

Efficacy of sodium metabisulphite for control of *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin B₁ contamination *in vitro* and in chilli powder and whole red chillies

Diyaa Al-Jaza*, Angel Medina and Naresh Magan

Applied Mycology Group, Environment and AgriFood Theme, Cranfield University,
Cranfield, Beds. MK43 0AL, U.K.

*Present address: Analyses Pathology, Science College, Thi-qar University, Iraq.
Email: diyaamt71@utq.edu.iq

Corresponding author: Prof. N. Magan, Applied Mycology Group, Environment and AgriFood Theme, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Beds. MK43 0AL. E.mail: n.magan@cranfield.ac.uk

Abstract

Chillies are an important spice in many regions of the world. During the production and processing phases, they are prone to infection by mycotoxigenic fungi, especially *Aspergillus* Section *Flavi* species and contamination with aflatoxins. There is significant interest in controlling aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) contamination in such spices to ensure they remain below the legislative limits for human consumption. This study initially examined the potential efficacy of sodium metabisulphite (NaMBS, up to 5000 mg/L) for the control of growth and AFB₁ production by *Aspergillus flavus* strains *in vitro* on a chilli-based medium modified to 0.93-0.995 water activity, a_w). Subsequent studies examined efficacy of NaMBS in stored naturally contaminated chilli powder and whole red chillies, or when inoculated with *A. flavus* at 0.70, 0.80, 0.90, 0.95 a_w and 30°C for 20 days. NaMBS was effective *in vitro* in controlling growth of the three strains of *A. flavus* at the different a_w levels examined. No growth occurred with >500-1250 NaMBS mg/L. AFB₁ was only produced at 0.98 a_w with complete inhibition at ≥ 1250 mg/L of the preservative at 30°C. The *in vitro* ED₅₀, ED₉₀ for NaMBS varied with a_w conditions. *In situ* studies with chilli powder and whole red dry chillies (naturally contaminated or + conidial inoculum of *A. flavus*) showed that at 0.70 and 0.80 a_w , NaMBS treatments of 1000 and 2000 mg/L resulted in AFB₁ contamination levels below the legislative limits for spices. However, under wetter conditions at 0.90 and 0.95 a_w , AFB₁ contamination of stored chilli powder, -/+ *A. flavus* inoculum, were above the legislative limits, even with 2000 mg/L NaMBS and 20 days storage at 30°C. Stored whole chillies inoculated with *A. flavus* resulted in a reduction of populations, especially at 2000 mg/L NaMBS. Control of AFB₁ was achieved only with 2000 mg/L NaMBS treatment after 10 and 20 days storage. Studies with commercial laminated sheets containing immobilised NaMBS with slow release of SO₂ properties significantly reduced fungal populations and effectively controlled AFB₁ contamination of the stored and packaged whole red chillies.

Key words: Abiotic factors, control, chillies, mycotoxins, preservatives, storage

1. Introduction

Chillies are an important aromatic spice in many regions of the world. It is an economically important ingredient as a fresh spice, dried whole chillies, chilli flakes or in a powder form. The dry forms are quite hygroscopic and can adsorb water easily during transport and storage that can affect quality. This can make them prone to colonisation by mycotoxigenic fungi, especially *Aspergillus flavus*, a species in the *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* group (Costa et al., 2019). This can result in contamination with aflatoxins (AFs). Indeed, in many countries, including the European Union, there are strict legislative limits on the maximum allowable contamination levels with aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) and total AFs in spices. There is thus significant interest in developing food-grade preservation systems that can effectively minimise or prevent fungal spoilage and mycotoxin contamination of chillies. A common group of compounds used for fungal control in foods and beverages are the sulphites, especially potassium or sodium metabisulphite NaMBS), i.e., the salts of sulphurous acid, with known antimicrobial action. Sulphites are extensively used for the preservation of fresh and dried fruit and vegetables, juices and wines (Doughas, Heyes & Smallfield 2005; Jay 2000; Pateraki et al., 2007; Türkkan & Erper 2014) and the European Commission, with the Regulation 1129/2011, set limits for sulphites use in foods and beverages (European Commission 2011). A recent review of sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and other sulphite preservatives clarified that NaMBS contains about 67% SO₂ (EFSA ANS Panel, 2016). It can be used in concentrations of up to a maximum of 2000 mg/L available SO₂ in a range of dried fruits and between 200 and 500 mg/L in a range of other foodstuffs. However, it has not been used in spices such as chillies and chilli-based products previously (EFSA ANS Panel, 2016).

It should of course be noted that many of the food-grade preservatives which can be applied to such commodities are fungistats and not fungicides. While NaMBS and indeed other aliphatic acids and their salts have been examined for the control of spoilage and mycotoxigenic fungi in a range of commodities, few if any, have been focused on control of *A. flavus* and associated AFB₁ contamination of chillies (Costa et al., 2019).

Previous studies with NaMBS (0-2000 mg/L) showed efficacy against a range of pure cultures of mycotoxigenic and spoilage moulds in defined semi-solid media (Ioannidis et al., 2018). In these studies two *Penicillium* species were particularly sensitive and had MIC concentrations of <100 mg/L, with *A. carbonarius* having a MIC of <1300 mg/L. *Aspergillus flavus* appeared to have moderate sensitivity to NaMBS in short term experiments for 48-72 hrs using a micro-well titre assay system. Lopez-Malo, Alzamora & Palou (2005) using defined media found the MIC for *A. flavus* was 900 mg/L at 0.99 a_w and pH 4.5 and 700 mg/l at 0.95 a_w and the same pH. Magan & Medina (2020) found that NaMBS and other aliphatic acids significantly controlled *A. flavus* populations in stored peanuts, with some reductions in AFB₁ contamination.

Other more specific studies on the efficacy of SO₂ on growth of *A. flavus* strains have predominantly focused on mycelial growth only, and not on AFs production. For example, Santos et al. (2013) suggested that *in vitro* growth of *A. flavus* isolated from marine shrimp was inhibited when exposed to 10% NaMBS. Chourasia (1993) found that mycelial growth of *Aspergillus parasiticus* was completely inhibited by 0.1% of NaMBS but no account of abiotic factors, especially temperature x a_w, were taken into account. Studies on other mycotoxigenic species have found differential results. Pateraki et al. (2007) found MIC values of between 750-1000 mg/L NaMBS depending on the prevailing a_w level for ochratoxigenic strains of *A. carbonarius* on grape juice-

based media. Ioannidis et al. (2015) also using grape juice-based media and strains of *A. carbonarius* found MIC values of 458 mg/L under optimum temperature (31.7°C) and a_w (0.965) conditions. However, practically no studies have examined the effect of food-grade preservatives on the control of growth and AFB₁ production by *A. flavus* strains with food-grade preservatives such as NaMBS in chillies (Costa et al., 2019).

The objectives of this study were to: (a) screen concentrations of NaMBS for *in vitro* efficacy to control growth and AFB₁ production by strains of *A. flavus* under different a_w conditions at 30°C on a chilli-based medium and (b) calculate the relative ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ concentrations for efficacy of NaMBS for control of growth and AFB₁ control. These studies were complimented with *in situ* studies to examine (i) effects of NaMBS on colonisation and AFB₁ contamination of chilli powder naturally contaminated or inoculated with *A. flavus* conidia and stored at different a_w levels for 20 days at 30°C, (ii) control of *A. flavus* and AFB₁ of naturally contaminated whole red chillies or with additional *A. flavus* conidial inoculum on fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination when stored at 0.90 and 0.95 a_w for 20 days at 30°C, and (iii) efficacy of commercial laminated NaMBS treated sheets with slow release of SO₂ concentrations on control of total and *A. flavus* fungal populations and AFB₁ production in whole red chillies inoculated with *A. flavus* conidia and stored for 20 days at 30°C.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Fungal strains

Three AFB₁ producing strains of *A. flavus* (DAJ₁, DAJ₂ and DAJ₄) isolated from Iraqi chilli samples were used in the *in vitro* studies. These were all confirmed using molecular analyses, and were all AFB₁ producers (Al-Jaza et al., 2021). They were maintained on a 10% chilli powder-based agar medium.

2.2 Basal media preparation

A 10% red chilli powder-based medium (10% chilli powder; 2% technical agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, Herts, U.K., Technical Agar No. 3, Code: LP0013); water) was used as the basic medium in these studies (0.995 water activity, a_w). This was modified to 0.98 and 0.93 a_w by substituting water with appropriate glycerol/water solutions.

2.3 Screening of sodium metabisulphite for efficacy against growth and aflatoxin B₁ production by *A. flavus* strains in vitro

The analytical grade sodium metabisulphite (Na₂S₂O₅; NaMBS; E223 was obtained from Merck Ltd. (Merck Life Science, Gillingham, Dorset, UK). One gram of the NaMBS was dissolved in 10 ml of distilled water to obtain a stock solution (100,000 mg/L). The final stock solution was filtered through a 0.22 µ filter cartridge using a sterile syringe into a sterile 25 ml plastic Universal bottle and kept at 4°C until use.

The required concentrations (500, 1250, 2500 and 5000 mg/L) were prepared by mixing the appropriate amount of stock solution of each compound with sterilized molten 10% chilli media at each of the different a_w levels when cooled to about 50°C. Each treatment medium was shaken thoroughly and then poured into 9 cm Petri plates (approx. 17.5 mls per plate), in the sterile flow bench and allowed to cool. The different a_w treatment were stored in separate sealed bags at 4°C until use. Control plates at each a_w level were also prepared using the 10% basic chilli medium only. The final a_w of each treatment was checked using the Aqualab TE4 (Labcell Ltd., Medstead, Hants., U.K.) and found to be within 0.003 of the treatment levels.

A spore suspension from a growing colony of each *A. flavus* strain was made by lightly scraping the culture surface of 7 day-old cultures on 10% chilli medium with a surface sterilised loop. The conidia were placed in 10 mls of sterile water containing 0.001% tween 80 in 25 ml glass Universal bottles. These were shaken to obtain a conidial spore suspension. The concentration of the spore suspension was quantified using a haemocytometer and diluted with sterile water to obtain 10^6 spores/ml.

The treatment and replicate plates were all centrally inoculated with a sterile loop of the spore suspension. Each a_w treatment was kept in different sealed bags and these were all incubated 30°C for ten days. The diametric growth rates were measured in two directions at right angles to each other on a daily basis. This data was used to calculate the temporal growth and the regression of the exponential phase of the growth curve used to calculate the diametric growth rate (mm/day). These were plotted and used to calculate the 50% effective dose inhibition (ED₅₀) and 90% effective dose (ED₉₀) concentrations necessary for inhibition of growth. Similarly, this approach was used for subsequent calculation of the concentrations of NaMBS necessary for ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ values for AFB₁ production control.

2.4 In situ studies of NaMBS efficacy for control of growth of A. flavus and AFB₁ contamination of chilli powder and whole chillies during storage

2.4.1 Moisture adsorption curves

Chilli powder: A moisture absorption curve was constructed to determine the quantities of water required to add to dry red chilli powder samples to modify the moisture contents to the treatment target a_w values. This curve was prepared by adding different amounts of distilled water to the chilli powder and equilibrating at 4°C for 24 hrs. After equilibration and returning the samples to 25°C the a_w was measured with an AquaLab 4TE. The amounts of added water vs a_w levels was plotted to quantify the exact amounts of water required to modify the chilli powder to the treatment a_w levels.

Whole Chillies: Similarly, a moisture absorption curve for whole red dry chillies was prepared as described for chilli powder.

2.5 Effects of NaMBS on naturally contaminated chilli powder or that inoculated with on conidia of A. flavus on fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination during storage for up to 20 days

Thirty grams of chilli powder were each weighed into each of 24 solid culture vessels and then closed with plastic lids with an integrated semi-permeable membrane. The samples were divided into four groups of a_w treatment conditions (0.70, 0.80, 0.90 and 0.95 a_w = 18-20; 26-28, 42-44 and 68-70% m.c.). Each group of chillies was adjusted by adding the appropriate volume of sterile distilled water for the control samples based on the moisture absorption curve to obtain the target levels.

NaMBS treatment concentrations were made up in sterile distilled water to modify the a_w of the treatments. The preservatives (1000 and 2000 mg/L) were added like water to obtain the target treatments. All chilli powder treatments were stored at 4°C for 24 hrs with periodic shaking to allow absorption and equilibration.

The controls and preservative treatments and replicates were removed from the 4°C room and inoculated with 0.25 ml of a 10^2 spores/ml aliquot from a conidial suspension made from conidia obtained from a 10-day old culture of *A. flavus* (strain DAJ₁) and thoroughly mixed. Each treatment was then divided into separate replicate

glass jars with microporous lids at each a_w level and stored in environmental chambers at 30°C for 20 days. Each chamber also contained 2 x 500 mL beakers containing a glycerol/water solution of the same a_w as the treatment to maintain the equilibrium relative humidity (ERH) of the atmosphere. Three replicates were destructively sampled after 10 and 20 days storage. The samples were all stored at -20°C for subsequent AFB₁ analyses. The experiments were repeated once.

Enumeration of total and A. flavus populations in the stored chilli powder samples: Sub-samples of each treatment and replicate were cut into pieces and 1 g of chilli powder weighed and mixed with 9 ml of sterile distilled water (with 0.01% Tween 80) to obtain the 10⁻¹ dilution. All samples were serially diluted after vigorous shaking at each dilution using a vortex mixer. A 200 µl of each dilution was spread-plated with a surface sterilised L shaped glass spreader onto Malt Extract Agar (MEA; ThermoFisher Scientific, Hemel Hempstead, Herts., U.K., Code: CM0059) in 90 mm Petri plates. The three replicate plates of each dilution for each sample were incubated at 30°C for seven days and the dilutions with 5-50 fungal colonies enumerated. The *A. flavus* colonies were enumerated with reference to the strains used in the *in vitro* experiments.

2.6 Efficacy of NaMBS treatment of whole red chillies inoculated with A. flavus conidia under different water activity conditions on fungal populations and aflatoxin B₁ when and stored for up to 20 days at 30°C.

The same procedure was followed as for chilli powder except that naturally contaminated whole red chillies were used and only two a_w treatments (0.90 and 0.95 = 35-36 and 48-50% m.c.). Either sterile distilled water for the controls or different concentrations of NaMBS (1000, 2000 mg/L) in sterile distilled water were added with reference to the moisture adsorption curve. Again all treatments and replicates were equilibrated at 4°C for 24 hrs and shaken regularly. The whole red chillies treatments were inoculated with 0.25 ml of a 10² spores/ml of *A. flavus* (DAJ₁) at each a_w level as detailed previously. The treatments were shaken thoroughly and equilibrated at 25°C. The treatments and replicates were then placed in the glass jars with microporous lids in the environmental chambers as detailed previously and stored for up to 20 days with three replicates destructively sampled after 10 and 20 days storage at 30°C. Treatments were sampled at time 0, 10 and 20 days for fungal enumeration and AFB₁ contamination levels. Those for toxin analyses were stored at -20°C for subsequent analyses.

Enumeration of total and A. flavus populations on stored whole red chillies: Sub-samples of each treatment and replicate were cut into pieces and 1 g of chillies weighed and mixed with 9 ml of sterile distilled water (with 0.01% Tween 80) to obtain the 10⁻¹ dilution. The procedure followed was as detailed in Section 2.5.

2.7 Efficacy of laminated sheets of NaMBS with different concentrations of slow release SO₂ for control of fungal populations and AFB₁ production in naturally contaminated whole red chillies inoculated with A. flavus spores

Sub-samples of naturally contaminated whole red chillies were weighed into 12 solid culture vessels. These were split into two groups of a_w treatments (0.90 and 0.95 a_w by the addition of sterile water, excluding an inoculum of 0.25 ml of the *A. flavus* conidial suspension. After a_w modification overnight at 4°C the samples were kept at 25°C and an inoculum of 0.25 ml of a 10² spores/ml of *A. flavus* (DAJ₁) at each a_w level

as detailed previously. The replicates and treatments were then covered with the NaMBS treatment sheets (Tessara PTY, Ltd, Cape Town, South Africa). These laminated sheets had either 40 or 70% immobilised NaMBS and released sulphur dioxide gas (SO₂) rapidly in the first 12-24 hrs and then slowly subsequently over periods of 96 hrs or more. These treatments released approx. 50 mg/L and 110 mg/L SO₂. Each treatment was then enclosed in a re-sealable plastic bag and incubated. All treatments were incubated at 30°C for 20 days and after 10 and 20 days, three replicates per treatment were destructively sampled for fungal populations and subsamples frozen at -20°C for later AFB₁ toxin analyses.

2.8. Aflatoxin B₁ extraction and quantification

2.8.1 In vitro studies

Extraction from fungal cultures: Up to eight agar plugs were taken across the colony with a surface-sterilised 4 mm cork-borer and placed in pre-weighed 2 ml Eppendorf tubes and re-weighed. A 1 ml aliquot of chloroform was added to the agar plugs in the Eppendorf tube which was then shaken on a digital orbital shaker (KS 501; IKA-Werke GmbH, Staufen, Germany) for 1 hr. The supernatant was removed from the Eppendorf tube and the chloroform placed in a new tube and dried overnight.

Derivatization of aflatoxins: For each 2 ml Eppendorf tube containing the residue of a sample, 200 µl of hexane was added plus 50 µl trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, Fisher Scientific, UK). The Eppendorf tube was vortexed for 30 seconds and left for 5 min. after this, 950 µl of water:acetonitrile (9:1, v/v) was added and the mixture vortexed for 30 secs then left for 10 min. The mixture separated into two layers, the supernatant containing the hexane and the bottom layers containing the toxin. The hexane was removed (300 µl) and the residue containing the toxin filtered through polythene filters (13 mm, 0.22 µm; Jaytee Biosciences, Ltd, Herne Bay, UK) directly into amber salinized 2 ml HPLC vials (Agilent Technologies, Ltd, Cheshire, UK) for HPLC analysis (Sultan et al., 2010).

Preparation of AFs standards: A 200 µl stock solution of AFs mixed solution (Romer Lab UK Ltd, Cheshire, UK) comprising of 0.2 µg of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), 0.2 µg of aflatoxin G₁ (AFG₁), 0.06 µg of aflatoxin B₂ (AFB₂) and 0.06 µg aflatoxin G₂ (AFG₂) was made. Different amounts of stock solution were transferred into 2 ml Eppendorf tubes then left overnight and allowed to evaporate inside a fume cupboard at 20°C to dryness. These samples were used for derivatisation as described previously.

HPLC analysis: The HPLC system used was an Agilent 1200 series (Agilent Technologies, Hewlett-Packard-Strasse 8, 76337 Waldbronn, Germany) reversed-phase with a fluorescence detector (λ_{exc} 36 nm; λ_{em} 440 nm). The mobile phase was methanol (30%):water (60%):acetonitrile (10%). A C18 column was used (Phenomenex Gemini; 150 x 4.6, 3 µm particle size; this was preceded by a Phenomenex Gemini C18 column, 3 mm, 3 µm Guard cartridge (Phenomenex, CA, USA). The column temperature was 25°C; flow rate 1.0 ml/min; Injection volume 50 µl; Stop time 15 min; Ret. Time 10 min; Excitation 360 nm; Emission 440 nm.

2.8.2 Aflatoxin B₁ quantification in chilli powder and whole red chillies

Immuno-affinity column technique (IAC): Each replicate of the chilli powder or whole red chilli samples from each treatment were analysed for AFB₁ using Immuno-affinity columns (IAC) from Romer PLC ((Afla StarTM R IAC Column Romer Labs[®] Inc; Tulln, Austria) specifically for chillies and similar pigmented matrices. Three grams of chillies were weighed, and placed in a 30 ml tube. 12 ml of extraction solution

(acetonitrile/water; 6/4 (v/v)) was added to the tube which was closed and then shaken for 1 hour in a shaker. After this, the extract was filtered using a funnel containing a Whatman filter paper (12.5 cm). The extracts were diluted with PBS (Phosphate buffered saline; pH 7.4) until the content of acetonitrile was lower than 5% (v/v) with a pH of 7. The diluted extract was transferred to the IAC and allowed to pass through the column using a syringe barrel as a reservoir. The extract solution was allowed to drip independently (one drop per second) into the collection vessel. The column and extract was kept at room temperature of 20-25°C. The IAC was rinsed with 2 x 10 ml of distilled water. The first portion of the rinse solution was used to rinse the container. The second portion of the rinse solution was applied directly to the Romer IAC column. Any remaining liquid was removed from the column by applying slight pressure on top of the column. 2 ml of methanol was directly added to the column and the eluted liquid collected in a new sterile 2 ml Eppendorf tube. The eluate was evaporated under reduced pressure at 40°C using a rotary evaporator. 1 ml of water:methanol (0.5:0.5) was added to the extract and mixed. This was filtered through a Nylon filter ((13 mm, 0.22 µm; Jaytee Biosciences, UK)), directly into amber HPLC vials and then stored at -20°C until quantification using HPLC.

The HPLC analyses was carried out as described previously. Each batch of samples included a set of derivatized aflatoxin standards. The chilli powder and whole red chillies had a mean background level of 0.018 ng/g chilli powder/chillies. The aflatoxin B₁ results were thus corrected for this initial internal level of contamination.

Preparation of standards: A stock solution of 200 µl of aflatoxin mix solution (Romer Lab UK Ltd, Cheshire, UK) comprising of 0.2 µg each of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) and aflatoxin G₁ (AFG₁) and 0.06 µg each of aflatoxin B₂ (AFB₂) and aflatoxin G₂ (AFG₂) was made. The stock solution was transferred into 2-ml Eppendorf tube then adds 300µl of acetonitrile to get 800µg stock solutions. Vortex and preparation sequential concentrations (400, 200, 100, 50, 10 and 5) by adding 500µl (acetonitrile: water 50/50) to 500µl of stock solution at each time.

2.9 Statistical analysis

The effects of the NaMBS treatments in vitro and in situ on fungal populations and AFB₁ production were determined by using the Shapiro Wallis Test (Non-normality data) and ANOVA (Normality data). The significant statistical level was at $P < 0.05$ for all individual and interacting factors.

3. Results

3.1 In vitro efficacy of NaMBS on growth and aflatoxin B₁ production by strains of *A. flavus* on a chilli-based medium

The impact of the interactions between NaMBS concentrations and a_w levels on the mycelial growth of the three *A. flavus* strains (DAJ₁, DAJ₂ and DAJ₄) on a 10% chilli medium at 30°C after 10 days incubation was examined. Fig. 1 shows that mycelial growth was consistently reduced by 500-5000, 1250-5000 and 2500-5000 mg/L of this preservative at 0.93, 0.98 and 0.995 a_w , respectively. Optimum growth was generally at 0.98 a_w and not with freely available water (0.995 a_w).

Fig. 2 shows the relative impact of the NaBMS on AFB₁ production by these three strains on the chilli-based medium. At 0.995 and 0.93 a_w practically no AFB₁ was produced, in both the control or preservative treatments. However, at 0.98 a_w there was a significant production of AFB₁ and this was reduced for all three strains, as the NaMBS concentration was increased. Statistically, concentration of NaMBS, a_w and

their interactions were all statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) for the three strains of *A. flavus* using the ANOVA for growth data, and the Shapiro Wallis analyses for the for the AFB₁ data (non-normality data).

These data sets were used to calculate the ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ concentrations necessary for control of growth and AFB₁ production. Table 1 summarises these concentrations necessary for the different a_w treatments used. Statistically, the effects of NaMBS (mg/L), a_w levels, and concentration $\times$ a_w on the growth rate of the three strains of *A. flavus* were all significant ($P \leq 0.05$). For toxin control AFB₁ production was significantly affected ($P \leq 0.05$) by NaMBS concentrations, a_w and their interactions.

3.2 In situ studies on NaMBS for control of aflatoxin B₁ control in naturally contaminated chilli powder and that inoculated with *A. flavus* conidia under different water activity storage regimes at 30°C

The total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* were assayed in both naturally contaminated chilli powder and that inoculated with additional *A. flavus* conidia. The total mycobiota present was Log₁₀ CFUs: 2.65 ± 0.55 and that of *A. flavus* populations, Log₁₀ CFUs: 0.34 ± 0.18 g/chilli powder. At 0.70 and 0.80 a_w these populations did not change significantly. However, at 0.90 and 0.95 a_w the total fungal populations increased significantly, especially at 0.95 a_w and 20 days storage. Those of *A. flavus* increased slightly, especially in the controls. Suppl. Fig. 1 provides these data. Table 2 provides the statistical summary of significant individual, two or three-way interactions on fungal populations and on AFB₁ production.

Suppl. Fig. 2 shows the *A. flavus* populations isolated from the chilli powder treatments inoculated with additional conidial inoculum of this mycotoxigenic species and stored for up to 20 days. In this case, there were higher populations of the mycotoxigenic species generally. These remained stable at 0.70 a_w . However, at 0.80, 0.90 and 0.95 a_w there was some effect of the NaMBS treatments with a reduction in the *A. flavus* populations (Table 3).

Fig. 3 shows that at 0.70 and 0.80 a_w 1000 and 2000 mg/L NaMBS was effective at reducing AFB₁ levels below the permitted limits after 10 days storage and at 0.80 a_w for 20 days in the naturally contaminated samples. In the wetter sample treatments at 0.95 a_w 1000 and 2000 mg/L NaMBS treatments inhibited AFB₁ production for 10 days in both naturally contaminated and *A. flavus* inoculated samples when compared to the control without NaMBS. After 20 days storage AFB₁ was detected in all the treatments. This suggests that the preservative delayed the production of AFB₁. Statistical analyses showed that for AFB₁ production, concentration, time, concentration $\times$ time and concentration $\times$ a_w were significant. The a_w and three-way interactions between the factors were not significant.

3.3 Effect of NaMBS on in situ control in whole red chillies inoculated with *A. flavus*: effects on total and *A. flavus* populations and AFB₁ contamination in relation to initial water activity and storage periods at 30°C

Fig. 4 shows the efficacy of two concentrations of NaMBS on the total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* isolated from whole red chillies inoculated with conidia at two different a_w levels initially and after 10 and 20 days storage. After both 10 and 20 days storage there was a reduction in the total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* in both the NaMBS treatments. Statistically, the populations on whole chillies inoculated with *A. flavus* were significantly affected ($P \leq 0.05$) by NaMBS

concentration and the storage time, except in the 2000 mg/L treatment where there was no effect of storage a_w and the interaction between the factors (Table 4).

The effect of storage water availability, preservative treatments and storage time on control of AFB₁ shows that there was a clear effect on the contamination of whole red chillies with this toxin at 0.90 a_w and a more gradual inhibition at 0.95 a_w (Fig. 5). Statistically, AFB₁ production by *A. flavus* was significantly affected ($P < 0.05$) by NaMBS concentration, and storage time except after 10 days storage at both a_w levels. The statistical effect of single (concentration, time and a_w) and interacting conditions (concentration x time x a_w) on AFB₁ production are shown in Table 4.

3.4 *In situ* efficacy of slow release SO₂ from laminated commercial preservative sheets on control of *A. flavus* populations and AFB₁ contamination in *A. flavus* inoculated whole red stored chillies

Fig. 6a,b shows the impact of laminated sheets using 40 and 70% NaMBS immobilisation on the total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* isolated from the inoculated whole red chillies initially and stored for 10 and 20 days. The populations of *A. flavus* on stored chillies were gradually decreased when using the increased SO₂ treatments (40, 70%) at both a_w levels (0.90 and 0.95 a_w). The efficacy appeared to increase with incubation time. Statistically, there were significant effects of the NaMBS dose, incubation time, a_w and dose x a_w x time on *A. flavus* populations (Table 5).

Fig. 6c,d compares the effect of the treatments on AFB₁ contamination in the whole red chillies pre-inoculated with *A. flavus*. There was a significant impact of the use of such packaging on relative AFB₁ contamination. The AFB₁ contamination was completely inhibited by both NaMBS laminated sheet treatments with contamination levels below the detection limit. Statistically, there was a significant effect of the NaMBS dose and storage time on AFB₁ production, but that this was unaffected by storage a_w and interaction between the factors (concentration x time x a_w). Also, statistical analysis showed that there was no significant difference between the preservative treatments. However, there was a significant difference between the untreated control and these two treatments (see Table 5).

4. DISCUSSION

The present studies have shown the promise of using NaMBS as a food-grade preservative for chilli powder and whole dried chillies. The *in vitro* studies showed that the growth of three strains of *A. flavus*, isolated from chillies, was significantly reduced when increasing concentrations, regardless of the a_w level used.

No AFB₁ production was found in the chilli-based medium at 0.995 and 0.93 a_w in both the controls and preservative treatments, probably due to the a_w levels which were marginal for toxin production. However, NaMBS concentrations of ≥ 1250 mg/L reduced AFB₁ levels at 0.98 a_w . There was also an indication that at lower concentrations of 500 mg/L some stimulation of AFB₁ by some strains occurred at 0.98 a_w . This preservative is a fungistatic compound, and may at low concentration, be perceived as a chemical stress with the response by the *A. flavus* strains resulting in the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites including aflatoxins. This has been previously observed with low levels of other preservatives including anti-oxidants and essential oils (Aldred et al., 2008).

Chourasia (1993) suggested that the growth rate of *A. parasiticus*, also in the *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* group was completely inhibited by 1000 mg/L of NaMBS,

however this was in a defined liquid medium. They also found that using 1000 mg/L NaMBS prevented aflatoxins biosynthesis in the liquid broth, but did result in production of aflatoxins and sclerotia on solid media. Unfortunately, without taking into account the natural food matrix and key abiotic parameters, liquid broth studies do not help in determining efficacy accurately. In contrast, Sultan (2010) found that growth of *A. flavus* strains from peanuts were also stimulated by low doses of 500 and 1000 mg/L NaMBS at all a_w conditions examined. Gowda et al. (2004) found that growth of *A. parasiticus* strains was completely inhibited by 1000-5000 mg/L of this preservative. Alam et al. (2010) reported that the growth of *A. parasiticus* was inhibited on Czapek Yeast Extract Agar medium using high concentrations of calcium propionate. Previously, Magan (1993) indicated that the growth rates of *A. flavus*, *A. ochraceus* and *A. terreus* were inhibited by 50 mg/L of SO_2 , but on a defined malt extract agar medium at 0.995 and 0.95 a_w .

In both naturally contaminated chilli powder and that inoculated with additional inoculum of *A. flavus* showed that at 0.70 and 0.80 a_w there was relatively good control of AFB₁ contamination. However, at 0.90 and 0.95 a_w , which were more conducive conditions, there was some control of AFB₁ production after 10 days storage but not after 20 days storage, even with 2000 mg/L NaMBS. This was especially so where additional inoculum of *A. flavus* was used. This suggests that when there is a high inoculum pressure due to contamination with *A. flavus* then under conducive conditions, especially at 0.95 a_w there was higher AFB₁ production occurring which would result in rejection of the chilli powder.

Subsequent studies with whole red chillies inoculated with conidia of *A. flavus* were stored at 0.90 and 0.95 a_w to examine the changes in both fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination. This showed that after inoculation the populations of *A. flavus* became predominant during the first 10 days of storage. The NaMBS treatment reduced the total fungal population and those of *A. flavus* by approx. log₁₀ 1.5 CFUs g/chillies, especially at 0.95 a_w and after 20 days storage.

The AFB₁ contamination clearly showed that contamination was highest at whole red chillies stored at 0.95 a_w and 30°C. Only the use of 2000 mg/L of NaMBS reduced the AFB₁ contamination levels to the EU legislative maximum levels after 20 days storage. There is little comparable studies with this or other food-grade preservatives in relation to control of fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination of chillies. Studies on stored peanuts by Sultan (2011) compared different salts of aliphatic acids and some anti-oxidants for control of *A. flavus* populations and AFB₁ contamination stored at different a_w levels at 25°C. While NaMBS was not included the results showed that the anti-oxidant octyl gallate was very effective. At 0.90 and 0.93 a_w peanuts treated with this compound and stored at 25°C for 15 days showed that the best results were obtained with 1000-2000 mg/L with a log₁₀ reduction of 1.5-2.0, especially after 7 days storage. Also, at these doses there was a significant inhibition of AFB₁ contamination of stored peanut after 7 days storage at 0.93 a_w . However, after 14 days storage there was little difference between treatments. Thus, it is difficult with some of these food grade compounds to maintain efficacy during storage, especially under conducive abiotic conditions. Perhaps, hurdle technology approaches would be beneficial by combining such food-grade preservatives with modified atmosphere storage or the use of active packaging systems (Erkmen and Bozoglu, 2016).

This is the first time that laminated sheets containing immobilised NaMBS have been examined for use in commodities such as chillies. The results suggest that at the higher concentration (70%) there was a reduction in both the total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* after 10 and 20 days storage. The fact that AFB₁ was below he

limit of detection or quantification in the two NaMBS impregnated treatments certainly suggests some promise in developing this approach. The 40% laminated sheet treatment results in the initial release of about 40-50 ppm SO₂ over the first 12-24 hrs and then this decreases, with some residual production of 5-10 ppm SO₂ subsequently. For the 70% treatment, the initial release is about 100-110 ppm SO₂ and then this decreases to about 50 ppm after 24 hrs and then to about 10 ppm over the following 3-4 days (Tessara Ltd, personal communication). It may thus be possible to modify the relative immobilised NaMBS treatment in the laminated sheets for potential applications for the storage of spices and perhaps other commodities. More research is needed on whether this approach could be used for both dry chillies and indeed in fresh chillies which have a very short shelf life and where such packaging may have potential applications.

No previous studies have examined in detail the use of this food grade preservative for minimising AFB₁ contamination of chillies or indeed other related spices (Costa et al., 2019). There are some studies of the efficacy of NaMBS as anti-fungal agents for controlling fungal decay in grapes, wine, cereals and dried fruits but not to minimise mycotoxin contamination. For example, Jiang et al. (2015) examined the effect of SO₂, ethanol, and combinations on the fungal profile and OTA production by *A. carbonarius* in wine and found significant inhibition of growth and of OTA production after addition of SO₂. In addition, Ahmed et al. (2018) found that the SO₂-releasing sheets were highly effective to reduce the incidence of grey mold in Italian grapes during cold storage for 50 days. From a human health perspective, the use of NaMBS is allowed as a food preservative by the IARC and WHO and there is a threshold limit of the concentration which can be used by law (GRAS) with a maximum of 200 mg/kg. In the present study, the two concentrations used were 1000 and 2000 mg/L NaMBS.

Conclusions

This study has shown that both total and *A. flavus* fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination of chilli powder and whole dry red chillies can be effectively reduced by using NaMBS when stored under conducive conditions of 0.90-0.95 a_w and 30°C. The use of laminated sheets containing immobilised NaMBS certainly shows promise and needs to be examined in more detail to identify and translate such approaches into practical preservation products for chillies and their derived products and other spices to minimise the potential colonisation by *A. flavus* and AFB₁ contamination.

Acknowledgements

Dr. D. AL-Jaza is grateful to Thi-qar University for sponsoring this project and the Iraqi Cultural Attaché in London for their assistance in completing his PhD in the Applied Mycology Group, Cranfield Soil and AgriFood Institute, Cranfield University, U.K. We are grateful to Mr. E. Williams and Dr. F. Vries, Tessara Ltd., Cape Town, South Africa for the supply of the NaMBS immobilised sheets.

References

Ahmed, S., Roberto, S., Domingues, A., Shahab, M. Osmar, J., Chaves, J., Junior, C., Sumida, C. H. Souza, R. (2018). *Effects of different sulfur dioxide pads on Botrytis mold in 'Italia' table grapes under cold storage. Horticulturae*, 4. 10.3390/horticulturae4040029.

Al-Jazaa, D. (2020). *Mycotoxins in chillies: diversity of mycotoxigenic fungi, ecology and control strategies using food grade preservatives and gaseous ozone (O₃)*. PhD Thesis, Applied Mycology Group, PhD Thesis, Cranfield University, Cranfield, U.K.

Al-Jazaa, D., Medina, A. and Magan, N. (2021). *Abiotic factors affect growth and aflatoxin B₁ production by Aspergillus flavus strains on chilli powder and red chillies*. *World Mycotoxin Journal*. In Press. <https://doi.org/10.3920/WMJ2021.2715>

Alam, S., Shah, H.U., Magan, N., Qazi, J.I., Arif, M. (2010). *Effects of Calcium Propionate and Water Activity on Growth and Aflatoxins Production by Aspergillus parasiticus*. *Pakistan Journal Zoology*, 42, 57-62.

Aldred, D; Cairns-Fuller, V. & Magan, N. (2008). *Environmental factors affect efficacy of some essential oils and resveratrol to control growth and ochratoxin A production by Penicillium verrucosum and Aspergillus westerdijikiae on wheat grain*. *Journal of Stored Product Research*, 44, 341-346.

Chourasia, H.K. (1993). *Growth, sclerotia and aflatoxin production by Aspergillus parasiticus: influence of food preservatives*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 17, 204-207.

Costa, J., Rodriguez, R., Garcia-Cela, E., Medina, A., Magan, N., Lima, N., Battilani, P. & Santos, C. (2019). *Overview of Fungal and Mycotoxin Contamination in Capsicum Pepper and in Its Derivatives*. *Toxins*, 11(1), 27.

Doughas M, Heyes J and Smallfield B (2005). *Herbs, spices and essential oils. Post harvest operations in developing countries*. www.fao.org.

Erkmen, O., Bozoglu, F. (Eds). 2016. *Food Preservation by Combination of Techniques (Hurdle Technology)*. Chapter 9 in *Microorganisms in Food Preservation and Processing*, Pp. 116-180. John Wiley and Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119237860.ch35>

European Commission (EC). (2010). *European Commission Regulation (EC) No 165/2010 of 26 February 2010. Amending Regulation (EC) No 188/2006 setting maximum levels contaminants in foodstuffs as regards aflatoxins*. *Official Journal of European Union L*, 50, 8-12.

EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources Added to Food), (2016). *Scientific Opinion on the re-evaluation sulfur dioxide (E 220), sodium sulfite (E 221), sodium bisulfite (E 222), sodium metabisulfite (E 223), potassium metabisulfite (E 224), calcium sulfite (E 226), calcium bisulfite (E 227) and potassium bisulfite (E 228) as food additives*. *EFSA Journal* 14 (4), 4438 151 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4438

Gowda, N.K.S., Malathi, V. & Suganthi, R.U. (2004). *Effect of some chemical and herbal compounds on the growth of Aspergillus parasiticus and aflatoxin production*. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 116, 281-291.

Ioannidis, A-G., Kogkaki, A.E., Natskoulis, P.I., Nychas, G-J.E. & Panagou, E. Z. (2018). *Modelling the influence of temperature, water activity and sodium metabisulphite on the growth and OTA production of Aspergillus carbonarius isolated from Greek wine grapes. Food Microbiology*, 49, 12-22.

Jay, J.M. (2000). *Modern food microbiology*. 6th ed. Gaithersburg (MD): Aspen P, 679.

Jiang, C., Shi, J., Chen, X. & Liu, Y. (2015). *Effect of sulfur dioxide and ethanol concentration on fungal profile and ochratoxin A production by Aspergillus carbonarius during wine making. Food Control*, 47, 656-663. 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.08.011.

Jiang et al. (2015)

Lee DS, Chung SK and Yam KL (1992). *Carotenoid loss in dried red pepper products. International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 27, 179-185.

Lopez-Malo, A., Alzamora, S.M. and Palou, E. (2005). *Aspergillus flavus growth in the presence of chemical preservatives and naturally occurring antimicrobial compounds. International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 99, 119-128.

Magan, N. (1993). *Tolerance of fungi to sulphur dioxide. Chapter 7, In Stress tolerance of fungi*, ed. D.H.Jennings, pp. 173-187. Marcell Dekker.

Magan, N., Medina, A. (2020). *Feed preservatives for moulds and mycotoxin control: are they resilient enough?* <https://mycotoxinsite.com/home/?lang=en>.

Pateraki, M., Dekanea, A., Mitchell, D., Lydakis, D. & Magan, N. (2007). *Influence of sulphur dioxide, controlled atmospheres and water availability on in vitro germination, growth and ochratoxin A production by strains of Aspergillus carbonarius isolated from grapes. Post-harvest Biological Technology* 44, 141-149.

Singh, R. & Mandal, A.B. (2014). *Efficacy of fumaric and citric acids in preventing biosynthesis of aflatoxins in poultry feed with variable moisture content. Indian Council of Agricultural Research* 5, 55.

Santos, Y.F.M., Veloso, A.P.B., Calvet, R.M., Pereira, M.M.G., Pereyra, C.M., Dalcero, A.M., Torres, A.M. & Muratori, M.C.S. (2013). *Inhibitory effect of sodium metabisulphite and chlorine on the growth of Aspergillus spp. And Penicillium spp. Strains isolated from marine shrimp. Ciência Rural, Santa Maria* 9, 171-1726.

Sultan, Y. (2011). *Biodiversity of mycotoxigenic Aspergillus species in Egyptian peanuts and strategies for minimizing aflatoxin contamination*. PhD Thesis, Applied Mycology Group, Cranfield University, Cranfield, Beds. MK43 0AL, U.K

Türkkan, M. & Erper, İ. (2014). *Multi Regression Analysis of The Effect of Potassium Bicarbonate on In Vitro The Mycelial Growth and Sclerotial Germination of Botrytis cinerea. Akademik Ziraat Dergisi*, 3(2), 53- 60.

Table 1. (a) Effective doses (ED₅₀ and ED₉₀) of NaMBS (mg/L) required for *in vitro* inhibition of growth of the three strains of *A. flavus* (DAJ₁, DAJ₂ and DAJ₄) and (b) on AFB₁ production (ng/g medium) on a 10% chilli powder medium at 30°C.

(a) **Growth inhibition**

	ED ₅₀ (mg/L)			ED ₉₀ (mg/L)		
<i>A. flavus</i> strains	DAJ1	DAJ2	DAJ4	DAJ1	DAJ2	DAJ4
Water activity						
0.995	800	860	900	1175	1175	1180
0.98	1550	1600	1550	2300	2380	2310
0.93	250	250	275	450	450	450

(b) **Aflatoxin B₁ inhibition (ng/g medium)**

<i>A. flavus</i> strains	DAJ1	DAJ2	DAJ4	DAJ1	DAJ2	DAJ4
Water activity						
0.995	420	525	300	460	1180	800
0.98	250	255	300	410	800	800
0.93	240	250	250	410	440	460

Table 2. Statistical analyses of the effects of NaMBS (mg/L) on the fungal populations and AFB₁ contamination in naturally contaminated stored chilli powder at 30°C, at different a_w levels for 10 and 20 days.

Factor	Effect	Response
Concentration (C)	S ^a S ^a	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Time (t)	NS ^b S ^a	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Water activity (a _w)	S ^b NS ^a	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x t	S ^a S ^a	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x a _w	S ^a S ^a	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x t x a _w	S ^a NS ^b	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)

^aANOVA test; ^bShapiro Wills test

Table 3. Statistical analyses of effects of NaMBS (mg/L) on isolation of *A. flavus* populations from chilli powder initially inoculated with conidia of this mycotoxigenic species and on aflatoxin B₁ contamination after storage for up to 20 days at 30°C at different *a_w* levels (0.70, 0.80, 0.90 and 0.95 *a_w*).

Factor	Effect	Response
Concentration (C)	<i>S^a</i> <i>S^a</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Time (t)	<i>S^b</i> <i>S^b</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Water activity (<i>a_w</i>)	<i>S^b</i> <i>S^a</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x t	<i>S^b</i> <i>NS^b</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x <i>a_w</i>	<i>S^b</i> <i>NS^b</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Time x <i>a_w</i>	<i>S^b</i> <i>S^b</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x t x <i>a_w</i>	<i>S^a</i> <i>NS^a</i>	<i>A. flavus</i> populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)

^aANOVA test; ^bShapiro Wills test

Table 4. Statistical analyses of the effect of NaMBS (mg/L) on *in situ* populations of *A. flavus* inoculated whole red chillies and AFB₁ contamination when stored at 30°C and 0.90 and 0.95 water activity for 10 and 20 days.

Factor	Effect	Response
Concentration (C)	<i>S^a</i> <i>S^b</i>	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Time (t)	<i>S</i> <i>S</i>	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
Water activity (<i>a_w</i>)	<i>NS</i> <i>NS</i>	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)
C x t	<i>S</i> <i>NS</i>	Fungal populations (Log ₁₀ CFUs/g) Log ₁₀ AFB ₁ (µg/kg)

C x a_w	S	Fungal populations (Log_{10} CFUs/g)
	S	Log_{10} AFB ₁ ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)
C x t x a_w	NS	Fungal populations (Log_{10} CFUs/g)
	NS	Log_{10} AFB ₁ ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)

^aANOVA test; ^bShapiro Wills test

Figures




Fig 1a-c. Effect of sodium metabisulphite (NaMBS) concentrations (mg/L) on mycelial growth rate of three strains of *A. flavus* (DAJ₁, DAJ₂ and DAJ₄) on a 10% chilli powder medium modified to three a_w levels (0.93, 0.98 and 0.995 a_w) at 30°C for 10 days. Bars represent the standard error. Where there are no bars, they are smaller than the symbols.




Fig. 2a-c. Effect of sodium metabisulphite (NaMBS; mg/L) on AFB₁ of *A. flavus* strains (DAJ₁, DAJ₂ and DAJ₄) on a 10% chilli powder medium at three a_w levels at 30°C for 10 days. Bars are the standard errors of the mean.




Fig. 3. Effect of NaMBS (mg/L) on AFB₁ contamination in naturally contaminated, or + *A. flavus* inoculum of stored chilli powder at 30°C at four different *a_w* levels (0.70 to 0.95 *a_w*) for 10 and 20 days. Bars indicate standard error of the means. The dotted line indicates the EU legislative limits for AFB₁ in spices including chillies (Log₁₀ 5 µg/kg = 0.699 µg/kg).




Fig. 4. Efficacy of NaMBS (mg/L) on total fungal populations and of *A. flavus* (Log₁₀ CFUs/g) isolated from whole chillies at 30°C and (0.90 and 0.95 *a_w*) after 10 and 20 days storage. Bars indicate standard errors of the means.




Fig. 5. Effect of NaMBS (mg/L) on AFB₁ contamination (Log_{10} AFB₁ ($\mu\text{g/kg}$)) by *A. flavus* in stored whole chillies inoculated with *A. flavus* conidia at 30°C and two a_w levels for 10 and 20 days. Bars indicate standard error of the means. The dotted line indicates the EU legislative limits for AFB₁ in spices including chillies ($\text{Log}_{10} 5 \mu\text{g/kg} = 0.699 \mu\text{g/kg}$).






Fig. 6. Effect of laminated sheets with different NaMBS concentrations on (a, b) total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* (Log_{10} CFUs/g) and (c-d) aflatoxin B₁ contamination of whole red chillies stored at 30°C at 0.95 and 0.90 water activity (a_w) for 10 and 20 days. Bars indicate standard errors of the means. The dotted line

indicates the EU legislative limits for AFB₁ in spices including chillies (Log_{10} 5 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ = 0.699 $\mu\text{g/kg}$).

Suppl. Figures



Suppl. Fig. 1. Total fungal populations and those of *A. flavus* isolated from naturally contaminated chilli powder treated with different concentrations of sodium metabisulphite and stored for up to 20 days at 30°C at (a) 0.90 and (b) 0.95 a_w . Bars represent S.E.M.



Suppl. Fig. 2. Isolated *A. flavus* populations from chilli powder inoculated with conidia of this species and treated with sodium metabisulphite and stored for 10 and 20 days at 30°C and (a) 0.70, (b) 0.80, (c) 0.90, and (d) 0.95 a_w . Bars represent S.E.M.



DSpace

<https://dspace.org/>

Efficacy of sodium metabisulphite for control of *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin B1 contamination in vitro and in chilli powder and whole red chillies

Al-Jaza, Diyaa; Medina, Angel; Magan, Naresh

2021-12-29

Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108786>

Al-Jaza D, Medina A, Magan N. (2022) Efficacy of sodium metabisulphite for control of *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxin B1 contamination in vitro and in chilli powder and whole red chillies. Food Control, Volume 135, May 2022, Article number 108786