

CO₂-BRINE-ROCK INTERACTIONS: THE EFFECT OF IMPURITIES ON GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND RESERVOIR PERMEABILITY

Abstract

The Bunter Sandstone formation in the UK Southern North Sea has been identified as having the potential to store large volumes of CO₂. Prior to injection, CO₂ is captured with certain amounts of impurities, usually less than 5%_{vol}. The dissolution of these impurities in formation water can cause chemical reactions between CO₂, brine, and rock, which can affect the reservoir quality by altering properties such as permeability. In this study, we explored the effect of CO₂ and impurities (NO₂, SO₂, H₂S) on reservoir permeability by measuring changes in grain size distributions after a prolonged period of 9 months, simulating *in situ* experimental conditions. It was found that the effects of pure CO₂ and CO₂-H₂S are relatively small, i.e., CO₂ increased permeability by 5.5% and CO₂-H₂S decreased it by 5.5%. Also, CO₂-SO₂ slightly decreased permeability by 6.25%, while CO₂-NO₂ showed the most pronounced effect, reducing permeability by 41.6%. The decrease in permeability showed a correlation with decreasing pH of the formation water and this equally correlates with a decrease in geometric mean of the grain diameter. The findings from this study are aimed to be used in future modelling studies on reservoir performance during injection and storage, which also should account for the shifts in boundaries in the CO₂ phase diagram, altering the reservoir properties and affecting the cost of storage.

Abbreviations

BSE Backscatter Electron

ESEM	Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope
GHGs	Greenhouse Gases
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
QXRD	Quantitative X-ray Diffraction
SDD	Silicon Drift X-ray Detector
S-CO ₂	CO ₂ rock sample
S-CO ₂ -NO ₂	CO ₂ -NO ₂ rock sample
S-CO ₂ -SO ₂	CO ₂ -SO ₂ rock sample
S-CO ₂ -H ₂ S	CO ₂ -H ₂ S rock sample
S-NR	Non-reacted rock sample
UKSNS	UK Southern North Sea
XRD	X-ray Diffraction

1. Introduction

Since the start of the industrial revolution, there have been increases in the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases (GHGs) (IPCC, 2005), which in turn resulted in a rise of the global average surface temperature, and consequently climate change (IPCC, 2005; Riebeek, 2011). Between 1906 and 2017, the global average surface temperature rose ~ 0.6-1.05 °C (NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2017; Riebeek, 2011), and the rate of increase has almost doubled in the last 50 years (Riebeek, 2011). The average temperature is certain to rise further unless climate change mitigation strategies are implemented. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is a key technology aimed at reducing CO₂ emission to the atmosphere. CCS involves capturing CO₂ from large point sources and storing it underground in geologic formations such as saline aquifers, depleted oil and gas reservoirs, unmineable coal seams and basalt formations (Aminu et al., 2017; IPCC, 2005).

The storage reservoirs are formed of aggregated rock minerals, and mineralogical properties can change upon variation in reservoir conditions, such as acidity of formation waters, during injection of CO₂. The changes in mineralogy can often be complex, which could lead to the formation of new minerals and/or obliteration of pre-existing minerals. These changes alter individual grain contacts to either increase or reduce their ability to transmit and store fluids. Thus, one of the greatest variability factors which determines the efficiency of storage is influenced by the host reservoir properties such as porosity, permeability, pressure and temperature of formation and mineralogical composition (Bond et al., 2013; Bouquet et al., 2013; Kaldi, JG Gibson-Poole, CM Payenberg, 2009; Verdon, 2012).

Several experimental and numerical studies on CO₂ storage in geological media have reported that a significant amount of alteration of rock properties could occur following injection of CO₂ in the reservoir due to the decrease of pH of formation waters (Dance, 2013; Hussain et al., 2016; Jenkins et al., 2012; Li and Jiang, 2014; Perrin and Benson, 2010; Ranjith et al., 2013; Torp and Gale, 2004; Wollenweber et al., 2009). Although these alterations are slow under laboratory test conditions, they could be significant and more complex over the storage time frame (Liu et al., 2012).

More recently, some studies have investigated the influence of CO₂ and associated impurities on the reservoir formation through batch experiments (Jafari Raad and Hassanzadeh, 2016; Pearce et al., 2016b, 2016a, 2015b, 2015a; Wang et al., 2015; Z. Wang et al., 2016) and geochemical modelling (Lei et al., 2016; Pearce et al., 2016a; Waldmann et al., 2016; Waldmann and Rütters, 2016; Wolf et al., 2016) for both reservoir and caprock core samples obtained from different locations around the world. It was reported that the presence of impurities in the injected CO₂ stream can potentially affect the reservoir storage capacity (Talman, 2015), fluids miscibility in oil and gas reservoirs (Coelho et al., 2015), solubility

trapping (Li and Jiang, 2014; Ziabakhsh-Ganji and Kooi, 2014), and corrosion of well casings, which can result in environmental concerns in the case of leakage from injection facilities (Ziabakhsh-Ganji and Kooi, 2014), and increased storage cost (Wang et al., 2015).

It was reported that the dissolution of quartz increased after exposure to CO₂ and SO₂ in high-pressure reactors for 45 days using samples from a potential saline aquifer storage formation in western Canada (Z. Wang et al., 2016). Results from the same study highlighted the importance of assessing the rate of quartz dissolution to determine suitable operation conditions for CO₂ injection and storage. Pearce et al. (Pearce et al., 2016b) studied mineralogical controls on porosity and brine chemistry during O₂-SO₂-CO₂ reaction in CO₂ storage reservoirs using samples obtained from a potential reservoir in Queensland, Australia. They reported that there was no change in the mineralogically clean quartzose core samples with originally high porosities after 3 days of exposure to fluids. However, it was noted that the movement of minerals, such as kaolin, in the core samples can potentially reduce the permeability by blocking pore throats.

Although several studies have investigated CO₂-water-rock interactions in reservoir conditions to ascertain mineral reactions and their effects on physical reservoir quality through the alteration of porosity and permeability, the variation of grain size distribution and corresponding impact on permeability upon exposure to impurities contained in CO₂ streams in reservoir conditions are yet to be explored (Aminu et al., 2017). It is important to note that the reservoir rock permeability is a function of grain size distribution (Krumbein and Monk, 1943; Masch and Denny, 1966), and this can be affected by the pH of formation water based on the composition of injected fluids (Aminu et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2012; Shukla et al., 2010). Additionally, the majority of work available in the open literature has been based on relatively short-term tests, between 12 days and 6 months (Bolourinejad and Herber, 2014; Soong et al.,

2016; K. Wang et al., 2016; Z. Wang et al., 2016). Also, it should be noted that within the previous studies, the effects of impurities on reservoir rock were only considered for exposure periods of 45 days (CO₂-SO₂ test) and 30 days (CO₂-H₂S test), while all other studies considered the effect of only CO₂. Also, due to the inherent heterogeneous assemblages of depositional facies and sub-facies in the reservoir, it is important to address morphological changes through extended experimental tests under more realistic conditions.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the effect of CO₂ and impurities (NO₂, SO₂, and H₂S) on the reservoir grain size parameters and to determine their impacts on physical reservoir quality using permeability variations as indications. Rock samples from the Bunter Sandstone formation (BSF) in the UK Southern North Sea were exposed to CO₂ and impurities for a prolonged period, and the resulting morphological changes were explored. The results from this study are aimed to be used in future studies for characterisation and modelling of reservoir performance under different compositions of injected fluids.

2. Geological background

The BSF in the UK Southern North Sea (UKSNS) has been identified as a target reservoir due to its significant potential to store large amounts of CO₂ (3.8 – 7.8 Gt of CO₂ could be stored using a multi-well injection strategy for a period of 30 years depending on maximum safe pressure increase) (Aminu et al., 2017; Heinemann et al., 2012). **Figure 1** shows the distribution of the formation and its onshore stratigraphic equivalent, and a generalised stratigraphy of the offshore UKSNS. The formation has both the required reservoir properties for CO₂ storage and a suitable caprock which acts as a seal to prevent CO₂ leakage (Heinemann et al., 2012; Holloway et al., 2006; Noy et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014, 2013b). A study of the BSF top seals has indicated that the presence of the over eight natural gas fields where the

formation occurs demonstrates the capability of the formation to effectively seal significant gas volumes over geologic timescales (Williams and Holloway, 2012). Although the formation of domal structures within the BSF have subjected the overlying top seals to extensional stresses, but it does not appear to have affected the ability of the faults to seal CO₂ (Noy et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014, 2013b, 2013a; Williams and Holloway, 2012). However, modelling for large-scale CO₂ injection into the formation indicated that more detailed evaluations are required along the reservoir, specifically around the injection point and at regional scale to conclusively determine the structural integrity as well as CO₂ storage capacity (Noy et al., 2012).




Figure 1: Distribution of the Bunter Sandstone formation (offshore) and Sherwood Sandstone Group (onshore) in the UK Southern North Sea (UKSNS) and eastern

England (Noy et al., 2012) and generalised stratigraphy of the offshore UKSNS (Williams et al., 2014).

The BSF was deposited between 230 and 260 million years ago during the late Permian and Triassic periods. It comprises pebbly sandstones and sandstones intercalated with low amounts of conglomerates, mudstones and siltstones. The BSF reservoir is typically 200 m or more thick, with fair to good porosity and permeability, and it is found at depths between 1000 – 3000 m (Noy et al., 2012).

There are eight gas fields in the UKSNS where the BSF occurs. The development of salt pillows and domes within the underlying Zechstein Group led to the formation of periclinal folds within the formation (Underhill, 2009) and some of these periclinal folds are gas-bearing (Ritchie and Pratsides, 1993) while others are not (Noy et al., 2012).

Bifani (Bifani, 1986a) studied the depositional environment of the Gordon, Forbes and Esmond gas fields complex which shows an arid to semi-arid fluvial depositional environment consisting of alluvial fans dissected by braided fluvial channels. Ritchie and Pratsides (Ritchie and Pratsides, 1993) reported that around the Caister B gas field, sediment is sourced from the west-southwest, and is thought to drain into a playa lake to the north and northeast of the field through a series of low-sinuosity channels, which transect a low-relief alluvial braid plain.

The porosity and permeability for the BSF reservoirs were investigated by Cooke-Yarborough and Smith (Cooke-Yarborough and Smith, 2003), Ritchie and Pratsides (Ritchie and Pratsides, 1993) and Bifani (Bifani, 1986a, 1986b), and typical values for fields are: 21% and 500 mD (Hewett); 21% and 350 mD (Little Dotty); 23-24% and 87 mD (Esmond); 21% and 100 mD (Caister B); 15-25% (Forbes); and 14-21% (Gordon). Ketter (Ketter, 1991) reported the occurrence of halite and anhydrite cements within the BSF, with quantities varying within

respective fields. The halite cementation which fills rock pores shows that it can be a barrier to permeability below the gas-water contact in the formation of the Caister B field (Ritchie and Pratsides, 1993), while in the Gordon, Forbes and Esmond fields, a patchy distribution of halite cementation, which obliterates porosity, is prominent within coarser-grained sandstones (Bifani, 1986a, 1986b).

In areas of the UKSNS where hydrocarbons are not found, highly saline brines fill up open pore spaces of the rock formations. This makes the BSF hydrostatically pressured with variable amounts of brine of variable salinity and density. Brine salinities and brine densities were investigated by Ritchie and Pratsides (Ritchie and Pratsides, 1993) and Warren and Smalley (Warren and Smalley, 1994). Typical values for fields are: 294000 ppm and 1.211 g cm^{-3} (Esmond); 303000 ppm and 1.220 g cm^{-3} (Forbes); 180000 ppm and 1.119 g cm^{-3} (Orwell); and 250000 ppm and 1.174 g cm^{-3} (Caister B). Using a fluid property mixture simulator (TOUGH2), Pruess (Pruess, 2005) calculated *in situ* temperature and pressure for the BSF as 42°C and 9.39 MPa, respectively, at 884 m.

The BSF is structurally compartmentalised (Noy et al., 2012) and the nature of these compartments in a reservoir is relevant in determining CO_2 storage capacity in saline aquifers. The effective pore volume of each compartment, compartment boundary permeability and compressibility of rock and fluids are key parameters which control the amount of CO_2 which can be injected into a reservoir before pore fluid pressure is reached, which is a limiting factor (Noy et al., 2012). Although little published literature exists on the extent of compartmentalisation within the formation, Cooke-Yarborough and Smith (Cooke-Yarborough and Smith, 2003) reported a pressure communication between the Little Dotty field and the Hewett gas fields even though these fields are approximately 5 km apart (Noy et al., 2012).

2.1. Experimental procedure

Rock samples from the BSF were used for experiments in this study. The samples were obtained from the UKSNS hydrocarbon industry well 43/12-1 at approximately 1392 m. It should be noted here that although more realistic porosity and permeability values can be measured using core samples, crushed samples were used in this study because of unavailability of core samples from the BSF. Crushed samples were reacted in bespoke titanium pressure vessels, **Figure 2**. Each pressure vessel (430 mL) had a gas inlet and a fluid outlet, where the gas inlet was used to supply CO₂ into the vessel through a pair of ISCO 500D syringe pumps (USA) running in ‘constant pressure’ mode (Gunter et al., 1993; Weibel et al., 2014). Four set of batch experiments were conducted for a period of 9 months. The experiments are designated as CO₂-brine-rock, CO₂-NO₂-brine-rock, CO₂-SO₂-brine-rock, and CO₂-H₂S-brine-rock, considering the impurities added to CO₂ reacting with the brine rock.




Figure 2: Schematic diagram of experimental set-up.

A magnetic stirrer was used to provide sufficient mixing between gases, pore water and samples in the vessel. The PTFE-covered stirrer was placed at the base of each experiment so as to have direct contact with synthetic water and rock sample. The sample was agitated every four hours for two minutes using a stirrer bar. The stirring speed was relatively low in order to avoid degrading the samples. A pressure of 140 bar, which was close to the *in situ* pressure of the origin formation of the samples, was used in the experiments. An elevated temperature of 70 °C, which was higher than the *in situ* temperature, was used to accelerate chemical reactions, i.e., to simulate longer exposure to the CO₂/impurities-containing fluid. The 0.5 M NaCl used in the experiments was prepared with 18 MΩ demineralised water using analytical grade reagents. 300 mL of solutions was added to each vessel with 15 g of crushed rock with size range 125–500 µm. Since a CO₂ concentration of 95%_{vol} and above is typically required for storage applications, CO₂ with 5%_{vol} impurities was used for each test, and the samples with the corresponding content of the impurity in CO₂ are tabulated in **Table 1**. The pH of the fluid was measured at the beginning and at the end of the tests, i.e., after 9 months. For each set of the experiment, 1 mL of the reacted fluid was taken for pH measurements. The procedure consisted of degassing the aqueous fluid straight into a polythene syringe, and immediate pH measurement at room temperature after sampling and degassing, using an Orion VERSA STAR pH meter. It should be noted that since the dissolved CO₂ is degassed to reach equilibrium with the atmosphere, the measured pH is higher than the *in situ* values. However, it has been shown that the degassing effects are considerable only after several hours (Bateman et al., 2015), and it is expected that the discrepancy between measured and *in situ* pH values is negligible in this work.

Table 1: Test designation and impurity content (%_{vol}).

Test	CO ₂	NO ₂	SO ₂	H ₂ S
S-NR	-	-	-	-
S-CO ₂	100	-	-	-
S-CO ₂ -NO ₂	95	5	-	-
S-CO ₂ -SO ₂	95	-	5	-
S-CO ₂ -H ₂ S	95	-	-	5

Upon completion of the tests, samples were collected from the pressure vessel, placed onto a small Buchner funnel, and washed with deionised water to remove saline fluid. The samples were then dried under partial vacuum before further analysis.

3.1. Material characterisation

3.1.1. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis

XRD analysis was conducted using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro series diffractometer equipped with a cobalt-target tube and operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. Samples were scanned from 4.5-85°2θ at 2.06°2θ/minute. Diffraction data were initially analysed using PANalytical X'Pert Highscore Plus version 4.1e software. Quantitative mineralogical data were accomplished using a least squares fitting process applying the Rietveld refinement technique (Rietveld, 1969; Taylor, 1991). For the whole-rock XRD analysis, the samples were ground in a pestle and mortar and then micronised to a fine powder (<10 µm) using acetone. A 10% portion of corundum standard was added to each sample prior to micronising to detect and quantify crystal and amorphous phases present in the samples. The samples were then back-loaded into standard sample holders for analysis.

3.1.2. Fluid sample analysis

The samples were first filtered using a 0.2 μm Anotop® nylon syringe filter, and then placed into a polystyrene tube. Inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and ion chromatography (IC) techniques were used to analyse the reacted fluid samples with respect to major and trace cations and anions.

3.1.3. ESEM analysis

Image acquisition was conducted using a FEI XL30 environmental scanning electron microscope (ESEM) equipped with Oxford Instruments AZTec Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy software with a 50 mm² Peltier-cooled silicon drift X-ray detector (SDD). Samples were prepared as grain mounts without conductive coatings and under variable pressure conditions, using water as the SEM chamber atmosphere at pressure of 0.8 Torr. Images were obtained using the backscatter electron (BSE) imaging technique.

3.2. Image analysis

The estimation of permeability can be done by: (a) field pumping or injection test; (b) permeameter; or (c) available empirical relationships (Shepherd, 1989). In this study, we adopted the latter approach, since it provides an effective method for approximation of variations in grain size and grain sorting after weathering of rock minerals by CO₂-charged brine. In general, the field pumping or injection test can be time consuming and expensive (Shepherd, 1989). On the other hand, the laboratory measurements of fluid flows through whole rock cores using a permeameter do not allow for the provision of loose grain samples and a more exposed grain surface area per unit volume for effective analysis. Although the best approach to quantify reservoir-scale permeability is measuring the permeability of intact whole

rock cores, it has been shown that empirical correlations can also be used as a valid alternative approach to estimate permeability of reservoir sandstones from unconsolidated rock samples (Coskun and Wardlaw, 1993). Namely, Coskun and Wardlaw (Coskun and Wardlaw, 1993) compared the measured permeability of sandstone rock samples with estimated values from empirical correlations. They reported that the coefficient of determination varied between 0.90-0.94, which indicates the high accuracy of empirical correlations for estimation of the permeability of rock samples.

Mostaghimi et al. (Mostaghimi et al., 2013) and Blunt et al. (Blunt et al., 2013) used image analysis to cover a range of applications such as understanding the behaviour of supercritical CO₂ in aquifers for effective and long-term containment. This revealed that, like other physical properties of porous materials, permeability is a function of a material's complex microstructure. Although many researchers in the past have attempted to relate permeability to other computed parameters such as specific surface area and porosity (Bear, 1988), there is still no explicit function to accurately correlate permeability, which is crucial to model flow through porous media (Mostaghimi et al., 2013). Alternatively, the Krumbein and Monk method (Krumbein and Monk, 1943), later adopted by Beard and Weyl (Beard and Weyl, 1973), is used in this study for more accurate prediction of permeability. In this method, 2D-high-resolution images of rock are obtained from the 3D representations of the samples (Thovert et al., 1993). This is achieved using the object-based method, which allows measuring grain shape and size (Øren et al., 1998) as well as statistical analyses of the objects deposited in the 2D images (Adler et al., 1990; Okabe and Blunt, 2004; Roberts, 1997) as opposed to 3D stack. This method has been commonly used by researchers. For example, Coskun and Wardlaw (Coskun and Wardlaw, 1993) employed this approach to estimate the rock permeability in a North American oil reservoir using 2D images of rock samples. **Figure 3** provides an example

of the image analysis process used in this work to estimate the permeability from 2D images of rock samples.




Figure 3: Annotated SEM microphotographs under ImageJ software analysis of (a) cleaved surface showing grain morphology, packing and cementation of a post-treatment sample, (b) grain morphology after thresholding of a post-treatment sample, (c) image after further thresholding, and (d) an example of image after thresholding and opening of binaries.

Image processing was conducted using ImageJ software (V. 1.50i). All images are scaled based on the provided scale bars in each photograph, **Figure 3**. The image is then sharpened using the ‘Sharpen’ command. Further, the grains were segmented using the ‘Threshold’ command, then smoothed, and the isolated pixels were removed using the ‘Binary (Open)’ command. Finally, the grain size was analysed using the ‘Analyse Particles’ command. For each measurement, a total of 100 grains were sampled and analysed. Each measurement was

repeated 4 times and the average quantities were reported in Feret diameter, D (mm), which is defined as the farthest distance in the boundaries of a grain. Further, for each set of measurements, the Feret diameters of samples were used to calculate the geometric mean, d_g (mm), **Equation 1**:

$$d_g = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n D_i} \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

Where n is the number of grains ($n = 100$). The calculated d_g is presented in **Figure 4**. Grain sorting, σ_D , was then calculated by obtaining the standard deviation of ϕ , where ϕ is defined based on the Krumbein logarithmic transformation, **Equation 2** (Krumbein, 1934):

$$\phi = -\log_2 D \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

The sample permeability, k , was calculated based on a proposed method by Krumbein and Monk (Krumbein and Monk, 1943) and Beard and Weyl (Beard and Weyl, 1973), **Equation 3**:

$$k = 760 d_g^2 \exp(-1.31 \sigma_D) \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

The calculated sample permeabilities are presented in **Figure 5**.

4. Results and discussion

Previous studies (Aminu et al., 2017; Gilfillan et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012, 2011; Shogenov et al., 2015) report that the acidification of formation waters, due to the dissolution of CO_2 , leads to brine-rock interaction and triggers dissolution or precipitation of rock minerals and cementation of the rocks which hold the rock grains together. Consequently, it can alter the reservoir rock grain-size characteristics and result in changing the permeability. In addition, the presence of impurities in the CO_2 stream, injected into the reservoir, and their reaction with

the formation water can further produce either weak or strong acids which can possibly affect rock permeability.

Quantitative XRD (QXRD) analyses of the samples are presented in **Table 2** and the corresponding change observed in the reacted brine (ICP-MS and IC) are presented in **Table 3**. The non-reacted sample (S-NR) was characterised as a closely-packed granular sandstone structure which primarily comprised feldspar group minerals (k-feldspar and albite) and mainly quartz as the dominant mineral phases, and clay (mica), iron oxide (hematite), halite, ankerite, and analcime as accessory minerals. Moreover, the inter-grain cementation material is composed of calcite and chlorite.




Figure 4: Logarithmic plots of changes in grain size distribution and for unreacted and reacted samples with pure and impure CO₂, showing comparisons between (a) S-NR and S-CO₂, (b) S-CO₂-NO₂ and S-CO₂, (c) S-CO₂-SO₂ and S-CO₂, and (d) S-CO₂-H₂S and S-CO₂. Note: d_g is the geometric mean of the grain diameter.

Table 2: QXRD analysis of mineral phases (wt. %) for unreacted and reacted samples.


Sample	Albite	Analcime	Ankerite	Calcite	Chlorite	Halite	Hematite	K-feldspar	Mica	Quartz
S-NR	18.1	3.3	17.8	<0.5	0.8	1.1	0.5	7.8	7.9	42.6
S-CO₂	19.5	3.6	10.4	-	1.1	-	0.5	9.2	7.6	48.1
S-CO₂-NO₂	18.7	3.7	15.8	-	1.3	-	0.6	8.8	7.3	43.8
S-CO₂-SO₂	18.5	4.0	15.6	<0.5	1.7	-	0.5	8.5	6.6	44.5
S-CO₂-H₂S	20	3.5	8.9	-	1.1	-	<0.5	9.1	6.3	50.9

Table 3: Analyses of reacted brine.

Gas Used	Brine Composition	Ca	Mg	K	HCO ₃ ⁻	SO ₄ ²⁻	NO ₃ ⁻	Total S	SiO ₂	Ba	Sr	Mn	Total Fe	Fe ²⁺
		mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
CO ₂	Initial	<10	<0.60	1.30	0.00	<40	<24	25.0	<0.34	<0.01	17.8	0.02	0.03	<0.005
	Final	247	264	8.10	2036	<40	<24	<16	33.4	1.77	15.1	0.19	0.78	<0.005
CO ₂ -NO ₂	Initial	<10	<0.60	1.30	0.00	<40	<24	25.0	<0.34	<0.01	17.8	0.02	0.03	<0.005
	Final	453	317	13.9	1852	84.1	736	45.0	52.1	1.16	17.2	0.12	41.9	37.6
CO ₂ -SO ₂	Initial	<10	<0.60	1.30	0.00	<40	<24	25.0	<0.34	<0.01	17.8	0.02	0.03	<0.005
	Final	694	368	12.9	2294	834	<24	518	40.3	0.22	18.5	4.95	6.80	6.97
CO ₂ -H ₂ S	Initial	<10	<0.60	3.70	0.00	<40	<24	117	<0.34	0.01	<0.0	<0.01	0.10	0.06
	Final	515	265	2.80	2903	<40	<24	142	24.2	2.12	0.54	3.70	0.18	0.23

After exposure to CO₂ in the S-CO₂ test, the largest changes in weight fractions were shown by quartz, which increased by about 11.4%. Meanwhile the fraction of ankerite decreased substantially by about 41.6%, while halite disappeared completely, which could be related to the initial salinity of the solution, and could also be further influenced by stirring during experiments as was discussed by Weisbrod et al. (Weisbrod et al., 2012). A notable observation is that the addition of NO₂ and SO₂ decreased the fraction of quartz. On the other hand, the presence of H₂S in the CO₂ stream increased the fraction of quartz. The increase in quartz fraction is marginal given that H₂S is only slightly soluble in water to produce a weak acid, which immediately dissociates to produce other ions.

Depending on the impurities and pH of the formation water, quartz can react with carbonic acid, hydrogen ion or bicarbonates, which had already formed from the dissolution of CO₂ in water, **Equation 4 to Equation 8** (Rathnaweera et al., 2016; Shogenov et al., 2015).



In addition, the fraction of quartz can be possibly influenced by the reaction of k-feldspar and CO₂ within the formation water, **Equation 9** (Rosenqvist et al., 2014).



However, the laboratory tests were relatively short compared to a real storage lifespan, and the quartz and feldspar dissolution may require extended time. Therefore, longer tests are required to further confirm the dissolution/precipitation behaviour of quartz and feldspar.

The effect of the CO₂ stream impurities on the pH of brine over 9 months is given in **Table 4**. The variation in permeability of rock samples after exposure to pure and impure CO₂ streams is a function of the impurity type, the pH value of brine, and the stability of rock minerals. Depending on the type of impurity associated with the CO₂ stream, the pH is altered due to the formation of strong or weak acids.

Table 4: The effect of CO₂ stream impurities on the pH of brine over time.

pH	CO ₂	CO ₂ -NO ₂	CO ₂ -SO ₂	CO ₂ -H ₂ S
Initial	7.65	7.65	7.65	7.65
Final	5.97	5.23	5.86	6.55

The pH of the brine exposed to the CO₂ stream, for the period of 9 months, was decreased from 7.65 to 5.97. In comparison with dissolution of the pure CO₂ stream in the formation water that forms carbonic acid or bicarbonate (Steel et al., 2018), dissolution of NO₂ impurity results in the production of a weak acid, HNO₂, and a strong acid, HNO₃, **Equation 10** and **Equation 11**.




Therefore, the addition of NO₂ led to a reduction in pH from 5.97 to 5.23. The addition of SO₂ led to a reduction in pH of the formation water from 5.97 to 5.86. The effect of SO₂ in the CO₂ stream can be explained according to **Equation 12** to **Equation 14**:




These reactions cause the formation of weak acids H₂SO₃ and H₂S, and/or strong acid H₂SO₄ in the formation water. The reduction of the pH due to CO₂ and SO₂ mixtures in a sandstone saline aquifer during injectivity and storage has been confirmed by Waldmann et al. (Waldmann et al., 2016).

The addition of H₂S led to an increase in pH of the formation water from 5.97 to 6.55, which can be explained according to the following reactions **Equation 15** and **Equation 16**:



Equation 15



Equation 16





Figure 5: Permeability of unreacted samples and samples reacted with pure and impure CO₂.

Figure 5 shows the effect of impurities on the permeability of rock samples over 9 months. The permeability of the sample exposed to the CO₂ stream was increased from 240 to 254 mD. When compared with samples exposed to impure CO₂, the introduction of NO₂ and SO₂ decreased permeability to 140 and 225 mD, respectively, while the effect of H₂S was negligible (255 mD). A reduction in the permeability in the presence of SO₂ (1%_{vol.}) is also reported by Waldmann et al. (Waldmann et al., 2016). They found that SO₂ accelerates the dissolution of silica and precipitation of carbonates and sulphates, causing an alteration in the permeability of the samples. Wang et al. (Z. Wang et al., 2016) also observed that the dissolution of quartz

was much faster in the presence of SO₂ (2.5%_{vol.}), when Si is undersaturated in water. In addition, they found that the enhanced dissolution of quartz can cause precipitation of salt, which could also decrease the permeability of reservoir rocks.

As mentioned, the permeability of the rock sample is affected by dissolution/precipitation of both the cementing material and rock minerals such as calcite. The dissolution/precipitation of calcite involves three main simultaneous reactions, **Equation 17** to **Equation 19** (Plummer et al., 1978):



It is reported that the dissolution of even small amounts of cementing material (e.g., calcite) can considerably change the rock permeability by affecting the grain size distribution (Lamy-Chappuis et al., 2013; Nogues et al., 2013; Sadhukhan et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2017). **Figure 4** presents the effect of pure and impure CO₂ on the grain size distribution of the rock samples. In comparison with the S-NR sample, exposure to the pure CO₂ stream (S-CO₂ test) resulted in an increase in d_g of the sample from 42.6 to 48.1 μm . The increase in d_g can be associated with the decreased pH of the formation water in the S-CO₂ test. With regard to the S-CO₂-NO₂ and S-CO₂-SO₂ tests, the introduction of NO₂ and SO₂ into the CO₂ stream resulted in a reduction in d_g to 43.8 and 44.5 μm , respectively. However, in the S-CO₂-H₂S test, the addition of H₂S into the CO₂ stream resulted in a slight increase in d_g to 50.9 μm . The decrease in d_g of rock samples exposed to impurities can be attributed to the lower pH of the formation water, compared to that with S-CO₂, which usually results in further dissolution of cementing material and quartz as the dominant mineral. However, it can be noted that switching from S-CO₂-SO₂

to S-CO₂-NO₂, for example, caused only a slight change in d_g but there was a significant change in permeability. This phenomenon can be explained by production of strong acid due to dissolution of CO₂-NO₂ in the formation water, which enhances dissolution of inter-grain cementation, increasing permeability without necessarily causing a pronounced effect on grain size.

Finally, it should be noted that in addition to experiments, numerical simulations should be performed to determine the effects of CO₂ and impurities, especially on storage capacity beyond the injection point, to understand overall injection efficiency. Previous studies (Gupta et al., 2001; Hall et al., 2016; Settari et al., 2001) required reservoir permeability values to solve problems of fluid flow in a variety of realistic settings, and the permeability values from this work can be used as inputs for cells in reservoir models, as well as for better understanding of risk associated with impure CO₂ injection and migration in the reservoir.

5. Conclusions

In this work we studied, over a 9-month period, the changes in grain size parameters due to exposure to CO₂ and impurities in simulated reservoir conditions using rock samples of the Bunter Sandstone formation to ascertain implications on physical reservoir quality using permeability variations as an indicator. The most significant changes in permeability were caused by CO₂-NO₂ brine-rock reactions which reduced sandstone permeability by 41.6%. The results in this study revealed that the geometric mean of the grain diameter, and consequently, permeability of the rock samples reduced due to the effect of CO₂ and impurities, which can be correlated with decreasing pH of the formation water. These results also suggest that it is necessary to evaluate the physical reservoir quality of potential storage formations depending on the nature of impurities present in the CO₂ stream. The obtained data can, therefore, reduce

the uncertainties about CO₂ storage capacity with impurities in the CO₂ stream and can be used to model the operation and performance of CO₂ storage in saline aquifers.

REFERENCES

- Adler, P.M., Jacquin, C.G., Quiblier, J.A., 1990. Flow in simulated porous media. *Int. J. Multiph. Flow* 16, 691–712. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9322\(90\)90025-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-9322(90)90025-E)
- Aminu, M.D., Nabavi, S.A., Rochelle, C.A., Manovic, V., 2017. A review of developments in carbon dioxide storage. *Appl. Energy* 208C, 1389–1419. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.09.015>
- Bateman, K., Selby, L., Rushton, J., Wagner, D., 2015. ULTimateCO₂: Understanding the Long-Term fate of geologically stored CO₂. BGS, Nottingham, UK.
- Bear, J., 1988. *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media*. Elsevier, New York.
- Beard, D.C., Weyl, P.K., 1973. Influence of texture on porosity and permeability of unconsolidated sand. *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.* 57, 349–369.
- Bifani, R., 1986a. Esmond Gas Complex. *Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ.* 23, 209–221. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1986.023.01.13>
- Bifani, R., 1986b. Esmond Gas Complex., Habitat of Palaeozoic gas in N.W. Europe. *Proc. conference, London, 1985*.
- Blunt, M.J., Bijeljic, B., Dong, H., Gharbi, O., Iglauer, S., Mostaghimi, P., Paluszny, A., Pentland, C., 2013. Pore-scale imaging and modelling. *Adv. Water Resour.* 51, 197–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.03.003>
- Bolourinejad, P., Herber, R., 2014. Experimental and Modelling Study of Storage of CO₂ and

- Impurities in a Depleted Gas Field in Northeast Netherlands. *Energy Procedia* 63, 2811–2820. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.303>
- Bond, C.E., Wightman, R., Ringrose, P.S., 2013. The influence of fracture anisotropy on CO₂ flow. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 1284–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50313>
- Bouquet, S., Bruel, D., De Fouquet, C., 2013. Influence of heterogeneities and upscaling on CO₂ storage prediction at large scale in deep saline aquifer, in: *Energy Procedia*. pp. 4445–4456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.349>
- Coelho, R., Barrufet, M., Hascakir, B., 2015. Effect of impurities in carbon dioxide stream on phase behavior for geological storage of carbon dioxide in low API Gravity Oil Reservoirs, in: *Carbon Management Technology Conference 2015: Sustainable and Economical CCUS Options, CMTC 2015*. pp. 967–974.
- Cooke-Yarborough, P., Smith, E., 2003. The Hewett Fields: Blocks 48/28a, 48/29, 48/30, 52/4a, 52/5a, UK North Sea: Hewett, Deborah, Big Dotty, Little Dotty, Della, Dawn and Delilah Fields. *Geol. Soc. Mem.* 20, 731–739. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.2003.020.01.60>
- Coskun, S.B., Wardlaw, N.C., 1993. Estimation of permeability from image analysis of reservoir sandstones. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* 10, 1–16. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-4105\(93\)90046-H](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0920-4105(93)90046-H)
- Dance, T., 2013. Assessment and geological characterisation of the CO₂CRC Otway Project CO₂ storage demonstration site: From prefeasibility to injection. *Mar. Pet. Geol.* 46, 251–269. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.06.008>
- Gilfillan, S.M. V, Lollar, B.S., Holland, G., Blagburn, D., Stevens, S., Schoell, M., Cassidy,

- M., Ding, Z., Zhou, Z., Lacrampe-Couloume, G., Ballentine, C.J., 2009. Solubility trapping in formation water as dominant CO₂ sink in natural gas fields. *Nature* 458, 614–618. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07852>
- Gunter, W.D., Perkins, E.H., McCann, T.J., 1993. Aquifer disposal of CO₂-rich gases: Reaction design for added capacity. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 34, 941–948. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-8904\(93\)90040-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0196-8904(93)90040-H)
- Gupta, A., Penuela, G., Avila, R., 2001. An integrated approach to the determination of permeability tensors for naturally fractured reservoirs. *J. Can. Pet. Technol.* 40, 43–48.
- Hall, M.R., Rigby, S.P., Dim, P., Bateman, K., Mackintosh, S.J., Rochelle, C.A., 2016. Post-CO₂ injection alteration of the pore network and intrinsic permeability tensor for a Permo-Triassic sandstone. *Geofluids* 16, 249–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gfl.12146>
- Heinemann, N., Wilkinson, M., Pickup, G.E., Haszeldine, R.S., Cutler, N.A., c, 2012. CO₂ storage in the offshore UK Bunter Sandstone Formation. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 6, 210–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2011.11.002>
- Holloway, S., Vincent, C.J., Bentham, M.S., Kirk, K.L., 2006. Top-down and bottom-up estimates of CO₂ storage capacity in the United Kingdom sector of the southern North Sea basin. *Environ. Geosci.* 13, 71–84. <https://doi.org/10.1306/eg.11080505015>
- Hussain, F., Michael, K., Cinar, Y., 2016. A numerical study of the effect of brine displaced from CO₂ storage in a saline formation on groundwater. *Greenh. Gases Sci. Technol.* 6, 94–111. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.1539>
- IPCC, 2005. Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2003272>

- Jafari Raad, S.M., Hassanzadeh, H., 2016. Does impure CO₂ impede or accelerate the onset of convective mixing in geological storage? *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 54, 250–257.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.09.011>
- Jenkins, C.R., Cook, P.J., Ennis-King, J., Undershultz, J., Boreham, C., Dance, T., De Caritat, P., Etheridge, D.M., Freifeld, B.M., Hortle, A., Kirste, D., Paterson, L., Pevzner, R., Schacht, U., Sharma, S., Stalker, L., Urosevic, M., 2012. Safe storage and effective monitoring of CO₂ in depleted gas fields. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, E35–E41.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107255108>
- Kaldi, J.G., Gibson-Poole, C.M., Payenberg, T., 2009. Geological input to selection and evaluation of CO₂ geosequestration sites, in: *Carbon Dioxide Sequestration in Geological Media--State of the Science: AAPG Studies in Geology* 59. pp. 5–16.
- Ketter, F.J., 1991. The Esmond, Forbes and Gordon Fields, Blocks 43/8a, 43/13a, 43/15a, 43/20a, UK North Sea. *Geol. Soc. Mem.* 14, 425–432.
<https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.MEM.1991.014.01.53>
- Krumbein, W., 1934. Size frequency distribution of sediments. *J. Sediment. Res.* 4, 65–77.
- Krumbein, W., Monk, G., 1943. Permeability as a function of the size parameters of unconsolidated sand. *Trans. AIME* 151, 153–163.
- Lamy-Chappuis, B., Yardley, B., Grattoni, C., 2013. The effect of CO₂-fluid-rock interactions on the porosity and permeability of calcite-bearing sandstone. *Am. Geophys. Union, Fall Meet.* 2013.
- Lei, H., Li, J., Li, X., Jiang, Z., 2016. EOS7Cm: An improved TOUGH2 module for simulating non-isothermal multiphase and multicomponent flow in CO₂-H₂S-CH₄-

- brine systems with high pressure, temperature and salinity. *Comput. Geosci.* 94, 150–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2016.06.011>
- Li, D., Jiang, X., 2014. A numerical study of the impurity effects of nitrogen and sulfur dioxide on the solubility trapping of carbon dioxide geological storage. *Appl. Energy* 128, 60–74. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.051>
- Liu, F., Lu, P., Griffith, C., Hedges, S.W., Soong, Y., Hellevang, H., Zhu, C., 2012. CO₂–brine–caprock interaction: Reactivity experiments on Eau Claire shale and a review of relevant literature. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 7, 153–167. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.01.012>
- Liu, F., Lu, P., Zhu, C., Xiao, Y., 2011. Coupled reactive flow and transport modeling of CO₂ sequestration in the Mt. Simon sandstone formation, Midwest U.S.A. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 5, 294–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.08.008>
- Masch, F.D., Denny, K.J., 1966. Grain size distribution and its effect on the permeability of unconsolidated sands. *Water Resour. Res.* 2, 665–677. <https://doi.org/10.1029/WR002i004p00665>
- Mostaghimi, P., Blunt, M.J., Bijeljic, B., 2013. Computations of Absolute Permeability on Micro-CT Images. *Math. Geosci.* 45, 103–125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11004-012-9431-4>
- NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2017. State of the Climate: Global Climate Report for March 2017, published online April 2017, retrieved on September 24, 2017 from <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/sotc/global/201703>. [WWW Document].
- Nogues, J.P., Fitts, J.P., Celia, M.A., Peters, C.A., 2013. Permeability evolution due to

- dissolution and precipitation of carbonates using reactive transport modeling in pore networks. *Water Resour. Res.* 49, 6006–6021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20486>
- Noy, D.J., Holloway, S., Chadwick, R.A., Williams, J.D.O., Hannis, S.A., Lahann, R.W., 2012. Modelling large-scale carbon dioxide injection into the Bunter Sandstone in the UK Southern North Sea. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 9, 220–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.03.011>
- Okabe, H., Blunt, M.J., 2004. Prediction of permeability for porous media reconstructed using multiple-point statistics. *Phys. Rev. E - Stat. Nonlinear, Soft Matter Phys.* 70, 066135/1-066135/10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.70.066135>
- Øren, P.-E., Bakke, S., Arntzen, O.J., 1998. Extending Predictive Capabilities to Network Models. *SPE J.* 3, 324–335.
- Pearce, J.K., Dawson, G.K.W., Law, A.C.K., Biddle, D., Golding, S.D., 2016a. Reactivity of micas and cap-rock in wet supercritical CO₂ with SO₂ and O₂ at CO₂ storage conditions. *Appl. Geochemistry* 72, 59–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2016.06.010>
- Pearce, J.K., Golab, A., Dawson, G.K.W., Knuefing, L., Goodwin, C., Golding, S.D., 2016b. Mineralogical controls on porosity and water chemistry during O₂-SO₂-CO₂ reaction of CO₂ storage reservoir and cap-rock core. *Appl. Geochemistry* 75, 152–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2016.11.002>
- Pearce, J.K., Kirste, D.M., Dawson, G.K.W., Farquhar, S.M., Biddle, D., Golding, S.D., Rudolph, V., 2015a. SO₂ impurity impacts on experimental and simulated CO₂-water-reservoir rock reactions at carbon storage conditions. *Chem. Geol.* 399, 65–86.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.10.028>

Pearce, J.K., Law, A.C.K., Dawson, G.K.W., Golding, S.D., 2015b. SO₂-CO₂ and pure CO₂ reactivity of ferroan carbonates at carbon storage conditions. *Chem. Geol.* 411, 112–124.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.07.001>

Perrin, J.-C., Benson, S., 2010. An experimental study on the influence of sub-core scale heterogeneities on CO₂ distribution in reservoir rocks. *Transp. Porous Media* 82, 93–109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-009-9426-x>

Plummer, L.N., Wigley, T.M.L., Parkhurst, D.L., 1978. Kinetics of calcite dissolution in CO₂-water systems at 5 degree to 60 degree C and 0.0 to 1.0 atm. CO₂. *Am J Sci* 278, 179–216.

Pruess, K., 2005. ECO2N: A TOUGH2 fluid property module for mixtures of water, NaCl, and CO₂. Lawrence Berkeley Natl. Lab. Rep. LBNL-57952.

Ranjith, P.G., Perera, M.S.A., Khan, E., 2013. A study of safe CO₂ storage capacity in saline aquifers: A numerical study. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 37, 189–199.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/er.2954>

Rathnaweera, T.D., Ranjith, P.G., Perera, M.S.A., 2016. Experimental investigation of geochemical and mineralogical effects of CO₂ sequestration on flow characteristics of reservoir rock in deep saline aquifers. *Sci. Rep.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19362>

Riebeek, H., 2011. Global Warming [WWW Document]. Earth Obs. NASA. URL <https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/GlobalWarming/page2.php> (accessed 6.28.17).

Rietveld, H.M., 1969. A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures. *J.*

- Appl. Crystallogr. 2, 65–71. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1107/S0021889869006558>
- Ritchie, J.S., Pratsides, P., 1993. The Caister Fields, Block 44/23a, UK North Sea. *Pet. Geol. Conf. Proc.* 4, 759–769. <https://doi.org/10.1144/0040759>
- Roberts, A.P., 1997. Statistical reconstruction of three-dimensional porous media from two-dimensional images. *Phys. Rev. E - Stat. Physics, Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top.* 56, 3203–3212.
- Rosenqvist, J., Kilpatrick, A., Yardley, B.W.D., Rochelle, C.A., 2014. Dissolution of K-feldspar at CO₂-saturated conditions. *Geophys. Res. Abstr.* 16.
- Sadhukhan, S., Gouze, P., Dutta, T., 2012. Porosity and permeability changes in sedimentary rocks induced by injection of reactive fluid: A simulation model. *J. Hydrol.* 450–451, 134–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.05.024>
- Settari, A., Walters, D.A., Behie, G.A., 2001. Use of coupled reservoir and geomechanical modelling for integrated reservoir analysis and management. *J. Can. Pet. Technol.* 40, 55–61.
- Shepherd, R.G., 1989. Correlations of Permeability and Grain Size. *Groundwater* 27, 633–638. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.1989.tb00476.x>
- Shogenov, K., Shogenova, A., Vizika-Kavvadias, O., Nauroy, J.-F., 2015. Experimental modeling of CO₂-fluid-rock interaction: The evolution of the composition and properties of host rocks in the Baltic Region. *Earth Sp. Sci.* 2, 262–284. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015EA000105>
- Shukla, R., Ranjith, P., Haque, A., Choi, X., 2010. A review of studies on CO₂ sequestration and caprock integrity. *Fuel* 89, 2651–2664.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.05.012>

Soong, Y., Howard, B.H., Dilmore, R.M., Haljasmaa, I., Crandall, D.M., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Lin, R., Irdi, G.A., Romanov, V.N., Mclendon, T.R., 2016. CO₂/brine/rock interactions in Lower Tuscaloosa formation. *Greenh. Gases Sci. Technol.* 6, 824–837. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ghg.1611>

Steel, L., Mackay, E., Maroto-Valer, M.M., 2018. Experimental investigation of CO₂-brine-calcite interactions under reservoir conditions. *Fuel Process. Technol.* 169, 122–131. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuproc.2017.09.028>

Talman, S., 2015. Subsurface geochemical fate and effects of impurities contained in a CO₂ stream injected into a deep saline aquifer: What is known. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 40, 267–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.04.019>

Taylor, J.C., 1991. Computer Programs for Standardless Quantitative Analysis of Minerals Using the Full Powder Diffraction Profile. *Powder Diffr.* 6, 2–9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0885715600016778>

Thovert, J.F., Salles, J., Adler, P.M., 1993. Computerized characterization of the geometry of real porous media: Their discretization, analysis and interpretation. *J. Microsc.* 170, 65–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2818.1993.tb03324.x>

Torp, T.A., Gale, J., 2004. Demonstrating storage of CO₂ in geological reservoirs: The Sleipner and SACS projects. *Energy* 29, 1361–1369. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2004.03.104>

Underhill, J.R., 2009. Role of intrusion-induced salt mobility in controlling the formation of the enigmatic “Silverpit Crater”, UK Southern North Sea. *Pet. Geosci.* 15, 197–216.

<https://doi.org/10.1144/1354-079309-843>

Verdon, J.P., 2012. Microseismic Monitoring and Geomechanical Modelling of CO₂ Storage in Subsurface Reservoirs. PhD thesis, University of Bristol.

Waldmann, S., Hofstee, C., Koenen, M., Loeve, D., Liebscher, A., Neele, F., 2016. Physicochemical effects of discrete CO₂-SO₂ mixtures on injection and storage in a sandstone aquifer. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 54, 640–651.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.07.026>

Waldmann, S., Rütters, H., 2016. Geochemical effects of SO₂ during CO₂ storage in deep saline reservoir sandstones of Permian age (Rotliegend) - A modeling approach. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 46, 116–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.01.005>

Wang, J., Wang, Z., Ryan, D., Lan, C., 2015. A study of the effect of impurities on CO₂ storage capacity in geological formations. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 42, 132–137.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.08.002>

Wang, K., Xu, T., Wang, F., Tian, H., 2016. Experimental study of CO₂–brine–rock interaction during CO₂ sequestration in deep coal seams. *Int. J. Coal Geol.* 154–155, 265–274. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2016.01.010>

Wang, Z., Wang, J., Lan, C., He, I., Ko, V., Ryan, D., Wigston, A., 2016. A study on the impact of SO₂ on CO₂ injectivity for CO₂ storage in a Canadian saline aquifer. *Appl. Energy* 184, 329–336. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.09.067>

Warren, E.A., Smalley, P.C., 1994. North Sea formation waters atlas, Oceanographic Literature Review.

Weibel, R., Kjølner, C., Bateman, K., Laier, T., Nielsen, L.H., Purser, G., 2014. Carbonate

- dissolution in Mesozoic sand- and claystones as a response to CO₂ exposure at 70°C and 20MPa. *Appl. Geochemistry* 42, 1–15.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2013.12.006>
- Weisbrod, N., Alon-Mordish, C., Konen, E., Yechieli, Y., 2012. Dynamic dissolution of halite rock during flow of diluted saline solutions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39.
<https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051306>
- Williams, J.D.O., Bentham, M., Jin, M., Pickup, G., Mackay, E., Gammer, D., Green, A., 2013a. The Effect of Geological Structure and Heterogeneity on CO₂ Storage in Simple 4-way Dip Structures; a Modeling Study from the UK Southern North Sea. *Energy Procedia* 37, 3980–3988. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.297>
- Williams, J.D.O., Holloway, S., 2012. The capability of faults and top seals in the Bunter Sandstone of the UK Southern North Sea to seal carbon dioxide, in: *Fault and Top Seals: From Characterization to Modelling*.
- Williams, J.D.O., Holloway, S., Williams, G.A., 2014. Pressure constraints on the CO₂ storage capacity of the saline water-bearing parts of the Bunter Sandstone Formation in the UK Southern North Sea. *Pet. Geosci.* 20, 155–167.
<https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2013-019>
- Williams, J.D.O., Jin, M., Bentham, M., Pickup, G.E., Hannis, S.D., Mackay, E.J., 2013b. Modelling carbon dioxide storage within closed structures in the UK Bunter Sandstone Formation. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 18, 38–50.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.06.015>
- Wolf, J.L., Niemi, A., Bensabat, J., Rebscher, D., 2016. Benefits and restrictions of 2D

reactive transport simulations of CO₂ and SO₂ co-injection into a saline aquifer using TOUGHREACT V3.0-OMP. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 54, 610–626.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2016.07.005>

Wollenweber, J., Alles, S. a., Kronimus, A., Busch, A., Stanjek, H., Krooss, B.M., 2009.

Caprock and overburden processes in geological CO₂ storage: An experimental study on sealing efficiency and mineral alterations, in: *Energy Procedia*. pp. 3469–3476.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.138>

Yang, G., Li, Y., Atrens, A., Yu, Y., Wang, Y., 2017. Numerical Investigation into the

Impact of CO₂-Water-Rock Interactions on CO₂ Injectivity at the Shenhua CCS Demonstration Project, China. *Geofluids* Volume 201, 17.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/4278621>

Ziabakhsh-Ganji, Z., Kooi, H., 2014. Sensitivity of the CO₂ storage capacity of underground

geological structures to the presence of SO₂ and other impurities. *Appl. Energy* 135, 43–

52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.08.073>



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

CO₂-brine-rock interactions: The effect of impurities on grain size distribution and reservoir permeability

Aminu, Mohammed Dahiru; Nabavi, Seyed Ali; Manovic, Vasilije

2018-08-25

Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2018.08.008>

Aminu MD, Nabavi SA, Manovic V. (2018) CO₂-brine-rock interactions: the effect of impurities on grain size distribution and reservoir permeability. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, Volume 78, November 2018, pp. 168-176