., and Chikobvu, D. (2023). The generalised pareto distribution model approach to comparing extreme risk in the exchange rate risk of Bitcoin/US dollar and South African Rand/US dollar returns. *Risks* 11, 100. doi:10.3390/risks11060100

Ndlovu, T., and Chikobvu, D. (2024). The GARCH-EVT-Copula approach to investigating dependence and quantifying risk in a portfolio of bitcoin and the South African rand. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 17, 504. doi:10.3390/jrfm17110504

Ngong, C. A. (2025). Crypto currency and economic growth in Nigeria. *Int. J. Electron. Finance* 14, 1–21. doi:10.1504/ijef.2025.143231

Ngulube, P. (2023). From orality to digital assets: managing Indigenous knowledge in Africa in the wake of the open science movement. *Ser. Libr.* 84, 71–85. doi:10.1080/0361526x.2023.2296880

Nieman, A. (2015). A few South African cents' worth on bitcoin. *Potchefstroom Electron. Law J.* 18, 1978–2010. doi:10.4314/pej.v18i5.25

Nkrumah-Boadu, B., Owusu Junior, P., Adam, A., and Asafo-Adjei, E. (2022). Safe haven, hedge and diversification for African stocks: cryptocurrencies versus gold in time-frequency perspective. *Cogent Econ. Finance* 10, 2114171. doi:10.1080/203322039.2022.2114171

Nyakurukwa, K., and Seetharam, Y. (2023). Stock market integration in Africa: further evidence from an information-theoretic framework. *Int. Finance* 26, 2–18. doi:10.1111/inf.12419

Oladapo, I. A. (2024). Assessment of factors driving cryptocurrency investment decision in Africa: a case of bitcoin in Nigeria. *Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innovation Dev.* 16, 547–560. doi:10.1080/20421338.2023.2295625

Olaleye, S. A., Ahiabenu, K., and Ojubanire, O. A. (2024). The democratization of digital currency in Nigeria: a sentiment analysis of eNaira app usability. *Afr. J. Sci. Technol. Innovation Dev.* 16, 603–620. doi:10.1080/20421338.2024.2353934

Omene-Adjepong, M., and Alagidede, I. P. (2021). Exploration of safe havens for africa's stock markets: a test case under COVID-19 crisis. *Finance Res. Lett.* 38, 101877. doi:10.1016/j.frl.2020.101877

Omotubora, A. (2024). Same naira, more possibilities! assessing the legal status of the eNaira and its potential for privacy and inclusion. *J. Afr. Law* 68, 245–262. doi:10.1017/s0021855324000044

Osakwe, C. N., Ogunmokun, O. A., Elgammal, I., and Kwarteng, M. A. (2025). Individuals' attitudes and their adoption intentions of central bank digital currency: combining theories and analytics for deeper insights. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change* 210, 123857. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123857

Owusu Amponsah, D., Abdullah, M., Joel Aikins Abakah, E., Yindenaba Abor, J., and Lee, C. C. (2025). Multiscale tail risk integration between safe-haven assets and africa's emerging equity market. *North Am. J. Econ. Finance* 75, 102294. doi:10.1016/j.najef.2024.102294

Ozili, P. K. (2023). Determinants of interest in eNaira and financial inclusion information in Nigeria: role of FinTech, cryptocurrency and central bank digital currency. *Digital Transformation Soc.* 2, 202–214. doi:10.1108/dts-08-2022-0040

Ozili, P. K. (2024). Determinants of global interest in central bank digital currency: the role of sustainable development and cryptocurrency. *Digital Transformation Soc.* 3, 179–196. doi:10.1108/dts-04-2023-0020

Parsons, S. (2022). What's in a name? the classification of 'interest' on crypto-assets in South Africa and beyond. *Intertax* 50, 499–511. doi:10.54648/taxi2022054

Picha Edwardsson, M., and Al-Saqaf, W. (2022). Drivers and barriers for using blockchain technology to create a global fact-checking database. *Online J. Commun. Media Technol.* 12, e202228. doi:10.30935/ojcm/12381

Qabobho, T., Mpuku, C., Anyikwa, I., and Phiri, A. (2024). Tail-spillover effects between African currencies, bitcoin, gold and oil during two recent Black Swan events. *Cogent Bus. Manag.* 11, 2343411. doi:10.1080/23311975.2024.2343411

Raifu, I. A., and Ogbonna, A. E. (2022). Safe-haven effectiveness of cryptocurrency: evidence from stock markets of COVID-19 worst-hit African countries. *J. Res. Innovation Technol.* 1, 107–120. doi:10.57017/jorit.v1.2(2).02

Ram, A. J. (2018). Taxation of the bitcoin: initial insights through a correspondence analysis. *Meditari Account. Res.* 26, 214–240. doi:10.1108/medar-10-2017-0229

Ree, J. (2023). *Nigeria's eNaira, one year after*. International Monetary Fund.

Rehman, M. U., Katsiampa, P., Zeitun, R., and Vo, X. V. (2023). Conditional dependence structure and risk spillovers between bitcoin and fiat currencies. *Emerg. Mark. Rev.* 55, 100966. doi:10.1016/j.ememar.2022.100966

Rosales, A. (2019). Radical rentierism: gold mining, cryptocurrency and commodity collateralization in Venezuela. *Rev. Int. Political Econ.* 26, 1311–1332. doi:10.1080/09692290.2019.1625422

Salawu, M. K., and Moloi, T. (2018). Benefits of legislating cryptocurrencies: perception of Nigerian professional accountants. *Acad. Account. Financial Stud. J.* 22.

Saleh, M. A., Eassa, A. M., and Ragab, Y. M. (2025). Detecting and preventing financial restatements using blockchain based smart contract: the case of the Egyptian companies. *EDPACS* 70, 67–90. doi:10.1080/07366981.2024.2385125

Salem, M. B., Fakhfekh, M., and Jeribi, A. (2023). Volatility dynamics of Tunisian stock market before and during COVID-19 outbreak and diversification benefits of bitcoin. *Afro-Asian J. Finance Account.* 13, 651–672. doi:10.1504/aaifa.2023.133407

Sami, M., and Abdallah, W. (2021). How does the cryptocurrency market affect the stock market performance in the MENA region? *J. Econ. Adm. Sci.* 37, 741–753. doi:10.1108/jeas-07-2019-0078

Sami, M., and Abdallah, W. (2022). Does cryptocurrency hurt African firms? *Risks* 10, 53. doi:10.3390/risks10030053

Sestino, A., Tuček, D., and Bresciani, S. (2024). The "dark side" and negative consequences of cryptocurrencies usage for unethical purposes as barriers to invest in Middle East and African (MEA) countries. *J. Small Bus. Enterpr. Dev.*

Shahzad, S. J. H., Bouri, E., Rehman, M. U., and Roubaud, D. (2022). The hedge asset for BRICS stock markets: Bitcoin, gold or VIX. *World Econ.* 45, 292–316. doi:10.1111/twec.13138

Shaik, M., Varghese, G., and Madhavan, V. (2024). The dynamic volatility connectedness of global financial assets during the ebola and MERS epidemic and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Appl. Econ.* 56, 880–900.

Shroff, S., Agrawal, N., Paliwal, U. L., and Yadav, M. P. (2025). How do selected asset classes react to sudden shocks? Evidence from israel-hamas conflict using event study approach. *Res. Int. Bus. Finance* 75, 102712. doi:10.1016/j.ribaf.2024.102712

Smit, C., and Van Der Merwe, A. (2025). A critical analysis of value-added tax levied in South Africa in respect of non-fungible tokens. *South Afr. J. Account. Res.*

Stringham, E. P. (2023). Banking regulation got you down? The rise of fintech and cryptointermediation in Africa. *Public Choice* 197, 455–470. doi:10.1007/s11227-023-01090-9

Terták, E., and Kovács, L. (2022). The motives for issuing central bank digital currency and the challenges of introduction thereof. *Public Finance Q.* 67, 491–505.

Thi Hang, N. P. (2025). Sanctions and cryptocurrency: a catalyst for digital adoption? *J. Financial Regul. Compliance.*

Traceable chain (2019). Traceable chain. *Twist*, 42–45.

- Ukwueze, F. O. (2021). Cryptocurrency: towards regulating the unruly enigma of fintech in Nigeria and South Africa. *Potchefstroom Electron. Law J.* 24, 1–38. doi:10.17159/1727-3781/2021/v24i0a10743
- Umeokwobi, R., Tamuke, E. C. E., Ezie, O., Aigbedion, M., and Vandy, P. S. (2024). Nexus between monetary indicators and bitcoin in selected Sub-Saharan Africa: a panel ARDL. *Theor. Pract. Res. Econ. Fields* 15, 742–753. doi:10.14505/tpref.v15.3(31).18
- Umoru, D., Ugbaka, M. A., Uyang, F. A., Atseye, A. F., Atelhe, A. G., Omono, C. E., et al. (2024). Volatility spillovers across bitcoin, stock, and exchange rates markets. *Corp. Bus. Strategy Rev.* 5, 51–71. doi:10.22495/cbsrv5i2art5
- Ussher, L., Ebert, L., Gómez, G. M., and Ruddick, W. O. (2021). Complementary currencies for humanitarian aid. *J. Risk Financial Manag.* 14.
- Van Der Veen, A. M., and Bleich, E. (2025). The advantages of lexicon-based sentiment analysis in an age of machine learning. *PLoS One* 20, e0313092. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0313092
- Vincent, O., and Evans, O. (2019). Can cryptocurrency, mobile phones, and internet herald sustainable financial sector development in emerging markets? *J. Transnational Manag.* 24, 259–279. doi:10.1080/15475778.2019.1633170
- Vinodini, S. (2023). “Analyzing sentiments in paulo Coelho’s literary works using VADER sentiment analysis,” in 2023 International Conference on Innovative Computing, Intelligent Communication and Smart Electrical Systems (ICESES) (IEEE), 1–6.
- Vorwerk, S., and Rexroth, A. (2020). *Blockchain in food safety*, 116. Deutsche Lebensmittel-Rundschau.
- Vumazonke, N., and Parsons, S. (2023). An analysis of south Africa’s guidance on the income tax consequences of crypto assets. *South Afr. J. Econ. Manag. Sci.* 26. doi:10.4102/sajems.v26i1.4832
- Wang, F., and De Filippi, P. (2019). Self-sovereign identity in a globalized world: credentials-based identity systems as a driver for economic inclusion. *Front. Blockchain* 2, 28. doi:10.3389/fbloc.2019.00028
- Wang, J., Tang, L., Zhang, J., Choi, B.-K., and Phillips, C. (2025). Green human resource management system development in Africa: a systematic literature review. *Systems* 13, 526. doi:10.3390/systems13070526
- Watters, C. (2023a). Digital gold or digital security? Unravelling the legal fabric of decentralised digital assets. *Commodities* 2, 355–366. doi:10.3390/commodities2040020
- Watters, C. (2023b). When criminals abuse the blockchain: establishing personal jurisdiction in a decentralised environment. *Laws* 12, 33. doi:10.3390/laws12020033
- Youvan, D. C. (2024). Understanding sentiment analysis with VADER: a comprehensive overview and application. *AI Data Sci. J.*
- Zhao, J. (2022). Do economic crises cause trading in bitcoin? *Rev. Behav. Finance* 14, 465–490. doi:10.1108/rbf-02-2022-0048
- Zharikov, M. V. (2023). Digital money options for the BRICS. *Int. J. Financial Stud.* 11, 42. doi:10.3390/ijfs11010042



**DSPACE**

<https://dspace.org/>

## **Sentiment and thematic trends in african cryptocurrency literature: a mini review**

**Otoibhi, Ighodaro; Watters, Casey; Giangan, Angie; Vu, Nam**

**2026**

Frontiers

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2026.1760261>

Otoibhi I, Watters C, Giangan A, Vu N. (2026) Sentiment and thematic trends in african cryptocurrency literature: a mini review. Frontiers in Blockchain, Volume 9, 2026, Article number 1760261