



LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914

THE LIGO SCIENTIFIC COLLABORATION AND THE VIRGO COLLABORATION, THE AUSTRALIAN SQUARE KILOMETER ARRAY PATHFINDER (ASKAP) COLLABORATION, THE BOOTES COLLABORATION, THE DARK ENERGY SURVEY AND THE DARK ENERGY CAMERA GW-EM COLLABORATIONS, THE FERMI GBM COLLABORATION, THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE INAF TEAM (GRAWITA), THE INTEGRAL COLLABORATION, THE INTERMEDIATE PALOMAR TRANSIENT FACTORY (IPTF) COLLABORATION, THE INTERPLANETARY NETWORK, THE J-GEM COLLABORATION, THE LA SILLA–QUEST SURVEY, THE LIVERPOOL TELESCOPE COLLABORATION, THE LOW FREQUENCY ARRAY (LOFAR) COLLABORATION, THE MASTER COLLABORATION, THE MAXI COLLABORATION, THE MURCHISON WIDE-FIELD ARRAY (MWA) COLLABORATION, THE PAN-STARRS COLLABORATION, THE PESSTO COLLABORATION, THE PI OF THE SKY COLLABORATION, THE SKYMAPPER COLLABORATION, THE SWIFT COLLABORATION, THE TAROT, ZADKO, ALGERIAN NATIONAL OBSERVATORY, AND C2PU COLLABORATION, THE TOROS COLLABORATION, AND THE VISTA COLLABORATION

(See the Supplement, Abbott et al. 2016b, for the full list of authors.)

Received 2016 February 29; revised 2016 April 26; accepted 2016 May 2; published 2016 July 20

ABSTRACT

A gravitational-wave (GW) transient was identified in data recorded by the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO) detectors on 2015 September 14. The event, initially designated G184098 and later given the name GW150914, is described in detail elsewhere. By prior arrangement, preliminary estimates of the time, significance, and sky location of the event were shared with 63 teams of observers covering radio, optical, near-infrared, X-ray, and gamma-ray wavelengths with ground- and space-based facilities. In this Letter we describe the low-latency analysis of the GW data and present the sky localization of the first observed compact binary merger. We summarize the follow-up observations reported by 25 teams via private Gamma-ray Coordinates Network circulars, giving an overview of the participating facilities, the GW sky localization coverage, the timeline, and depth of the observations. As this event turned out to be a binary black hole merger, there is little expectation of a detectable electromagnetic (EM) signature. Nevertheless, this first broadband campaign to search for a counterpart of an Advanced LIGO source represents a milestone and highlights the broad capabilities of the transient astronomy community and the observing strategies that have been developed to pursue neutron star binary merger events. Detailed investigations of the EM data and results of the EM follow-up campaign are being disseminated in papers by the individual teams.

Key words: gravitational waves – methods: observational

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2015 September, the Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO; Aasi et al. 2015) made the first direct detection of an astrophysical gravitational-wave (GW) signal that turned out to be from a binary black hole (BBH) merger. The LIGO Hanford and Livingston sites are the first two nodes of a growing global network of highly sensitive GW facilities, soon to include Advanced Virgo (Acernese et al. 2015), KAGRA, and LIGO–India. Some of the most promising astrophysical sources of GWs are also expected to produce broadband electromagnetic (EM) emission and neutrinos. This has created exciting new opportunities for joint broadband EM observations and multimessenger astronomy.

In a compact binary coalescence (CBC) event, a tight binary comprised of two neutron stars (NSs), two black holes (BHs), or a NS and a BH experiences a runaway orbital decay due to gravitational radiation. In a binary including at least one NS—a binary neutron star (BNS) or neutron star–black hole (NSBH) merger—we expect EM signatures due to energetic outflows at different timescales and wavelengths. If a relativistic jet forms,

we may observe a prompt short gamma-ray burst (GRB) lasting on the order of one second or less, followed by X-ray, optical, and radio afterglows of hours to days duration (e.g., Eichler et al. 1989; Narayan et al. 1992; Nakar 2007; Berger 2014; Fong et al. 2015). Rapid neutron capture in the sub-relativistic ejecta (e.g., Lattimer & Schramm 1976) is hypothesized to produce a kilonova or macronova, an optical and near-infrared signal lasting hours to weeks (e.g., Li & Paczyński 1998). Eventually, we may observe a radio blast wave from this sub-relativistic outflow, detectable for months to years (e.g., Nakar & Piran 2011). Furthermore, several seconds prior to or tens of minutes after merger, we may see a coherent radio burst lasting milliseconds (e.g., Hansen & Lyutikov 2001; Zhang 2014). In short, a NS binary can produce EM radiation over a wide range of wavelengths and timescales. On the other hand, in the case of a stellar-mass BBH, the current consensus is that no significant EM counterpart emission is expected except for those in highly improbable environments pervaded by large ambient magnetic fields or baryon densities.

The first campaign to find EM counterparts triggered by low-latency GW event candidates was carried out with the initial




Figure 1. Timeline of observations of GW150914, separated by band and relative to the time of the GW trigger. The top row shows GW information releases. The bottom four rows show high-energy, optical, near-infrared, and radio observations, respectively. Optical spectroscopy and narrow-field radio observations are indicated with darker tick marks and boldface text. Table 1 reports more detailed information on the times of observations made with each instrument.

LIGO and Virgo detectors and several EM astronomy facilities in 2009 and 2010 (Abadie et al. 2012a, 2012b; Evans et al. 2012; Aasi et al. 2014). In preparing for Advanced detector operations, the LIGO and Virgo collaborations worked with the broader astronomy community to set up an evolved and greatly expanded EM follow-up program.³⁸¹ Seventy-four groups with access to ground- and space-based facilities joined, of which 63 were operational during Advanced LIGO’s first observing run (O1). Details of the 2009 to 2010 EM follow campaign and changes for O1 are given in Section 1 of the Supplement (Abbott et al. 2016b).

After years of construction and commissioning, the Advanced LIGO detectors at Livingston, Louisiana, and Hanford, Washington, began observing in 2015 September with about 3.5 times the distance reach (<40 times the sensitive volume) of the earlier detectors. A strong GW event was identified shortly after the pre-run calibration process was completed. Deep analysis of this event, initially called G184098 and later given the name GW150914, is presented in Abbott et al. (2016e) and companion papers referenced therein. In this paper we describe the initial low-latency analysis and event candidate selection (Section 2), the rapid determination of likely sky localization (Section 3), and the follow-up EM observations carried out by partner facilities (Sections 4 and 5). For analyses of those observations, we refer the reader to the now-public Gamma-ray Coordinates Network (GCN) circulars³⁸² and to a number of recent papers. We end with a brief discussion of EM counterpart detection prospects for future events.

2. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCOVERY

As configured for O1, four low-latency pipelines continually search for transient signals that are coincident in the two detectors within the 10 ms light travel time separating them. Coherent WaveBurst (cWB; Klimentenko et al. 2016) and Omicron+LALInference Burst (oLIB; Lynch et al. 2015) both search for unmodeled GW bursts (Abbott et al. 2016f). GSTLAL (Cannon et al. 2012; Messick et al. 2016) and

Multi-Band Template Analysis (MBTA; Adams et al. 2015) search specifically for NS binary mergers using matched filtering. Because CBC waveforms can be precisely computed from general relativity, GSTLAL and MBTA are more sensitive to CBC signals than the burst search pipelines are. All four detection pipelines report candidates within a few minutes of data acquisition.

LIGO conducted a series of engineering runs throughout Advanced LIGO’s construction and commissioning to prepare to collect and analyze data in a stable configuration. The eighth engineering run (ER8) began on 2015 August 17 at 15:00 and critical software was frozen by August 30.³⁸³ The rest of ER8 was to be used to calibrate the detectors, to carry out diagnostic studies, to practice maintaining a high coincident duty cycle, and to train and tune the data analysis pipelines. Calibration was complete by September 12 and O1 was scheduled to begin on September 18. On 2015 September 14, cWB reported a burst candidate to have occurred at 09:50:45 with a network signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of 23.45 and an estimated false alarm rate (FAR) $< 0.371 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ based on the available (limited at that time) data statistics. Also, oLIB reported a candidate with consistent timing and S/N. No candidates were reported at this time by the low-latency GSTLAL and MBTA pipelines, ruling out a BNS or NSBH merger.

Although the candidate occurred before O1 officially began, the LIGO and Virgo collaborations decided to send an alert to partner facilities because the preliminary FAR estimate satisfied our planned alert threshold of 1 month^{-1} . Although we had not planned to disseminate real-time GCN notices before the formal start of O1, most of the computing infrastructure was in place. Basic data quality checks were done within hours of GW150914; both interferometers were stable and the data stream was free of artifacts (Abbott et al. 2016c). A cWB sky map was available 17 minutes after the data were recorded and a LALInference Burst (LIB) sky map was available after 14 hr. After extra data integrity checks and an update to the GCN server software, these two sky maps were communicated to observing partners in a GCN circular nearly two days after the event occurred (GCN 18330). Mass estimates were not released in this initial circular,

³⁸¹ See program description and participation information at <http://www.ligo.org/scientists/GWEMAlerts.php>.

³⁸² All circulars related to GW150914 are collected at <http://gcg.gsfc.nasa.gov/other/GW150914.gcn3>.

³⁸³ All dates and times are in UT.




Figure 2. Comparison of different GW sky maps, showing the 90% credible level contours for each algorithm. This is an orthographic projection centered on the centroid of the LIB localization. The inset shows the distribution of the polar angle θ_{HL} (equivalently, the arrival time difference Δt_{HL}).

and observers may have assumed the event was associated with a BNS system or a GW burst (e.g., from a nearby core-collapse supernova; SN). The knowledge that GW150914 was consistent with a BBH inspiral and merger was only shared later on October 3 (GCN 18388). Figure 1 shows the chronology of the GW detection alerts and follow-up observations.

The data were re-analyzed offline with two independent matched-filter searches using a template bank that includes both NS binary and BBH mergers. The waveform was confirmed to be consistent with a BBH merger and this information was shared with observers about three weeks after the event (GCN 18388). The FAR was evaluated with the data collected through 20 October, reported to be less than 1 in 100 years (GCN 18851; Abbott et al. 2016d), and ultimately determined to be much lower. The final results of the offline search are reported in Abbott et al. (2016e).

3. SKY MAPS

We produce and disseminate probability sky maps using a sequence of algorithms with increasing accuracy and

computational cost. Here, we compare four location estimates: the prompt cWB and LIB localizations that were initially shared with observing partners, plus the rapid BAYESTAR localization and the final localization from LALInference. All four are shown in Figure 2.

cWB performs a constrained maximum likelihood estimate of the reconstructed signal on a sky grid (Klimenko et al. 2016) weighted by the detectors' antenna patterns (Essick et al. 2015) and makes minimal assumptions about the waveform morphology. With two detectors, this amounts to restricting the signal to only one of two orthogonal GW polarizations throughout most of the sky. LIB performs Bayesian inference assuming the signal is a sinusoidally modulated Gaussian (Lynch et al. 2015). While this assumption may not perfectly match the data, it is flexible enough to produce reliable localizations for a wide variety of waveforms, including BBH inspiral-merger-ringdown signals (Essick et al. 2015). BAYESTAR produces sky maps by triangulating the times, amplitudes, and phases on arrival supplied by all the CBC pipelines (Singer & Price 2016). BAYESTAR was not available promptly because the low-latency CBC searches were not configured for BBHs; the localization presented here is derived from the offline CBC




Figure 3. Footprints of observations in comparison with the 50% and 90% credible levels of the initially distributed GW localization maps. Radio fields are shaded in red, optical/infrared fields are in green, and the XRT fields are indicated by the blue circles. The all-sky *Fermi* GBM, LAT, *INTEGRAL* SPI-ACS, and MAXI observations are not shown. Where fields overlap, the shading is darker. The initial cWB localization is shown as thin black contour lines and the LIB localization as thick black lines. The inset highlights the *Swift* observations consisting of a hexagonal grid and a selection of the a posteriori most highly ranked galaxies. The Schlegel et al. (1998) reddening map is shown in the background to represent the Galactic plane. The projection is the same as in Figure 2.

search. LALInference performs full forward modeling of the data using a parameterized CBC waveform which allows for BH spins and detector calibration uncertainties (Veitch et al. 2015). It is the most accurate method for CBC signals but takes the most time due to the high dimensionality. We present the same LALInference map as Abbott et al. (2016g), with a spline interpolation procedure to include the potential effects of calibration uncertainties. The BAYESTAR and LALInference maps were shared with observers on 2016 January 13 (GCN 18858), at the conclusion of the O1 run. Since GW150914 is a CBC event, we consider the LALInference map to be the most accurate, authoritative, and *final* localization for this event. This map has a 90% credible region with area 630 deg^2 .

All of the sky maps agree qualitatively, favoring a broad, long section of arc in the southern hemisphere and to a lesser extent a shorter section of nearly the same arc near the equator. While the majority of LIB’s probability is concentrated in the

Southern hemisphere, a non-trivial fraction of the 90% confidence region extends into the northern hemisphere. The LALInference sky map shows much less support in the northern hemisphere which is likely associated with the stronger constraints available with full CBC waveforms. The cWB localization also supports an isolated hot spot near $\alpha \sim 9^h$, $\delta \sim 5^\circ$, where the detector responses make it possible to independently measure two polarization components. In this region, cWB considers signals not constrained to have the elliptical polarization expected from a compact binary merger.

Quantitative comparisons of the four sky maps can be found in Section 2 of the Supplement (Abbott et al. 2016b). The main feature in all of the maps is an annulus with polar angle θ_{HL} determined by the arrival time difference Δt_{HL} between the two detectors. However, refinements are possible due to phase as well as amplitude consistency and the mildly directional antenna patterns of the LIGO detectors (Kasliwal & Nissanke 2014; Singer et al. 2014). In particular, the detectors’ antenna

Table 1
Summary of Tiled Observations

Facility/ Instrument	Band ^a	Depth ^b	Time ^c	Area (deg ²)	Contained Probability (%)				GCN
					cWB	LIB	BSTR ^d	LALInf	
Gamma-ray									
<i>Fermi</i> LAT	20 MeV– 300 GeV	1.7×10^{-9}	(every 3 hr)	...	100	100	100	100	18709
<i>Fermi</i> GBM	8 keV–40 MeV	$0.7\text{--}5 \times 10^{-7}$ (0.1–1 MeV)	(archival)	...	100	100	100	100	18339
<i>INTEGRAL</i>	75 keV–1 MeV	1.3×10^{-7}	(archival)	...	100	100	100	100	18354
IPN	15 keV–10 MeV	1×10^{-7}	(archival)	...	100	100	100	100	...
X-ray									
MAXI/GSC	2–20 keV	1×10^{-9}	(archival)	17900	95	89	92	84	19013
<i>Swift</i> XRT	0.3–10 keV	5×10^{-13} (gal.)	2.3, 1, 1	0.6	0.03	0.18	0.04	0.05	18331
		$2\text{--}4 \times 10^{-12}$ (LMC)	3.4, 1, 1	4.1	1.2	1.9	0.16	0.26	18346
Optical ^e									
DECam	<i>i, z</i>	$i < 22.5, z < 21.5$	3.9, 5, 22	100	38	14	14	11	18344 , 18350
iPTF	<i>R</i>	$R < 20.4$	3.1, 3, 1	130	2.8	2.5	0.0	0.2	18337
KWFC	<i>i</i>	$i < 18.8$	3.4, 1, 1	24	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.1	18361
MASTER	<i>C</i>	< 19.9	−1.1, 7, 7	710	50	36	55	50	18333 , 18390 , 18903 , 19021
Pan-STARRS1	<i>i</i>	$i < 19.2 - 20.8$	3.2, 21, 42	430	28	29	2.0	4.2	18335 , 18343 , 18362 , 18394
La Silla– QUEST	<i>g, r</i>	$r < 21$	3.8, 5, 0.1	80	23	16	6.2	5.7	18347
SkyMapper	<i>i, v</i>	$i < 19.1, v < 17.1$	2.4, 2, 3	30	9.1	7.9	1.5	1.9	18349
<i>Swift</i> UVOT	<i>u</i>	$u < 19.8$ (gal.)	2.3, 1, 1	3	0.7	1.0	0.1	0.1	18331
		$u < 18.8$ (LMC)	3.4, 1, 1						18346
TAROT	<i>C</i>	$R < 18$	2.8, 5, 14	30	15	3.5	1.6	1.9	18332 , 18348
TOROS	<i>C</i>	$r < 21$	2.5, 7, 90	0.6	0.03	0.0	0.0	0.0	18338
VST@ESO	<i>r</i>	$r < 22.4$	2.9, 6, 50	90	29	10	14	10	18336 , 18397
Near Infrared									
VISTA@ESO	<i>Y, J, K_S</i>	$J < 20.7$	4.8, 1, 7	70	15	6.4	10	8.0	18353
Radio									
ASKAP	863.5 MHz	5–15 mJy	7.5, 2, 6	270	82	28	44	27	18363 , 18655
LOFAR	145 MHz	12.5 mJy	6.8, 3, 90	100	27	1.3	0.0	0.1	18364 , 18424 , 18690
MWA	118 MHz	200 mJy	3.5, 2, 8	2800	97	72	86	86	18345

Notes.

^a Band: photon energy, optical or near-infrared filter (or C for clear unfiltered light), wavelength range, or central frequency.

^b Depth: gamma/X-ray limiting flux in $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; 5σ optical/IR limiting magnitude (AB); and 5σ radio limiting spectral flux density in mJy. The reported values correspond to the faintest flux/magnitude of detectable sources in the images.

^c Elapsed time in days between start of observations and the time of GW150914 (2015 September 14 09:50:45), number of repeated observations of the same area, and total observation period in days.

^d BAYESTAR.

^e Searches for bright optical transients were also done by BOOTES-3 and Pi of the Sky. Details are given in the Supplement (Abbott et al. 2016b).

patterns dominate the modulation around the ring for unmodeled reconstructions through a correlation with the inferred distance of the source (Essick et al. 2015). As shown in Figure 2, the algorithms all infer polar angles that are consistent at the 1σ level.

4. FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

Twenty-five participating teams of observers responded to the GW alert to mobilize satellites and ground-based telescopes spanning 19 orders of magnitude in EM wavelength. Observations and archival analysis started shortly after the candidate was announced, two days after the event was recorded. Most facilities followed tiling strategies based on the cWB and LIB

sky maps. Some groups, considering the possibility of a NS merger or core-collapse SN, selected fields based on the areal density of nearby galaxies or targeted the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) (e.g., Annis et al. 2016). Had the BBH nature of the signal been promptly available, most groups would not have favored local galaxies because LIGO’s range for BBH mergers is many times larger than that for BNSs. Figure 3 displays the footprints of all reported observations. The campaign is summarized in Table 1 in terms of instruments, depth, time, and sky coverage. Some optical candidate counterparts were followed up spectroscopically and in the radio band as summarized in Table 2. The overall EM follow-up of GW150914 consisting of broadband tiled observations

Table 2
Summary of Follow-up Observations

Spectroscopic Follow-up						
Instrument	No. of Candidates	Discovery Survey	Epochs	λ (Å)	$\Delta\lambda^a$ (Å)	GCN
KeckII+DEIMOS	8	iPTF	1	4650–9600	3.5	18337, 18341
LT+SPRAT	1	Pan-STARRS1	1	4500–7500	18	18370, 18371
NTT+EFOSC2	10	QUEST/Pan-STARRS1	1	3650–9250	18	18359, 18395
P200+DBSP	1	Pan-STARRS1	1	3200–9000	4–8	18372
UH2.2m+SNIFS	9	Pan-STARRS1	1	3200–10000	4–6	...
Radio Follow-up						
Instrument	No. of Candidates	Discovery Survey	Epochs	Freq. (GHz)	Lim. Flux ^b (uJy)	GCN
VLA	1	iPTF	3	6	$\lesssim 50$	18420, 18474, 18914

Notes.

^a Full width at half maximum resolution.

^b 5σ , 2 GHz nominal bandwidth, ≈ 20 min on-source.

and observations to characterize candidate counterparts are described in detail in Sections 3 through 5 of the Supplement (Abbott et al. 2016b).

Findings from these follow-up observations have been reported in several papers. A weak transient signal was found in *Fermi* GBM data 0.4 s after the time of GW150914 (Connaughton et al. 2016), but no corresponding signal was found in the *INTEGRAL* SPI-ACS instrument (Savchenko et al. 2016) or *AGILE* (Tavani et al. 2016). No GRB-like afterglow was found in X-rays with *Swift* XRT (Evans et al. 2016) or MAXI (N. Kawai et al., in preparation), in UV/optical with *Swift* UVOT (Evans et al. 2016), or at GeV energies with *Fermi* LAT (Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2016). Tiled observations with wide-field optical instruments listed in Table 1 found many transients, but spectroscopy with the instruments listed in Table 2 along with further photometry showed that none of them were associated with GW150914 (Kasliwal et al. 2016; Smartt et al. 2016; Soares-Santos et al. 2016; Morokuma et al. 2016). Annis et al. (2016) used DECam to search for a missing supergiant in the LMC, which would have been evidence for the collapse of a massive star that could have produced GWs, but failed to produce a typical core-collapse SN.

5. COVERAGE

Using the GW data alone, we can only constrain the position of the source on the sky to an area of $\approx 600 \text{ deg}^2$ (90% confidence). The inferred redshift is $z = 0.09^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$, corresponding to a luminosity distance of 410^{+160}_{-180} Mpc (Abbott et al. 2016g).

Table 1 lists the area tiled by each facility and the probability contained within those tiles, calculated with respect to the localization methods described in Section 3.

By far the most complete coverage of the area is at the highest energies. The *INTEGRAL* SPI-ACS provided the largest effective area in the 75 keV–1 MeV range, albeit with significantly varying detection efficiency. Owing to its nearly omnidirectional response, it had a full coverage of the GW probability map (GCN 18354; Savchenko et al. 2016). *Fermi* GBM captured 75% of the localization at the time of the GW trigger and the entire area by 25 minutes after (GCN 18339). *Fermi* LAT observations started 4200 s after the trigger and the entire localization continued to be observed every three hours.

Coverage in X-rays is complete down to $10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with the MAXI observations, but relatively sparse at fainter flux, with the *Swift* XRT tiles spanning about 5 deg^2 and enclosing a probability of $\sim 0.3\%$ in the energy range 0.3–10 keV to a depth of 10^{-13} – $10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (GCNs 18331, 18346).

Optical facilities together tiled about 900 deg^2 and captured a containment probability of over 50% of the initial LIB sky map and slightly less for the final LALInference sky map that was available after the observations were completed. The depth varies widely among these facilities. MASTER and Pan-STARRS1 covered the most area with their observations, while large areas also were covered by the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF), Dark Energy Camera (DECam), VLT Survey Telescope (VST@ESO; E. Brocato et al. 2016, in preparation), and La Silla-QUEST. The contained probability of the initial sky maps is dominated by MASTER, DECam, Pan-STARRS1, La Silla-QUEST, and VST@ESO, while the final sky map is contained best by MASTER, DECam, and VST@ESO. Relatively small area and contained probability were covered by facilities that targeted nearby galaxies. The only wide-field near-infrared facility, the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope (VISTA@ESO), covered 70 deg^2 and captured a containment probability of 8% of the final LALInference sky map.

The radio coverage is also extensive, with a contained probability of 86%, dominated by Murchison Widefield Array (MWA) in the 118 MHz band (GCN 18345).

Table 2 lists the observations done by large telescope spectrographs and a radio facility to follow-up candidate optical counterparts. Deep photometry, broadband observations, and spectroscopy identified the majority of the candidates to be normal population type Ia and type II SN, with a few dwarf novae and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) that are all very likely unrelated to GW150914. Candidate classification, comparison of redshift with the GW distance, and use of source age are crucial constraints to rule candidates in and out. Detailed discussions of candidate selection, spectroscopic and broadband follow-up are presented in survey-specific publications about iPTF candidates (Kasliwal et al. 2016) and about PESSTO follow-up of Pan-STARRS1 candidates (Smartt et al. 2016).

6. SENSITIVITY

The third column of Table 1 summarizes the depth of the follow-up program. We provide limiting flux, flux density, or magnitude for the different facilities. We emphasize that these limits only apply to the fraction of the sky contours that have been followed up. For example, the MWA fields have an 86% chance of containing the source’s sky location and provide no constraints on sky locations representing the remaining 14%.

Because the follow-up program was primarily designed to search for counterparts to BNS and NSBH mergers, it is interesting to note that the observational campaign would have provided powerful constraints on such a system. A BNS coalescence could have been detected by LIGO during O1 at a distance of ~ 70 Mpc, averaged over sky position and orientations (Martynov et al. 2016). A short GRB afterglow similar to those that have been observed by *Swift* XRT would have been detectable at that distance. Re-scaling the observed X-ray fluxes of short GRBs at 11 hr after the event would yield fluxes in the range 2×10^{-11} to 6×10^{-8} erg cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ (Berger 2014). Kilonova emission from a BNS merger at that distance would reach a peak apparent magnitude of 17 to 24 within a week or two after the merger (e.g., Metzger et al. 2010; Barnes & Kasen 2013; Tanaka & Hotokezaka 2013; Grossman et al. 2014). This range overlaps with the depth reached in the optical and near-IR bands. Finally, this BNS system might produce radio emission from tens of μ Jy to tens of mJy (e.g., Hotokezaka & Piran 2015) with different timescales spanning weeks to years. Tables 1 and 2 show that the radio observations from wide-field facilities were sensitive to mJy flux densities at low frequencies where fainter sources with longer timescales are expected, while the narrow-field VLA was sensitive to well localized radio transients down to μ Jy flux densities at frequencies above a few GHz.

7. CONCLUSIONS

GW150914 is consistent with the inspiral and merger of two BHs of masses 36^{+5}_{-4} and $29^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$, respectively, resulting in the formation of a final BH of mass $62^{+4}_{-4} M_{\odot}$ (Abbott et al. 2016e). In classical general relativity, a *vacuum* BBH merger does not produce any EM or particle emission whatsoever. Whereas supermassive BBHs in galactic centers may appear as dual AGNs or have other distinctive EM signatures due to interactions with gas or magnetic fields, stellar BBH systems are not expected to possess detectable EM counterparts. The background gas densities and magnetic field strengths should therefore be typical of the interstellar medium, which are many orders of magnitude smaller than the environments of EM bright supermassive BBHs. Although GW150914 is loud in GWs and expected to be absent in all EM bands, thorough follow-up observations were pursued to check for EM emission. Future EM follow-ups of GW sources will shed light on the presence or absence of firm EM counterparts and astrophysical processes that may trigger EM emission from these systems.

The EM campaign following GW150914 successfully demonstrates the capability of the observing partners to cover large swaths of the sky localization area, to identify candidates, and to activate larger telescopes for photometric and spectroscopic characterization within a few days of an event. We note that the information about the source’s BBH nature and updated sky maps were sent out 20 days and four months after

the event, respectively. This resulted in some instruments covering much less of the probability region or to the required depth of GW150914 than they may have planned for. We expect future alerts to be issued within tens of minutes with more information about the signal type and more rapid updates of the maps. The follow-up efforts would have been sensitive to a wide range of emission expected from BNS or NSBH mergers. However, the widely variable sensitivity reached across the sky localization area continues to be a challenge for an EM counterpart search.

The number of galaxies (with luminosities $L \geq 0.1 L^*$; Blanton et al. 2003) within the comoving volume of 10^{-2} Gpc ^{3} corresponding to the 90% credible area of the LALInference sky map and within the 90% confidence interval distance is $\sim 10^5$. Such a number makes it impossible to identify the host galaxy in the absence of an EM counterpart detection. The presence of a third GW detector such as Virgo would have improved the sky localization of GW150914 to a few tens of square degrees both for the unmodeled and CBC searches. The future addition of more GW detectors to the global network (Abbott et al. 2016a) will significantly improve the efficiency of searches for EM counterparts.

In summary, we have described the EM follow-up program carried out for the first GW source detected by Advanced LIGO. Within two days of the initial tentative detection of GW150914, a GCN circular was sent to EM follow-up partners alerting them to the event and providing them with initial sky maps. Twenty-five EM observing teams mobilized their resources, and over the ensuing three months observations were performed with a diverse array of facilities over a broad wavelength range (from radio to γ -ray). Findings from those observations are being disseminated in other papers. The localization and broadband follow-up of this GW event constitutes an important first step in a new era of GW multi-messenger astronomy.

See the Supplement (Abbott et al. 2016b) for a full list of acknowledgements. This is LIGO document LIGO-P1500227-v12.

REFERENCES

- Aasi, J., Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 7
- Aasi, J., Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., et al. 2015, *CQGra*, **32**, 074001
- Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., et al. 2012a, *A&A*, **541**, A155
- Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., et al. 2012b, *A&A*, **539**, A124
- Abbott, B., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016a, *LRR*, **19**, 1
- Abbott, B., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016b, *ApJS*, **225**, 8, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1600137/public/main>
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016c, arXiv:1602.03844, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500238/public/main>
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016d, *PhRvD*, **93**, 122003, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500269/public/main>
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016e, *PhRvL*, **116**, 061102, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P150914/public/main>
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016f, *PhRvD*, **93**, 122004, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500229/public/main>
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016g, *PhRvL*, **116**, 241102, <https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500218/public/main>
- Acernese, F., Agathos, M., Agatsuma, K., et al. 2015, *CQGra*, **32**, 024001
- Adams, T., Buskulic, D., Germain, V., et al. 2015, arXiv:1512.02864
- Annis, J., Soares-Santos, M., Berger, E., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **823**, L34
- Bannister, K., Marvil, J., Heywood, I., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18363, 1
- Bannister, K., Marvil, J., Heywood, I., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18655, 1
- Barnes, J., & Kasen, D. 2013, *ApJ*, **775**, 18
- Berger, E. 2014, *ARA&A*, **52**, 43
- Blackburn, L., Briggs, M. S., Burns, E., et al. 2015, GCN, 18339, 1

- Blanton, M. R., Hogg, D. W., Bahcall, N. A., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, **592**, 819
- Brocato, E., Branchesi, M., Campana, S., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18336, 1
- Brocato, E., Branchesi, M., Grado, A., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18397, 1
- Broderick, J., Jonker, P. G., Fender, R. P., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18364, 1
- Broderick, J., Jonker, P. G., Fender, R. P., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18424, 1
- Campbell, H., Lyman, J., Fraser, M., et al. 2015, GCN, 18395, 1
- Cannon, K., Cariou, R., Chapman, A., et al. 2012, *ApJ*, **748**, 136
- Chambers, K., Huber, M., Magnier, E., et al. 2015, GCN, 18335, 1
- Colazo, C., Lambas, D. G., Sanchez, B., et al. 2015, GCN, 18338, 1
- Connaughton, V., Burns, E., Goldstein, A., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, in press (arXiv:1602.03920)
- Eichler, D., Livio, M., Piran, T., & Schramm, D. N. 1989, *Natur*, **340**, 126
- Essick, R., Vitale, S., Katsavounidis, E., Vedovato, G., & Klimentko, S. 2015, *ApJ*, **800**, 81
- Evans, P. A., Fridriksson, J. K., Gehrels, N., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, **203**, 28
- Evans, P. A., Kennea, J. A., Barthelmy, S., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18331, 1
- Evans, P. A., Kennea, J. A., Barthelmy, S., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18346, 1
- Evans, P. A., Kennea, J. A., Barthelmy, S. D., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **460**, L40
- Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2016, *ApJL*, **823**, L2
- Ferrigno, C., Savchenko, V., Mereghetti, S., et al. 2015, GCN, 18354, 1
- Fong, W., Berger, E., Margutti, R., & Zauderer, B. A. 2015, *ApJ*, **815**, 102
- Grossman, D., Korobkin, O., Rosswog, S., & Piran, T. 2014, *MNRAS*, **439**, 757
- Hansen, B. M. S., & Lyutikov, M. 2001, *MNRAS*, **322**, 695
- Hotokezaka, K., & Piran, T. 2015, *MNRAS*, **450**, 1430
- Kaplan, D., Croft, S., Bannister, K., et al. 2015, GCN, 18345, 1
- Kasliwal, M. M., Cenko, S. B., Cao, Y., et al. 2015, GCN, 18341, 1
- Kasliwal, M. M., Cenko, S. B., Singer, L. P., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **824**, L24
- Kasliwal, M. M., & Nissanke, S. 2014, *ApJL*, **789**, L5
- Klimentko, S., Vedovato, G., Drago, M., et al. 2016, *PhRvD*, **93**, 042004
- Klotz, A., & Boer, M. 2015, GCN, 18348, 1
- Klotz, A., & Boer, M. 2015, GCN, 18332, 1
- Lattimer, J. M., & Schramm, D. N. 1976, *ApJ*, **210**, 549
- Li, L.-X., & Paczyński, B. 1998, *ApJL*, **507**, L59
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration & Virgo 2015a, GCN, 18330, 1
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration & Virgo 2015b, GCN, 18388, 1
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration & Virgo 2016a, GCN, 18858, 1
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration & Virgo 2016b, GCN, 18851, 1
- Lipunov, V., Gorbvskoy, E., Tyurina, N., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18333, 1
- Lipunov, V., Gorbvskoy, E., Tyurina, N., et al. 2016a, GCN, 19021, 1
- Lipunov, V., Gorbvskoy, E., Tyurina, N., et al. 2016b, GCN, 18903, 1
- Lipunov, V. M., Gorbvskoy, E. S., Buckley, D., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18390, 1
- Lynch, R., Vitale, S., Essick, R., Katsavounidis, E., & Robinet, F. 2015, arXiv:1511.05955
- Martynov, D. V., Hall, E. D., Abbott, B. P., et al. 2016, *PhRvD*, **93**, 112004
- Messick, C., Blackburn, K., Brady, P., et al. 2016, arXiv:1604.04324
- Metzger, B. D., Martínez-Pinedo, G., Darbha, S., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **406**, 2650
- Morokuma, T., Tanaka, M., Asakura, Y., et al. 2016, PASJ, submitted (arXiv:1605.03216)
- Morokuma, T., Tanaka, M., Yoshida, M., et al. 2015, GCN, 18361, 1
- Nakar, E. 2007, *PhR*, **442**, 166
- Nakar, E., & Piran, T. 2011, *Natur*, **478**, 82
- Narayan, R., Paczynski, B., & Piran, T. 1992, *ApJL*, **395**, L83
- Omodei, N., McEnery, J., & Vianello, G. 2015, GCN, 18709, 1
- Palliyaguru, N., & Corsi, A. 2015a, GCN, 18420, 1
- Palliyaguru, N., & Corsi, A. 2015b, GCN, 18474, 1
- Palliyaguru, N., & Corsi, A. 2016, GCN, 18914, 1
- Rabinowitz, D., Baltay, C., Ellman, N., et al. 2015, GCN, 18347, 1
- Rowlinson, A., Broderick, J., Jonker, P., et al. 2015, GCN, 18690, 1
- Savchenko, V., Ferrigno, C., Mereghetti, S., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **820**, L36
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, **500**, 525
- Serino, M., Negoro, H., Kawai, N., et al. 2016, GCN, 19013, 1
- Singer, L. P., Kasliwal, M. M., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2015, GCN, 18337, 1
- Singer, L. P., & Price, L. R. 2016, *PhRvD*, **93**, 024013
- Singer, L. P., Price, L. R., Farr, B., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **795**, 105
- Smartt, S. J., Chambers, K. C., Smith, K. W., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, submitted (arXiv:1602.04156)
- Smartt, S. J., Kupfer, T., Chambers, K., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18372, 1
- Smartt, S. J., Smith, K. W., Chambers, K., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18362, 1
- Smartt, S. J., Smith, K. W., Chambers, K., et al. 2015c, GCN, 18394, 1
- Smartt, S. J., Smith, K. W., Chambers, K., et al. 2015d, GCN, 18343, 1
- Soares-Santos, M., Annis, J., Berger, E., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18344, 1
- Soares-Santos, M., Annis, J., Berger, E., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18350, 1
- Soares-Santos, M., Kessler, R., Berger, E., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **823**, L33
- Steele, I. A., Copperwheat, C. M., Piascik, A. S., et al. 2015a, GCN, 18370, 1
- Steele, I. A., Copperwheat, C. M., Piascik, A. S., et al. 2015b, GCN, 18371, 1
- Takats, K., Agliozzo, C., Razza, A., et al. 2015, GCN, 18359, 1
- Tanaka, M., & Hotokezaka, K. 2013, *ApJ*, **775**, 113
- Tanvir, N. R., Levan, A. J., White, D., et al. 2015, GCN, 18353, 1
- Tavani, M., Pittori, C., Verrecchia, F., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **825**, L4
- Veitch, J., Raymond, V., Farr, B., et al. 2015, *PhRvD*, **91**, 042003
- Yuan, F., Wolf, C., Scalzo, R., & Schmidt, B. 2015, GCN, 18349, 1
- Zhang, B. 2014, *ApJL*, **780**, L21



SUPPLEMENT: “LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914” (2016, ApJL, 826, L13)

THE LIGO SCIENTIFIC COLLABORATION AND THE VIRGO COLLABORATION, THE AUSTRALIAN SQUARE KILOMETER ARRAY PATHFINDER (ASKAP) COLLABORATION, THE BOOTES COLLABORATION, THE DARK ENERGY SURVEY AND THE DARK ENERGY CAMERA GW-EM COLLABORATIONS, THE FERMI GBM COLLABORATION, THE FERMI LAT COLLABORATION, THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE INAF TEAM (GRAWITA), THE INTEGRAL COLLABORATION, THE INTERMEDIATE PALOMAR TRANSIENT FACTORY (IPTF) COLLABORATION, THE INTERPLANETARY NETWORK, THE J-GEM COLLABORATION, THE LA SILLA-QUEST SURVEY, THE LIVERPOOL TELESCOPE COLLABORATION, THE LOW FREQUENCY ARRAY (LOFAR) COLLABORATION, THE MASTER COLLABORATION, THE MAXI COLLABORATION, THE MURCHISON WIDE-FIELD ARRAY (MWA) COLLABORATION, THE PAN-STARRS COLLABORATION, THE PESSTO COLLABORATION, THE PI OF THE SKY COLLABORATION, THE SKYMAPPER COLLABORATION, THE SWIFT COLLABORATION, THE TAROT, ZADKO, ALGERIAN NATIONAL OBSERVATORY, AND C2PU COLLABORATION, THE TOROS COLLABORATION, AND THE VISTA COLLABORATION

(See the end matter for the full list of authors.)

Received 2016 April 27; accepted 2016 May 4; published 2016 July 20

ABSTRACT

This Supplement provides supporting material for Abbott et al. (2016a). We briefly summarize past electromagnetic (EM) follow-up efforts as well as the organization and policy of the current EM follow-up program. We compare the four probability sky maps produced for the gravitational-wave transient GW150914, and provide additional details of the EM follow-up observations that were performed in the different bands.

Key words: gravitational waves – methods: observational

1. PAST AND PRESENT FOLLOW-UP PROGRAM

The first gravitational-wave (GW)-triggered electromagnetic (EM) observations were carried out during the 2009–2010 science run of the initial LIGO and Virgo detectors (Abadie et al. 2012b), featuring real-time searches for unmodeled GW bursts and compact binary coalescences (CBCs; Abadie et al. 2012a, 2012b). GW candidates were identified—typically within 30 minutes—and their inferred sky locations were used to plan follow-up observations with over a dozen optical and radio telescopes on the ground plus the *Swift* satellite (Gehrels et al. 2004). Tiles were assigned to individual facilities to target known galaxies that were consistent with the GW localizations and that were within the 50 Mpc nominal BNS detectability horizon. Eight GW candidates were followed up. Though none of the GW candidates were significant enough to constitute detections and the EM candidates found were judged to be merely serendipitous sources (Evans et al. 2012; Aasi et al. 2014), the program demonstrated the feasibility of searching in real time for GW transients, triggering follow-up, and analyzing GW and EM observations jointly.

The present program of follow-up of GW candidates involves a large number of facilities and observer teams. Instead of centrally planning the assignment of tiles to facilities, we have set up a common EM bulletin board for facilities and observers to announce, coordinate, and visualize the footprints and wavelength coverage of their observations. The new program builds on the Gamma-ray Coordinates Network (GCN)³⁸¹ system that has long been established for broadband follow-up of gamma-ray bursts (GRBs). We distribute times and sky positions of event candidates via machine-readable GCN Notices, and participating facilities communicate the results of observations via short bulletins,

GCN Circulars. A key difference is that GRB Notices and Circulars are instantly public, whereas GW alert Notices and follow up Circulars currently are restricted to participating groups until the event candidate in question has been published. After four high-confidence GW events have been published, further high-confidence GW event candidates will be promptly released to the public.

2. COMPARISON OF GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE SKY MAPS

In the main Letter (Abbott et al. 2016a), we introduced four GW sky maps produced with different methods: cWB (Klimenko et al. 2016), LIB (Lynch et al. 2015), BAYESTAR (Singer & Price 2016), and LALInference (Veitch et al. 2015). cWB and LIB treat the GW signal as an unmodeled burst; BAYESTAR and LALInference assume that the source is a CBC. The LALInference sky map should be regarded as the authoritative one for this event. Table 1 shows that the areas of the 10%, 50%, and 90% confidence regions vary between the algorithms. For this event, cWB produces smaller confidence regions than the other algorithms. While cWB produces reasonably accurate maps for typical binary black hole (BBH) signals, it can systematically misestimate the sizes of large confidence regions (Essick et al. 2015). The other algorithms are self-consistent even in this regime. Only the LALInference results account for calibration uncertainty (systematic errors in the conversion of the photocurrent into the GW strain signal). Because systematic errors in the calibration phase affect the measured arrival times at the detectors, the main effect is to broaden the position uncertainty relative to the other sky maps.

Table 1 also shows the intersections of the 90% confidence regions as well as the fidelity $F(p, q) = \int \sqrt{pq} d\Omega \in [0, 1]$ between the two maps p and q . All these measures show that

³⁸¹ <http://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov>

Table 1
Description of Sky Maps

	Area ^a			θ_{HL} ^c	Comparison ^b			
	10%	50%	90%		cWB	LIB	BSTR	LALInf
cWB	10	100	310	43^{+2}_{-2}	...	190	180	230
LIB	30	210	750	45^{+6}_{-5}	0.55	...	220	300
BSTR	10	90	400	45^{+2}_{-2}	0.64	0.56	...	360
LALInf	20	150	630	46^{+3}_{-3}	0.60	0.57	0.90	...

Notes.

^a Area of credible level (deg^2). Note that the LALInference area is consistent with but not equal to the number reported in Abbott et al. (2016b) due to minor differences in sampling and interpolation.

^b Fidelity (below diagonal) and the intersection in deg^2 of the 90% confidence regions (above diagonal).

^c Mean and 10% and 90% percentiles of polar angle in degrees.

the sky maps are similar but not identical. Typically, this level of quantitative disagreement is distinguishable by eye and has been observed in large simulation campaigns (Singer et al. 2014; Berry et al. 2015; Essick et al. 2015) for approximately 10%–20% of the simulated signals. This even includes the bi-modality of LIB’s θ_{HL} distribution (see the inset of Figure 2 of the main paper), which is associated with a degeneracy between sky location and the handedness of the binary orbit projected on the plane of the sky. Similar features were noted for BNS systems as well (Singer et al. 2014).

3. GAMMA-RAY AND X-RAY OBSERVATIONS

The *Fermi* Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM; Meegan et al. 2009), *INTEGRAL* (Winkler et al. 2003), and the Inter Planetary Network (IPN; Hurley et al. 2010) searched for prompt high-energy emission temporally coincident with the GW event. Although no GRB in coincidence with GW150914 was reported, an offline analysis of the *Fermi* GBM (8 keV–40 MeV) data revealed a weak transient with a duration of ~ 1 s (Connaughton et al. 2016). A similar analysis was performed for the instruments on board *INTEGRAL* (Winkler et al. 2003), particularly the spectrometer’s anticoincidence shield (SPI-ACS; von Kienlin et al. 2003, 75 keV–1 MeV)³⁸². No significant signals were detected, setting upper limits on the hard X-ray fluence at the time of the event (Savchenko et al. 2016). Data from the six-spacecraft, all-sky, full-time monitor IPN, (*Odyssey*–HEND, *Wind*–Konus, *RHESSI*, *INTEGRAL*–SPI-ACS, and *Swift*–BAT³⁸³) revealed no bursts around the time of GW150914 apart from the weak GBM signal (K. Hurley et al. 2016, in preparation).

The *Fermi* Large Area Telescope (LAT), MAXI, and *Swift* searched for high-energy afterglow emission. The LIGO localization first entered the *Fermi* LAT field of view (FOV) at 4200s after the GW trigger and was subsequently observed in its entirety over the next 3 hr and every 3 hr thereafter at GeV energies (Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2016). The entire region was also imaged in the 2–20 keV X-ray band by the MAXI Gas Slit Camera (Matsuoka et al. 2009) aboard the International Space Station from 86 to 77 minutes before the GW trigger and was re-observed during each subsequent

~ 92 minute orbit (N. Kawai et al. 2016, in preparation). The *Swift* X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) followed up the GW event starting 2.25 days after the GW event, and covered five tiles containing eight nearby galaxies for a total $\sim 0.3 \text{ deg}^2$ area in the 0.3–10 keV energy range. A 37 point tiled observation of the Large Magellanic Cloud was executed a day later. The *Swift* UV/Optical Telescope (UVOT) provided simultaneous ultraviolet and optical observations, giving a broadband coverage of 80% of the *Swift* XRT FOV. Details of these observations are given in Evans et al. (2016).

4. OPTICAL AND NEAR-IR OBSERVATIONS

The optical and near-infrared observations fell into roughly two stages. During the first week, wide FOV (1–10 deg^2) telescopes tiled large areas to identify transient candidates, and then larger but narrower FOV telescopes obtained classification spectroscopy and further photometry. The wide FOV instruments included DECam on the CTIO Blanco telescope (Flaugher et al. 2015; Dark Energy Survey Collaboration et al. 2016), the Kiso Wide Field Camera (KWFC, J-GEM; Sako et al. 2012), La Silla QUEST (Baltay et al. 2007), the Global MASTER Robotic Net (Lipunov et al. 2010), the Palomar 48 inch Oschin telescope (P48) as part of the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF; Law et al. 2009), Pan-STARRS1 (Kaiser et al. 2010), SkyMapper (Keller et al. 2007), TAROT-La Silla (Boër et al. 1999, node of the TAROT-Zadko-Algerian National Observatory–C2PU Collaboration), and the VLT Survey Telescope (VST@ESO; Capaccioli & Schipani 2011, GRAvitational Wave Inaf TeAm, E. Brocato et al. 2016, in preparation)³⁸⁴ in the optical band, and the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope (VISTA@ESO; Emerson et al. 2006)³⁸⁵ in the near-infrared. They represent different classes of instruments ranging in diameter from 0.25 to 4 m and reaching apparent magnitudes from 18 to 22.5. About one-third of these facilities followed a galaxy-targeted observational strategy, while the others tiled portions of the GW sky maps covering 70–590 deg^2 . A narrow (arcminute) FOV facility, the 1.5 m EABA telescope in Bosque Alegre operated by the TOROS Collaboration (M. Diaz et al. 2016, in preparation), also participated in the optical coverage of the GW sky maps. *Swift* UVOT observed simultaneously with XRT, giving a broadband coverage of 80% of the *Swift* XRT FOV.

A few tens of transient candidates identified by the wide-field telescopes were followed up on the 10 m Keck II telescope (using the DEIMOS instrument; Faber et al. 2003), the 2 m Liverpool Telescope (LT; Steele et al. 2004), the Palomar 200 inch Hale telescope (P200; Bracher 1998), the 3.6 m ESO New Technology Telescope (within the Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey of Transient Objects, PESSTO; Smartt et al. 2015), and the University of Hawaii 2.2 m telescope (SuperNovae Integral Field Spectrograph, SNIFS). The follow-up observations of the candidate counterparts are summarized in Table 3 of the main paper.

An archival search for bright optical transients was conducted in the CASANDRA-3 all-sky camera database of BOOTES-3 (Castro-Tirado et al. 2012) and the all-sky survey of the Pi of the Sky telescope (Mankiewicz et al. 2014), both covering the entire southern sky map. The BOOTES-3 images

³⁸² *INTEGRAL*’s coded-mask imager (IBIS, Ubertini et al. 2003, 20–200 keV) was pointed far outside the GW localization region.

³⁸³ The *Swift* Burst Alert Telescope did not intersect the GW localization at the time of the trigger.

³⁸⁴ ESO proposal ID:095.D-0195,095.D-0079.

³⁸⁵ ESO proposal ID:095.D-0771.

are the only observations simultaneous to GW150914 available to search for prompt/early optical emission. They reached a limiting magnitude of 5 due to poor weather conditions (GCN 19022). The Pi of the Sky telescope images were taken 12 days after GW150914 and searched for transients brighter than $R < 11.5$ mag (GCN 19034).

5. RADIO OBSERVATIONS

The radio telescopes involved in the EM follow-up program have the capability to observe a wide range of frequencies with different levels of sensitivity, and a range of FOVs covering both the northern and southern skies (Tables 2 and 3 of the main paper). The Low Frequency Array (LOFAR; van Haarlem et al. 2013) and the Murchison Wide-field Array (MWA; Tingay et al. 2013) are phased array dipole antennas sensitive to meter wavelengths with large FOVs (≈ 50 deg² with uniform sensitivity for the LOFAR observations carried out as part of this follow-up program; and up to 1200 deg² for MWA). The Australian Square Kilometer Array Pathfinder (ASKAP; Schinckel et al. 2012) is an interferometric array composed of 36 12 m diameter dish antennas. The Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA; Perley et al. 2009) is a 27 antenna array, with dishes of 25 m diameter. Both ASKAP and VLA are sensitive from centimeter to decimeter wavelengths.

MWA started observing 3 days after the GW trigger with a 30 MHz bandwidth around a central frequency of 118 MHz and reached an rms noise level of about 40 mJy beam⁻¹ in a synthesized beam of about 3'. The ASKAP observations used the five-element Boolardy Engineering Test Array (BETA; Hotan et al. 2014), which has an FOV of ≈ 25 deg² and FWHM synthesized beam of 1'–3'. These observations were performed with a 300 MHz bandwidth around a central frequency of 863.5 MHz, from ≈ 7 to ≈ 14 days after the GW trigger, reaching rms sensitivities of 1–3 mJy beam⁻¹. LOFAR conducted three observations from ≈ 7 days to ≈ 3 months following the GW trigger, reaching a rms sensitivity of ≈ 2.5 mJy beam⁻¹ at 145 MHz, with a bandwidth of 11.9 MHz and a spatial resolution of $\approx 50''$. ASKAP, LOFAR, and MWA all performed tiled observations aimed at covering a large area of the GW region.

The VLA performed follow-up observations of GW150914 from ≈ 1 to ≈ 4 months after the GW trigger,³⁸⁶ and targeted selected candidate optical counterparts detected by IPTF. VLA observations were carried out in the most compact array configuration (D configuration) at a central frequency of ≈ 6 GHz (primary beam FWHP of $\approx 9'$, and synthesized beam FWHP of $\approx 12''$). The rms sensitivity of these VLA observations was ≈ 8 –10 μ Jy beam⁻¹.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) for the construction and operation of the LIGO Laboratory and Advanced LIGO as well as the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) of the United Kingdom, the Max-Planck Society (MPS), and the State of Niedersachsen/Germany for support of the construction of Advanced LIGO and construction and operation of the GEO 600 detector. Additional support for Advanced LIGO was provided by the Australian Research Council. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN),

the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, for the construction and operation of the Virgo detector, and the creation and support of the EGO consortium. The authors also gratefully acknowledge research support from these agencies as well as by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research of India, Department of Science and Technology, India, Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), India, Ministry of Human Resource Development, India, the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, the Conselleria d'Economia i Competitivitat and Conselleria d'Educació Cultura i Universitats of the Govern de les Illes Balears, the National Science Centre of Poland, the European Commission, the Royal Society, the Scottish Funding Council, the Scottish Universities Physics Alliance, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), the Lyon Institute of Origins (LIO), the National Research Foundation of Korea, Industry Canada and the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, the National Science and Engineering Research Council Canada, Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology, and Innovation, Russian Foundation for Basic Research, the Leverhulme Trust, the Research Corporation, Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan, and the Kavli Foundation. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the NSF, STFC, MPS, INFN, CNRS, and the State of Niedersachsen/Germany for provision of computational resources.

The Australian SKA Pathfinder is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is managed by CSIRO. The operation of ASKAP is funded by the Australian Government with support from the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy. Establishment of the Murchison Radio-astronomy Observatory was funded by the Australian Government and the Government of Western Australia. ASKAP uses advanced supercomputing resources at the Pawsey Supercomputing Centre. We acknowledge the Wajarri Yamatji people as the traditional owners of the Observatory site.

A.J.C.T. acknowledges support from the Junta de Andalucía (Project P07-TIC-03094) and Univ. of Auckland and NIWA for installing of the Spanish BOOTES-3 station in New Zealand, and support from the Spanish Ministry Projects AYA2012-39727-C03-01 and 2015-71718R.

Funding for the DES Projects has been provided by the United States Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the Ministry of Science and Education of Spain, the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom, the Higher Education Funding Council for England, the National Center for Supercomputing Applications at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Kavli Institute of Cosmological Physics at the University of Chicago, the Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics at the Ohio State University, the Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy at Texas A&M University, Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos, Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico and the Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, and the Collaborating Institutions in the Dark Energy Survey.

³⁸⁶ VLA/15A-339, PI: A. Corsi.

The Collaborating Institutions are Argonne National Laboratory, the University of California at Santa Cruz, the University of Cambridge, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas-Madrid, the University of Chicago, University College London, the DES-Brazil Consortium, the University of Edinburgh, the Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, the Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (IEEC/CSIC), the Institut de Física d'Altes Energies, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, the Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München and the associated Excellence Cluster universe, the University of Michigan, the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, the University of Nottingham, The Ohio State University, the University of Pennsylvania, the University of Portsmouth, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, the University of Sussex, and Texas A&M University.

The DES data management system is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number AST-1138766. The DES participants from Spanish institutions are partially supported by MINECO under grants AYA2012-39559, ESP2013-48274, FPA2013-47986, and Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa SEV-2012-0234. Research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) including ERC grant agreements 240672, 291329, and 306478.

The *Fermi* LAT Collaboration acknowledges support for LAT development, operation, and data analysis from NASA and DOE (United States), CEA/Irfu and IN2P3/CNRS (France), ASI and INFN (Italy), MEXT, KEK, and JAXA (Japan), and the K.A. Wallenberg Foundation, the Swedish Research Council and the National Space Board (Sweden). Science analysis support in the operations phase from INAF (Italy) and CNES (France) is also gratefully acknowledged. The *Fermi* GBM Collaboration acknowledges the support of NASA in the United States and DRL in Germany.

GRAWITA acknowledges the support of INAF for the project "Gravitational Wave Astronomy with the first detections of adLIGO and adVIRGO experiments."

This work exploited data by *INTEGRAL*, an ESA project with instruments and science data center funded by ESA member states (especially the PI countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, Switzerland, Spain), and with the participation of Russia and the USA. The SPI ACS detector system has been provided by MPE Garching/Germany. We acknowledge the German *INTEGRAL* support through DLR grant 50 OG 1101.

IPN work is supported in the US under NASA Grant NNX15AU74G.

This work is partly based on observations obtained with the Samuel Oschin 48 in Telescope and the 60 in Telescope at the Palomar Observatory as part of the Intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) project, a scientific collaboration among the California Institute of Technology, Los Alamos National Laboratory, the University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, the Oskar Klein Center, the Weizmann Institute of Science, the TANGO Program of the University System of Taiwan, and the Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the universe. M.M.K. and Y.C. acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation PIRE program grant 1545949. A.A.M. acknowledges support from the Hubble Fellowship HST-HF-

51325.01. Part of the research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA.

J-GEM is financially supported by KAKENHI Grant No. 24103003, 15H00774, and 15H00788 of MEXT Japan, 15H02069 and 15H02075 of JSPS, and the "Optical and Near-Infrared Astronomy Inter-University Cooperation Program" supported by MEXT.

The Liverpool Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council.

LOFAR, the Low Frequency Array designed and constructed by ASTRON, has facilities in several countries, which are owned by various parties (each with their own funding sources), and that are collectively operated by the International LOFAR Telescope (ILT) foundation under a joint scientific policy. R. Fender acknowledges support from ERC Advanced Investigator Grant 267697.

MASTER Global Robotic Net is supported in parts by Lomonosov Moscow State University Development program, Moscow Union OPTICA, Russian Science Foundation 16-12-00085, RFBR15-02-07875, National Research Foundation of South Africa.

We thank JAXA and RIKEN for providing MAXI data. The MAXI team is partially supported by KAKENHI grant Nos. 24103002, 24540239, 24740186, and 23000004 of MEXT, Japan.

This work uses the Murchison Radio-astronomy Observatory, operated by CSIRO. We acknowledge the Wajarri Yamatji people as the traditional owners of the observatory site. Support for the operation of the MWA is provided by the Australian Government Department of Industry and Science and Department of Education (National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy: NCRIS), under a contract to Curtin University administered by Astronomy Australia Limited. The MWA acknowledges the iVEC Petabyte Data Store and the Initiative in Innovative Computing and the CUDA Center for Excellence sponsored by NVIDIA at Harvard University.

Pan-STARRS is supported by the University of Hawaii and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Planetary Defense Office under grant No. NNX14AM74G. The Pan-STARRS-LIGO effort is in collaboration with the LIGO Consortium and supported by Queen's University Belfast. The Pan-STARRS1 Sky Surveys have been made possible through contributions by the Institute for Astronomy, the University of Hawaii, the Pan-STARRS Project Office, the Max Planck Society and its participating institutes, the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg, and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching, The Johns Hopkins University, Durham University, the University of Edinburgh, the Queen's University Belfast, the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network Incorporated, the National Central University of Taiwan, the Space Telescope Science Institute, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under grant No. NNX08AR22G issued through the Planetary Science Division of the NASA Science Mission Directorate, the National Science Foundation grant No. AST-1238877, the University of Maryland, Eotvos Lorand University (ELTE), and the Los Alamos National Laboratory. This work is based

(in part) on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere, Chile as part of PESSTO, (the Public ESO Spectroscopic Survey for Transient Objects Survey) ESO programs 188.D-3003, 191.D-0935.

Some of the data presented herein were obtained at the Palomar Observatory, California Institute of Technology.

S.J.S. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC Grant agreement No. [291222] and STFC grants ST/I001123/1 and ST/L000709/1. M.F. is supported by the European Union FP7 programme through ERC grant No. 320360. K.M. acknowledges support from the STFC through an Ernest Rutherford Fellowship.

F.O.E. acknowledges support from FONDECYT through postdoctoral grant 3140326.

Parts of this research were conducted by the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO), through project No. CE110001020.

Funding for *Swift* is provided by NASA in the US, by the UK Space Agency in the UK, and by the Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI) in Italy. This work made use of data supplied by the UK *Swift* Science Data Centre at the University of Leicester. We acknowledge the use of public data from the *Swift* data archive.

The TOROS Collaboration acknowledges support from Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (MinCyT) and Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET) from Argentina and grants from the USA NSF PHYS 1156600 and NSF HRD 1242090.

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

VST and VISTA observations were performed at the European Southern Observatory, Paranal, Chile. We acknowledge ESO personnel for their assistance during the observing runs.

This is LIGO document LIGO-P1600137-v2.

Software: Astropy (Robitaille et al. 2013), HEALPix (Górski et al. 2005).

REFERENCES

- Aasi, J., Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, **211**, 7
 Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., et al. 2012a, *A&A*, **541**, A155
 Abadie, J., Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., et al. 2012b, *A&A*, **539**, A124
 Abbott, B., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016a, *ApJL*, **826**, L13, [https://
 dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500227/public/main](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500227/public/main)

- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016b, *PhRvL*, **116**, 241102, [https://
 dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500218/public/main](https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-P1500218/public/main)
 Dark Energy Survey Collaboration, Abbott, T., Abdalla, F. B., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **460**, 1270
 Baltay, C., Rabinowitz, D., Andrews, P., et al. 2007, *PASP*, **119**, 1278
 Berry, C. P. L., Mandel, I., Middleton, H., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, **804**, 114
 Boër, M., Bringer, M., Klotz, A., et al. 1999, *A&AS*, **138**, 579
 Bracher, K. 1998, *Mercu*, **27**, 4
 Burrows, D. N., Hill, J. E., Nousek, J. A., et al. 2005, *SSRv*, **120**, 165
 Capaccioli, M., & Schipani, P. 2011, *Msngr*, **146**, 2
 Castro-Tirado, A. J., Jelínek, M., Gorosabel, J., et al. 2012, *ASInC*, **7**, 313
 Castro-Tirado, A. J., Tello, J. C., Cunniffe, R., et al. 2016, *GCN*, 19022, 1
 Connaughton, V., Burns, E., Goldstein, A., et al. 2016, *arXiv:1602.03920*
 Cwiek, A., Zamecki, A. F., Mankiewicz, L., et al. 2016, *GCN*, 19034, 1
 Emerson, J., McPherson, A., & Sutherland, W. 2006, *Msngr*, **126**, 41
 Essick, R., Vitale, S., Katsavounidis, E., Vedovato, G., & Klimenko, S. 2015, *ApJ*, **800**, 81
 Evans, P. A., Fridriksson, J. K., Gehrels, N., et al. 2012, *ApJS*, **203**, 28
 Evans, P. A., Kennea, J. A., Barthelmy, S. D., et al. 2016, *MNRAS*, **460**, L40
 Faber, S. M., Phillips, A. C., Kibrick, R. I., et al. 2003, *Proc. SPIE*, **4841**, 1657
 Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2016, *ApJL*, **823**, L2
 Flaugher, B., Diehl, H. T., Honscheid, K., et al. 2015, *AJ*, **150**, 150
 Gehrels, N., Chincarini, G., Giommi, P., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **611**, 1005
 Górski, K. M., Hivon, E., Banday, A. J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **622**, 759
 Hotan, A. W., Bunton, J. D., Harvey-Smith, L., et al. 2014, *PASA*, **31**, e041
 Hurley, K., Golenetskii, S., Aptekar, R., et al. 2010, in *AIP Conf. Ser.* 1279, *Deciphering the Ancient Universe with Gamma-Ray Bursts*, ed. N. Kawai, & S. Nagataki (Melville, NY: AIP), **330**
 Kaiser, N., Burgett, W., Chambers, K., et al. 2010, *Proc. SPIE*, **7733**, 77330E
 Keller, S. C., Schmidt, B. P., Bessell, M. S., et al. 2007, *PASA*, **24**, 1
 Klimenko, S., Vedovato, G., Drago, M., et al. 2016, *PhRvD*, **93**, 042004
 Law, N. M., Kulkarni, S. R., Dekany, R. G., et al. 2009, *PASP*, **121**, 1395
 Lipunov, V., Kornilov, V., Gorbvskoy, E., et al. 2010, *AdAst*, **2010**, 30
 Lynch, R., Vitale, S., Essick, R., Katsavounidis, E., & Robinet, F. 2015, *arXiv:1511.05955*
 Mankiewicz, L., Batsch, T., Castro-Tirado, A., et al. 2014, *RMxAC*, **45**, 7
 Matsuoka, M., Kawasaki, K., Ueno, S., et al. 2009, *PASJ*, **61**, 999
 Meegan, C., Lichti, G., Bhat, P. N., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **702**, 791
 Perley, R., Napier, P., Jackson, J., et al. 2009, *IEEEP*, **97**, 1448
 Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., Greenfield, P., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **558**, A33
 Sako, S., Aoki, T., Doi, M., et al. 2012, *Proc. SPIE*, **8446**, 84466L
 Savchenko, V., Ferrigno, C., Mereghetti, S., et al. 2016, *ApJL*, **820**, L36
 Schinckel, A. E., Bunton, J. D., Cornwell, T. J., Feain, I., & Hay, S. G. 2012, *Proc. SPIE*, **8444**, 84442A
 Singer, L. P., & Price, L. R. 2016, *PhRvD*, **93**, 024013
 Singer, L. P., Price, L. R., Farr, B., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **795**, 105
 Smartt, S. J., Valenti, S., Fraser, M., et al. 2015, *A&A*, **579**, A40
 Steele, I. A., Smith, R. J., Rees, P. C., et al. 2004, *Proc. SPIE*, **5489**, 679
 Tingay, S. J., Goeke, R., Bowman, J. D., et al. 2013, *PASA*, **30**, e007
 Ubertini, P., Lebrun, F., Di Cocco, G., et al. 2003, *A&A*, **411**, L131
 van Haarlem, M. P., Wise, M. W., Gunst, A. W., et al. 2013, *A&A*, **556**, A2
 Veitch, J., Raymond, V., Farr, B., et al. 2015, *PhRvD*, **91**, 042003
 von Kienlin, A., Beckmann, V., Rau, A., et al. 2003, *A&A*, **411**, L299
 Winkler, C., Courvoisier, T. J.-L., Di Cocco, G., et al. 2003, *A&A*, **411**, L1

AUTHORS

B. P. ABBOTT¹, R. ABBOTT¹, T. D. ABBOTT², M. R. ABERNATHY¹, F. ACERNESE^{3,4}, K. ACKLEY⁵, C. ADAMS⁶, T. ADAMS⁷, P. ADDESSO³, R. X. ADHIKARI¹, V. B. ADYA⁸, C. AFFELDT⁸, M. AGATHOS⁹, K. AGATSUMA⁹, N. AGGARWAL¹⁰, O. D. AGUIAR¹¹, L. AIELLO^{12,13}, A. AIN¹⁴, P. AJITH¹⁵, B. ALLEN^{8,16,17}, A. ALLOCCA^{18,19}, P. A. ALTIN²⁰, S. B. ANDERSON¹, W. G. ANDERSON¹⁶, K. ARAI¹, M. C. ARAYA¹, C. C. ARCENEUX²¹, J. S. AREEDA²², N. ARNAUD²³, K. G. ARUN²⁴, S. ASCENZI^{13,25}, G. ASHTON²⁶, M. AST²⁷, S. M. ASTON⁶, P. ASTONE²⁸, P. AUFMUTH⁸, C. AULBERT⁸, S. BABAK²⁹, P. BACON³⁰, M. K. M. BADER⁹, P. T. BAKER³¹, F. BALDACCINI^{32,33}, G. BALLARDIN³⁴, S. W. BALLMER³⁵, J. C. BARAYOGA¹, S. E. BARCLAY³⁶, B. C. BARISH¹, D. BARKER³⁷, F. BARONE^{3,4}, B. BARR³⁶, L. BARSOTTI¹⁰, M. BARSUGLIA³⁰, D. BARTA³⁸, S. BARTHELMY³⁹, J. BARTLETT³⁷, I. BARTOS⁴⁰, R. BASSIRI⁴¹, A. BASTI^{18,19}, J. C. BATCH³⁷, C. BAUNE⁸, V. BAVIGADDA³⁴, M. BAZZAN^{42,43}, B. BEHNKE²⁹, M. BEJGER⁴⁴, A. S. BELL³⁶, C. J. BELL³⁶, B. K. BERGER¹, J. BERGMAN³⁷, G. BERGMANN⁸, C. P. L. BERRY⁴⁵, D. BERSANETTI^{46,47}, A. BERTOLINI⁹, J. BETZWIESER⁶, S. BHAGWAT³⁵, R. BHANDARE⁴⁸, I. A. BILENKO⁴⁹, G. BILLINGSLEY¹, J. BIRCH⁶, R. BIRNEY⁵⁰, S. BISCANS¹⁰, A. BISHT^{8,17}, M. BITOSSI³⁴, C. BIWER³⁵, M. A. BIZOUARD²³, J. K. BLACKBURN¹, C. D. BLAIR⁵¹, D. G. BLAIR⁵¹, R. M. BLAIR³⁷,

S. BLOEMEN⁵², O. BOCK⁸, T. P. BODIYA¹⁰, M. BOER⁵³, G. BOGAERT⁵³, C. BOGAN⁸, A. BOHE²⁹, P. BOJTOS⁵⁴, C. BOND⁴⁵,
F. BONDU⁵⁵, R. BONNAND⁷, B. A. BOOM⁹, R. BORK¹, V. BOSCHI^{18,19}, S. BOSE^{14,56}, Y. BOUFFANAIS³⁰, A. BOZZI³⁴,
C. BRADASCHIA¹⁹, P. R. BRADY¹⁶, V. B. BRAGINSKY⁴⁹, M. BRANCHESI^{57,58}, J. E. BRAU⁵⁹, T. BRIANT⁶⁰, A. BRILLET⁵³,
M. BRINKMANN⁸, V. BRISSON²³, P. BROCKILL¹⁶, A. F. BROOKS¹, D. A. BROWN³⁵, D. D. BROWN⁴⁵, N. M. BROWN¹⁰,
C. C. BUCHANAN², A. BUIKEMA¹⁰, T. BULIK⁶¹, H. J. BULTEN^{9,62}, A. BUONANNO^{29,63}, D. BUSKULIC⁷, C. BUY³⁰, R. L. BYER⁴¹,
L. CADONATI⁶⁴, G. CAGNOLI^{65,66}, C. CAHILLANE¹, J. C. BUSTILLO^{64,67}, T. CALLISTER¹, E. CALLONI^{4,68}, J. B. CAMP³⁹,
K. C. CANNON⁶⁹, J. CAO⁷⁰, C. D. CAPANO⁸, E. CAPOCASA³⁰, F. CARBOGNANI³⁴, S. CARIDE⁷¹, J. C. DIAZ²³, C. CASENTINI^{13,25},
S. CAUDILL¹⁶, M. CAVAGLIÀ²¹, F. CAVALIER²³, R. CAVALIERI³⁴, G. CELLA¹⁹, C. B. CEPEDA¹, L. C. BAIARDI^{57,58}, G. CERRETANI^{18,19},
E. CESARINI^{13,25}, R. CHAKRABORTY¹, T. CHALERMSONGSAK¹, S. J. CHAMBERLIN⁷², M. CHAN³⁶, S. CHAO⁷³, P. CHARLTON⁷⁴,
E. CHASSANDE-MOTTIN³⁰, H. Y. CHEN⁷⁵, Y. CHEN⁷⁶, C. CHENG⁷³, A. CHINCARINI⁴⁷, A. CHIUMMO³⁴, H. S. CHO⁷⁷, M. CHO⁶³,
J. H. CHOW²⁰, N. CHRISTENSEN⁷⁸, Q. CHU⁵¹, S. CHUA⁶⁰, S. CHUNG⁵¹, G. CIANI⁵, F. CLARA³⁷, J. A. CLARK⁶⁴, F. CLEVA⁵³,
E. COCCIA^{12,13,25}, P.-F. COHADON⁶⁰, A. COLLA^{28,79}, C. G. COLLETTE⁸⁰, L. COMINSKY⁸¹, M. CONSTANCIO, JR.¹¹, A. CONTE^{28,79},
L. CONTI⁴³, D. COOK³⁷, T. R. CORBITT², N. CORNISH³¹, A. CORSI⁷¹, S. CORTESE³⁴, C. A. COSTA¹¹, M. W. COUGHLIN⁷⁸,
S. B. COUGHLIN⁸², J.-P. COULON⁵³, S. T. COUNTRYMAN⁴⁰, P. COUVARES¹, E. E. COWAN⁶⁴, D. M. COWARD⁵¹, M. J. COWART⁶,
D. C. COYNE¹, R. COYNE⁷¹, K. CRAIG³⁶, J. D. E. CREIGHTON¹⁶, J. CRIPE², S. G. CROWDER⁸³, A. CUMMING³⁶, L. CUNNINGHAM³⁶,
E. CUOCO³⁴, T. DAL CANTON⁸, S. L. DANILISHIN³⁶, S. D'ANTONIO¹³, K. DANZMANN^{8,17}, N. S. DARMAN⁸⁴, V. DATTOLO³⁴,
I. DAVE⁴⁸, H. P. DAVELOZA⁸⁵, M. DAVIER²³, G. S. DAVIES³⁶, E. J. DAW⁸⁶, R. DAY³⁴, D. DeBRA⁴¹, G. DEBRECZENI³⁸,
J. DEGALLAIX⁶⁶, M. DE LAURENTIS^{4,68}, S. DELÉGLISE⁶⁰, W. DEL POZZO⁴⁵, T. DENKER^{8,17}, T. DENT⁸, H. DERELI⁵³, V. DERGACHEV¹,
R. T. DeROSA⁶, R. DE ROSA^{4,68}, R. DeSALVO⁸⁷, S. DHURANDHAR¹⁴, M. C. DÍAZ⁸⁵, L. DI FIORE⁴, M. DI GIOVANNI^{28,79},
A. DI LIETO^{18,19}, S. DI PACE^{28,79}, I. DI PALMA^{8,29}, A. DI VIRGILIO¹⁹, G. DOJCINOSKI⁸⁸, V. DOLIQUE⁶⁶, F. DONOVAN¹⁰,
K. L. DOOLEY²¹, S. DORAVARI^{6,8}, R. DOUGLAS³⁶, T. P. DOWNES¹⁶, M. DRAGO^{8,89,90}, R. W. P. DREVER¹, J. C. DRIGGERS³⁷, Z. DU⁷⁰,
M. DUCROT⁷, S. E. DWYER³⁷, T. B. EDO⁸⁶, M. C. EDWARDS⁷⁸, A. EFFLER⁶, H.-B. EGGENSTEIN⁸, P. EHRENS¹, J. EICHHOLZ⁵,
S. S. EIKENBERRY⁵, W. ENGELS⁷⁶, R. C. ESSICK¹⁰, T. ETZEL¹, M. EVANS¹⁰, T. M. EVANS⁶, R. EVERETT⁷², M. FACTOUROVICH⁴⁰,
V. FAFONE^{12,13,25}, H. FAIR³⁵, S. FAIRHURST⁹¹, X. FAN⁷⁰, Q. FANG⁵¹, S. FARINON⁴⁷, B. FARR⁷⁵, W. M. FARR⁴⁵, M. FAVATA⁸⁸,
M. FAYS⁹¹, H. FEHRMANN⁸, M. M. FEJER⁴¹, I. FERRANTE^{18,19}, E. C. FERREIRA¹¹, F. FERRINI³⁴, F. FIDECARO^{18,19}, I. FIORI³⁴,
D. FIORUCCI³⁰, R. P. FISHER³⁵, R. FLAMINIO^{66,92}, M. FLETCHER³⁶, J.-D. FOURNIER⁵³, S. FRANCO²³, S. FRASCA^{28,79}, F. FRASCONI¹⁹,
Z. FREI⁵⁴, A. FREISE⁴⁵, R. FREY⁵⁹, V. FREY²³, T. T. FRICKE⁸, P. FRITSCHER¹⁰, V. V. FROLOV⁶, P. FULDA⁵, M. FYFFE⁶,
H. A. G. GABBARD²¹, J. R. GAIR⁹³, L. GAMMAITONI^{32,33}, S. G. GAONKAR¹⁴, F. GARUFI^{4,68}, A. GATTO³⁰, G. GAUR^{94,95},
N. GEHRELS³⁹, G. GEMME⁴⁷, B. GENDRE⁵³, E. GENIN³⁴, A. GENNAI¹⁹, J. GEORGE⁴⁸, L. GERGELY⁹⁶, V. GERMAIN⁷, A. GHOSH¹⁵,
S. GHOSH^{9,52}, J. A. GIAIME^{2,6}, K. D. GIARDINA⁶, A. GIAZZOTTO¹⁹, K. GILL⁹⁷, A. GLAEFKE³⁶, E. GOETZ⁹⁸, R. GOETZ⁵, L. GONDAN⁵⁴,
G. GONZÁLEZ², J. M. G. CASTRO^{18,19}, A. GOPAKUMAR⁹⁹, N. A. GORDON³⁶, M. L. GORODETSKY⁴⁹, S. E. GOSSAN¹, M. GOSSELIN³⁴,
R. GOUATY⁷, C. GRAEF³⁶, P. B. GRAFF⁶³, M. GRANATA⁶⁶, A. GRANT³⁶, S. GRAS¹⁰, C. GRAY³⁷, G. GRECO^{57,58}, A. C. GREEN⁴⁵,
P. GROOT⁵², H. GROTE⁸, S. GRUNEWALD²⁹, G. M. GUIDI^{57,58}, X. GUO⁷⁰, A. GUPTA¹⁴, M. K. GUPTA⁹⁵, K. E. GUSHWA¹,
E. K. GUSTAFSON¹, R. GUSTAFSON⁹⁸, J. J. HACKER²², B. R. HALL⁵⁶, E. D. HALL¹, G. HAMMOND³⁶, M. HANEY⁹⁹, M. M. HANKE⁸,
J. HANKS³⁷, C. HANNA⁷², M. D. HANNAM⁹¹, J. HANSON⁶, T. HARDWICK², K. HARIS¹⁰⁶, J. HARMS^{57,58}, G. M. HARRY¹⁰⁰,
I. W. HARRY²⁹, M. J. HART³⁶, M. T. HARTMAN⁵, C.-J. HASTER⁴⁵, K. HAUGHIAN³⁶, A. HEIDMANN⁶⁰, M. C. HEINTZE^{5,6},
H. HEITMANN⁵³, P. HELLO²³, G. HEMMING³⁴, M. HENDRY³⁶, I. S. HENG³⁶, J. HENNIG³⁶, A. W. HEPTONSTALL¹, M. HEURS^{8,17},
S. HILD³⁶, D. HOAK¹⁰¹, K. A. HODGE¹, D. HOFMAN⁶⁶, S. E. HOLLITT¹⁰², K. HOLT⁶, D. E. HOLZ⁷⁵, P. HOPKINS⁹¹, D. J. HOSKEN¹⁰²,
J. HOUGH³⁶, E. A. HOUSTON³⁶, E. J. HOWELL⁵¹, Y. M. HU³⁶, S. HUANG⁷³, E. A. HUERTA^{82,103}, D. HUET²³, B. HUGHEY⁹⁷,
S. HUSA⁶⁷, S. H. HUTTNER³⁶, T. HUYNH-DINH⁶, A. IDRISY⁷², N. INDIK⁸, D. R. INGRAM³⁷, R. INTA⁷¹, H. N. ISA³⁶, J.-M. ISAC⁶⁰,
M. ISI¹, G. ISLAS²², T. ISOGAI¹⁰, B. R. IYER¹⁵, K. IZUMI³⁷, T. JACQMIN⁶⁰, H. JANG⁷⁷, K. JANI⁶⁴, P. JARANOWSKI¹⁰⁴, S. JAWAHAR¹⁰⁵,
F. JIMÉNEZ-FORTEZA⁶⁷, W. W. JOHNSON², D. I. JONES²⁶, R. JONES³⁶, R. J. G. JONKER⁹, L. JU⁵¹, C. V. KALAGHATGI^{24,91},
V. KALOGERA⁸², S. KANDHASAMY²¹, G. KANG⁷⁷, J. B. KANNER¹, S. KARKI⁵⁹, M. KASPRZACK^{2,23,34}, E. KATSAVOUNIDIS¹⁰,
W. KATZMAN⁶, S. KAUFER¹⁷, T. KAUR⁵¹, K. KAWABE³⁷, F. KAWAZOE^{8,17}, F. KÉFÉLIAN⁵³, M. S. KEHL⁶⁹, D. KEITEL^{8,67},
D. B. KELLEY³⁵, W. KELLs¹, R. KENNEDY⁸⁶, J. S. KEY⁸⁵, A. KHALAIDOVSKI⁸, F. Y. KHALILI⁴⁹, I. KHAN¹², S. KHAN⁹¹, Z. KHAN⁹⁵,
E. A. KHAZANOV¹⁰⁷, N. KIJBUNCHOO³⁷, C. KIM⁷⁷, J. KIM¹⁰⁸, K. KIM¹⁰⁹, N. KIM⁷⁷, N. KIM⁴¹, Y.-M. KIM¹⁰⁸, E. J. KING¹⁰²,
P. J. KING³⁷, D. L. KINZEL⁶, J. S. KISSEL³⁷, L. KLEYBOLTE²⁷, S. KLIMENKO⁵, S. M. KOEHLLENBECK⁸, K. KOKEYAMA², S. KOLEY⁹,
V. KONDRASHOV¹, A. KONTOS¹⁰, M. KOROBKO²⁷, W. Z. KORTH¹, I. KOWALSKA⁶¹, D. B. KOZAK¹, V. KRINGEL⁸, A. KRÓLAK^{110,111},
C. KRUEGER¹⁷, G. KUEHN⁸, P. KUMAR⁶⁹, L. KUO⁷³, A. KUTYNIA¹¹⁰, B. D. LACKEY³⁵, M. LANDRY³⁷, J. LANGE¹¹², B. LANTZ⁴¹,
P. D. LASKY¹¹³, A. LAZZARINI¹, C. LAZZARO^{43,64}, P. LEACI^{28,29,79}, S. LEAVEY³⁶, E. O. LEBIGOT^{30,70}, C. H. LEE¹⁰⁸, H. K. LEE¹⁰⁹,
H. M. LEE¹¹⁴, K. LEE³⁶, A. LENON³⁵, M. LEONARDI^{89,90}, J. R. LEONG⁸, N. LEROY²³, N. LETENDRE⁷, Y. LEVIN¹¹³, B. M. LEVINE³⁷,
T. G. F. LI¹, A. LIBSON¹⁰, T. B. LITTENBERG¹¹⁵, N. A. LOCKERBIE¹⁰⁵, J. LOGUE³⁶, A. L. LOMBARDI¹⁰¹, J. E. LORD³⁵,
M. LORENZINI^{12,13}, V. LORIETTE¹¹⁶, M. LORMAND⁶, G. LOSURDO⁵⁸, J. D. LOUGH^{8,17}, H. LÜCK^{8,17}, A. P. LUNDGREN⁸, J. LUO⁷⁸,
R. LYNCH¹⁰, Y. MA⁵¹, T. MACDONALD⁴¹, B. MACHENSCHALK⁸, M. MACINNIS¹⁰, D. M. MACLEOD², F. MAGAÑA-SANDOVAL³⁵,
R. M. MAGEE⁵⁶, M. MAGESWARAN¹, E. MAJORANA²⁸, I. MAKSIMOVIC¹¹⁶, V. MALVEZZI^{13,25}, N. MAN⁵³, I. MANDEL⁴⁵, V. MANDIC⁸³,
V. MANGANO³⁶, G. L. MANSELL²⁰, M. MANSKE¹⁶, M. MANTOVANI³⁴, F. MARCHESONI^{33,117}, F. MARION⁷, S. MÁRKA⁴⁰, Z. MÁRKA⁴⁰,
A. S. MARKOSYAN⁴¹, E. MAROS¹, F. MARTELLI^{57,58}, L. MARTELLINI⁵³, I. W. MARTIN³⁶, R. M. MARTIN⁵, D. V. MARTYNOV¹,

J. N. MARX¹, K. MASON¹⁰, A. MASSEROT⁷, T. J. MASSINGER³⁵, M. MASSO-REID³⁶, F. MATICHARD¹⁰, L. MATONE⁴⁰,
N. MAVALVALA¹⁰, N. MAZUMDER⁵⁶, G. MAZZOLO⁸, R. MCCARTHY³⁷, D. E. MCCLELLAND²⁰, S. MCCORMICK⁶, S. C. MCGUIRE¹¹⁸,
G. MCINTYRE¹, J. MCIVER¹, D. J. MCMANUS²⁰, S. T. MCWILLIAMS¹⁰³, D. MEACHER⁷², G. D. MEADORS^{8,29}, J. MEIDAM⁹,
A. MELATOS⁸⁴, G. MENDELL³⁷, D. MENDOZA-GANDARA⁸, R. A. MERCER¹⁶, E. MERILH³⁷, M. MERZOUGUI⁵³, S. MESHKOV¹,
C. MESSENGER³⁶, C. MESSICK⁷², P. M. MEYERS⁸³, F. MEZZANI^{28,79}, H. MIAO⁴⁵, C. MICHEL⁶⁶, H. MIDDLETON⁴⁵,
E. E. MIKHAILOV¹¹⁹, L. MILANO^{4,68}, J. MILLER¹⁰, M. MILLHOUSE³¹, Y. MINENKOV¹³, J. MING^{8,29}, S. MIRSHEKARI¹²⁰, C. MISHRA¹⁵,
S. MITRA¹⁴, V. P. MITROFANOV⁴⁹, G. MITSSELMACHER⁵, R. MITTMAN¹⁰, A. MOGGI¹⁹, M. MOHAN³⁴, S. R. P. MOHAPATRA¹⁰,
M. MONTANI^{57,58}, B. C. MOORE⁸⁸, C. J. MOORE¹²¹, D. MORARU³⁷, G. MORENO³⁷, S. R. MORRIS⁸⁵, K. MOSSAVI⁸, B. MOURS⁷,
C. M. MOW-LOWRY⁴⁵, C. L. MUELLER⁵, G. MUELLER⁵, A. W. MUIR⁹¹, A. MUKHERJEE¹⁵, D. MUKHERJEE¹⁶, S. MUKHERJEE⁸⁵,
N. MUKUND¹⁴, A. MULLAVEY⁶, J. MUNCH¹⁰², D. J. MURPHY⁴⁰, P. G. MURRAY³⁶, A. MYTIDIS⁵, I. NARDECCHIA^{13,25},
L. NATICCHIONI^{28,79}, R. K. NAYAK¹²², V. NECULA⁵, K. NEDKOVA¹⁰¹, G. NELEMANS^{9,52}, M. NERI^{46,47}, A. NEUNZERT⁹⁸,
G. NEWTON³⁶, T. T. NGUYEN²⁰, A. B. NIELSEN⁸, S. NISSANKE^{9,52}, A. NITZ⁸, F. NOCERA³⁴, D. NOLTING⁶, M. E. N. NORMANDIN⁸⁵,
L. K. NUTTALL³⁵, J. OBERLING³⁷, E. OCHSNER¹⁶, J. O'DELL¹²³, E. OELKER¹⁰, G. H. OGIN¹²⁴, J. J. OH¹²⁵, S. H. OH¹²⁵, F. OHME⁹¹,
M. OLIVER⁶⁷, P. OPPERMANN⁸, R. J. ORAM⁶, B. O'REILLY⁶, R. O'SHAUGHNESSY¹¹², D. J. OTTAWAY¹⁰², R. S. OTTENS⁵,
H. OVERMIER⁶, B. J. OWEN⁷¹, A. PAI¹⁰⁶, S. A. PAI⁴⁸, J. R. PALAMOS⁵⁹, O. PALASHOV¹⁰⁷, N. PALLIYAGURU⁷¹, C. PALOMBA²⁸,
A. PAL-SINGH²⁷, H. PAN⁷³, C. PANKOW⁸², F. PANNARALE⁹¹, B. C. PANT⁴⁸, F. PAOLETTI^{19,34}, A. PAOLI³⁴, M. A. PAPA^{8,16,29},
H. R. PARIS⁴¹, W. PARKER⁶, D. PASCUCCI³⁶, A. PASQUALETTI³⁴, R. PASSAQUIETI^{18,19}, D. PASSUELLO¹⁹, B. PATRICELLI^{18,19},
Z. PATRICK⁴¹, B. L. PEARLSTONE³⁶, M. PEDRAZA¹, R. PEDURAND⁶⁶, L. PEKOWSKY³⁵, A. PELE⁶, S. PENN¹²⁶, A. PERRECA¹,
M. PHELPS³⁶, O. PICCINI^{28,79}, M. PICHOT⁵³, F. PIERGIOVANNI^{57,58}, V. PIERRO⁸⁷, G. PILLANT³⁴, L. PINARD⁶⁶, I. M. PINTO⁸⁷,
M. PITKIN³⁶, R. POGGIANI^{18,19}, P. POPOLIZIO³⁴, A. POST⁸, J. POWELL³⁶, J. PRASAD¹⁴, V. PREDOI⁹¹, S. S. PREMACHANDRA¹¹³,
T. PRESTEGARD⁸³, L. R. PRICE¹, M. PRIJATELJ³⁴, M. PRINCIPE⁸⁷, S. PRIVITERA²⁹, G. A. PRODI^{89,90}, L. PROKHOROV⁴⁹, O. PUNCKEN⁸,
M. PUNTURO³³, P. PUPPO²⁸, M. PÜRRER²⁹, H. QI¹⁶, J. QIN⁵¹, V. QUETSCHKE⁸⁵, E. A. QUINTERO¹, R. QUITZOW-JAMES⁵⁹,
F. J. RAAB³⁷, D. S. RABELING²⁰, H. RADKINS³⁷, P. RAFFAI⁵⁴, S. RAJA⁴⁸, M. RAKHMANOV⁸⁵, P. RAPAGNANI^{28,79}, V. RAYMOND²⁹,
M. RAZZANO^{18,19}, V. RE²⁵, J. READ²², C. M. REED³⁷, T. REGIMBAU⁵³, L. REI⁴⁷, S. REID⁵⁰, D. H. REITZE^{1,5}, H. REW¹¹⁹,
S. D. REYES³⁵, F. RICCI^{28,79}, K. RILES⁹⁸, N. A. ROBERTSON^{1,36}, R. ROBIE³⁶, F. ROBINET²³, A. ROCCHI¹³, L. ROLLAND⁷,
J. G. ROLLINS¹, V. J. ROMA⁵⁹, R. ROMANO^{3,4}, G. ROMANOV¹¹⁹, J. H. ROMIE⁶, D. ROSIŃSKA^{44,127}, S. ROWAN³⁶, A. RÜDIGER⁸,
P. RUGGI³⁴, K. RYAN³⁷, S. SACHDEV¹, T. SADECKI³⁷, L. SADEGHIAN¹⁶, L. SALCONI³⁴, M. SALEEM¹⁰⁶, F. SALEMI⁸, A. SAMAJDAR¹²²,
L. SAMMUT^{84,113}, E. J. SANCHEZ¹, V. SANDBERG³⁷, B. SANDEEN⁸², J. R. SANDERS^{35,98}, B. SASSOLAS⁶⁶, B. S. SATHYAPRAKASH⁹¹,
P. R. SAULSON³⁵, O. SAUTER⁹⁸, R. L. SAVAGE³⁷, A. SAWADSKY¹⁷, P. SCHALE⁵⁹, R. SCHILLING⁸, J. SCHMIDT⁸, P. SCHMIDT^{1,76},
R. SCHNABEL²⁷, R. M. S. SCHOFIELD⁵⁹, A. SCHÖNBECK²⁷, E. SCHREIBER⁸, D. SCHUETTE^{8,17}, B. F. SCHUTZ^{29,91}, J. SCOTT³⁶,
S. M. SCOTT²⁰, D. SELLERS⁶, D. SENTENAC³⁴, V. SEQUINO^{13,25}, A. SERGEEV¹⁰⁷, G. SERNA²², Y. SETYAWATI^{9,52}, A. SEVIGNY³⁷,
D. A. SHADDOCK²⁰, S. SHAH^{9,52}, M. S. SHAHRIAR⁸², M. SHALTEV⁸, Z. SHAO¹, B. SHAPIRO⁴¹, P. SHAWHAN⁶³, A. SHEPERD¹⁶,
D. H. SHOEMAKER¹⁰, D. M. SHOEMAKER⁶⁴, K. SIELLEZ^{53,64}, X. SIEMENS¹⁶, D. SIGG³⁷, A. D. SILVA¹¹, D. SIMAKOV⁸, A. SINGER¹,
A. SINGH^{8,29}, R. SINGH², A. SINGHAL¹², A. M. SINTES⁶⁷, B. J. J. SLAGMOLEN²⁰, J. R. SMITH²², N. D. SMITH¹, R. J. E. SMITH¹,
E. J. SON¹²⁵, B. SORAZU³⁶, F. SORRENTINO⁴⁷, T. SOURADEEP¹⁴, A. K. SRIVASTAVA⁹⁵, A. STALEY⁴⁰, M. STEINKE⁸,
J. STEINLECHNER³⁶, S. STEINLECHNER³⁶, D. STEINMEYER^{8,17}, B. C. STEPHENS¹⁶, R. STONE⁸⁵, K. A. STRAIN³⁶, N. STRANIERO⁶⁶,
G. STRATTA^{57,58}, N. A. STRAUSS⁷⁸, S. STRIGIN⁴⁹, R. STURANI¹²⁰, A. L. STUVER⁶, T. Z. SUMMERSCALES¹²⁸, L. SUN⁸⁴,
P. J. SUTTON⁹¹, B. L. SWINKELS³⁴, M. J. SZCZEPAŃCZYK⁹⁷, M. TACCA³⁰, D. TALUKDER⁵⁹, D. B. TANNER⁵, M. TÁPAI⁹⁶,
S. P. TARABRIN⁸, A. TARACCHINI²⁹, R. TAYLOR¹, T. THEEG⁸, M. P. THIRUGNANASAMBANDAM¹, E. G. THOMAS⁴⁵, M. THOMAS⁶,
P. THOMAS³⁷, K. A. THORNE⁶, K. S. THORNE⁷⁶, E. THRANE¹¹³, S. TIWARI¹², V. TIWARI⁹¹, K. V. TOKMAKOV¹⁰⁵, C. TOMLINSON⁸⁶,
M. TONELLI^{18,19}, C. V. TORRES⁸⁵, C. I. TORRIE¹, D. TÖYRÄ⁴⁵, F. TRAVASSO^{32,33}, G. TRAYLOR⁶, D. TRIFIRÒ²¹, M. C. TRINGALI^{89,90},
L. TROZZO^{19,129}, M. TSE¹⁰, M. TURCONI⁵³, D. TUYENBAYEV⁸⁵, D. UGOLINI¹³⁰, C. S. UNNIKRIISHNAN⁹⁹, A. L. URBAN¹⁶,
S. A. USMAN³⁵, H. VAHLBRUCH¹⁷, G. VAJENTE¹, G. VALDES⁸⁵, N. VAN BAKEL⁹, M. VAN BEUZEKOM⁹, J. F. J. VAN DEN BRAND^{9,62},
C. VAN DEN BROECK⁹, D. C. VANDER-HYDE^{22,35}, L. VAN DER SCHAAF⁹, J. V. VAN HEIJNINGEN⁹, A. A. VAN VEGGEL³⁶,
M. VARDARO^{42,43}, S. VASS¹, M. VASÚTH³⁸, R. VAULIN¹⁰, A. VECCHIO⁴⁵, G. VEDOVATO⁴³, J. VEITCH⁴⁵, P. J. VEITCH¹⁰²,
K. VENKATESWARA¹³¹, D. VERKINDT⁷, F. VETRANO^{57,58}, A. VICERE^{57,58}, S. VINCIGUERRA⁴⁵, D. J. VINE⁵⁰, J.-Y. VINET⁵³,
S. VITALE¹⁰, T. VO³⁵, H. VOCCA^{32,33}, C. VORVICK³⁷, D. VOSS⁵, W. D. VOUSDEN⁴⁵, S. P. VYATCHANIN⁴⁹, A. R. WADE²⁰,
L. E. WADE¹³², M. WADE¹³², M. WALKER², L. WALLACE¹, S. WALSH^{8,16,29}, G. WANG¹², H. WANG⁴⁵, M. WANG⁴⁵, X. WANG⁷⁰,
Y. WANG⁵¹, R. L. WARD²⁰, J. WARNER³⁷, M. WAS⁷, B. WEAVER³⁷, L.-W. WEI⁵³, M. WEINERT⁸, A. J. WEINSTEIN¹, R. WEISS¹⁰,
T. WELBORN⁶, L. WEN⁵¹, P. WESSELS⁸, T. WESTPHAL⁸, K. WETTE⁸, J. T. WHELAN^{8,112}, D. J. WHITE⁸⁶, B. F. WHITING⁵,
R. D. WILLIAMS¹, A. R. WILLIAMSON⁹¹, J. L. WILLIS¹³³, B. WILLKE^{8,17}, M. H. WIMMER^{8,17}, W. WINKLER⁸, C. C. WIPF¹,
H. WITTEL^{8,17}, G. WOAN³⁶, J. WORDEN³⁷, J. L. WRIGHT³⁶, G. WU⁶, J. YABLON⁸², W. YAM¹⁰, H. YAMAMOTO¹, C. C. YANCEY⁶³,
M. J. YAP²⁰, H. YU¹⁰, M. YVERT⁷, A. ZADROŻNY¹¹⁰, L. ZANGRANDO⁴³, M. ZANOLIN⁹⁷, J.-P. ZENDRI⁴³, M. ZEVIN⁸², F. ZHANG¹⁰,
L. ZHANG¹, M. ZHANG¹¹⁹, Y. ZHANG¹¹², C. ZHAO⁵¹, M. ZHOU⁸², Z. ZHOU⁸², X. J. ZHU⁵¹, M. E. ZUCKER^{1,10}, S. E. ZURAW¹⁰¹,
J. ZWEIZIG¹

(THE LIGO SCIENTIFIC COLLABORATION AND THE VIRGO COLLABORATION),

J. ALLISON^{134,135}, K. BANNISTER^{134,135}, M. E. BELL^{134,135}, S. CHATTERJEE¹³⁶, A. P. CHIPPENDALE¹³⁴, P. G. EDWARDS¹³⁴,
L. HARVEY-SMITH¹³⁴, IAN HEYWOOD^{134,137}, A. HOTAN¹³⁸, B. INDERMUEHLE¹³⁴, J. MARVIL¹³⁴, D. MCCONNELL¹³⁴,
T. MURPHY^{135,139}, A. POPPING^{135,140}, J. REYNOLDS¹³⁴, R. J. SAULT^{84,134}, M. A. VORONKOV¹³⁴, M. T. WHITING¹³⁴
(THE AUSTRALIAN SQUARE KILOMETER ARRAY PATHFINDER (ASKAP) COLLABORATION),

A. J. CASTRO-TIRADO^{141,142}, R. CUNNIFFE¹⁴¹, M. JELÍNEK¹⁴³, J. C. TELLO¹⁴¹, S. R. OATES¹⁴¹, Y.-D. HU¹⁴¹, P. KUBÁNEK¹⁴⁴,
S. GUZİY¹⁴⁵, A. CASTELLÓN¹⁴⁶, A. GARCÍA-CEREZO¹⁴², V. F. MUÑOZ¹⁴², C. PÉREZ DEL PULGAR¹⁴², S. CASTILLO-CARRIÓN¹⁴⁷,
J. M. CASTRO CERÓN¹⁴⁸, R. HUDEC^{143,149}, M. D. CABALLERO-GARCÍA¹⁵⁰, P. PÁTA¹⁴⁹, S. VITEK¹⁴⁹, J. A. ADAME¹⁵¹, S. KONIG¹⁵¹,
F. RENDÓN^{141,151}, T. DE J. MATEO SANGUINO¹⁵², R. FERNÁNDEZ-MUÑOZ¹⁵³, P. C. YOCK¹⁵⁴, N. RATTENBURY¹⁵⁴, W. H. ALLEN¹⁵⁵,
R. QUEREL¹⁵⁶, S. JEONG^{141,157}, I. H. PARK¹⁵⁷, J. BAI¹⁵⁸, CH. CUI¹⁵⁹, Y. FAN¹⁵⁸, CH. WANG¹⁵⁸, D. HIRIART¹⁶⁰, W. H. LEE¹⁶¹,
A. CLARET¹⁴¹, R. SÁNCHEZ-RAMÍREZ¹⁴¹, S. B. PANDEY¹⁶², T. MEDIAVILLA¹⁶³, L. SABAU-GRAZIATI¹⁶⁴
(THE BOOTES COLLABORATION),

T. M. C. ABBOTT¹⁶⁵, F. B. ABDALLA^{137,166}, S. ALLAM¹⁶⁷, J. ANNIS¹⁶⁷, R. ARMSTRONG¹⁶⁸, A. BENOIT-LÉVY^{166,169,170},
E. BERGER¹⁷¹, R. A. BERNSTEIN¹⁷², E. BERTIN^{169,170}, D. BROUT¹⁷³, E. BUCKLEY-GEER¹⁶⁷, D. L. BURKE^{174,175}, D. CAPOZZI¹⁷⁶,
J. CARRETERO^{177,178}, F. J. CASTANDER¹⁷⁷, R. CHORNOCK¹⁷⁹, P. S. COWPERTHWAIT¹⁷¹, M. CROCCE¹⁷⁷, C. E. CUNHA¹⁷⁴,
C. B. D'ANDREA^{26,176}, L. N. DA COSTA¹⁸⁰, S. DESAI^{181,182}, H. T. DIEHL¹⁶⁷, J. P. DIETRICH^{181,182}, Z. DOCTOR¹⁸³,
A. DRLICA-WAGNER¹⁶⁷, M. R. DROUT¹⁷¹, T. F. EIFLER^{173,184}, J. ESTRADA¹⁶⁷, A. E. EVRARD⁹⁸, E. FERNANDEZ¹⁷⁸, D. A. FINLEY¹⁶⁷,
B. FLAUGHER¹⁶⁷, R. J. FOLEY^{185,186}, W.-F. FONG¹⁸⁷, P. FOSALBA¹⁷⁷, D. B. FOX¹⁸⁸, J. FRIEMAN^{167,183}, C. L. FRYER¹⁸⁹,
E. GAZTANAGA¹⁷⁷, D. W. GERDES¹⁹⁰, D. A. GOLDSTEIN^{191,192}, D. GRUEN^{174,175}, R. A. GRUENDL^{185,193}, G. GUTIERREZ¹⁶⁷,
K. HERNER¹⁶⁷, K. HONSCHEID^{194,195}, D. J. JAMES¹⁶⁵, M. D. JOHNSON¹⁹³, M. W. G. JOHNSON¹⁹³, I. KARLINER¹⁸⁶, D. KASEN^{192,196},
S. KENT¹⁶⁷, R. KESSLER¹⁸³, A. G. KIM¹⁹², M. C. KIND^{185,193}, K. KUEHN¹⁹⁷, N. KUROPATKIN¹⁶⁷, O. LAHAV¹⁶⁶, T. S. LI¹⁹⁸,
M. LIMA^{180,199}, H. LIN¹⁶⁷, M. A. G. MAIA¹⁸⁰, R. MARGUTTI²⁰⁰, J. MARRINER¹⁶⁷, P. MARTINI^{194,201}, T. MATHESON²⁰²,
P. MELCHIOR¹⁶⁸, B. D. METZGER²⁰³, C. J. MILLER^{190,204}, R. MIQUEL^{178,205}, E. NEILSEN¹⁶⁷, R. C. NICHOL¹⁷⁶, B. NORD¹⁶⁷,
P. NUGENT¹⁹², R. OGANDO¹⁸⁰, D. PETRAVICK¹⁹³, A. A. PLAZAS¹⁸⁴, E. QUATAERT²⁰⁶, N. ROE¹⁹², A. K. ROMER²⁰⁷,
A. ROODMAN^{174,175}, A. C. ROSELL¹⁸⁰, E. S. RYKOFF^{174,175}, M. SAKO¹⁷³, E. SANCHEZ²⁰⁸, V. SCARPINE¹⁶⁷, R. SCHINDLER¹⁷⁵,
M. SCHUBNELL¹⁹⁰, D. SCOLNIC¹⁸³, I. SEVILLA-NOARBE^{185,208}, E. SHELDON²⁰⁹, N. SMITH¹⁸⁷, R. C. SMITH¹⁶⁵,
M. SOARES-SANTOS¹⁶⁷, F. SOBREIRA¹⁸⁰, A. STEBBINS¹⁶⁷, E. SUCHYTA¹⁷³, M. E. C. SWANSON¹⁹³, G. TARLE¹⁹⁰, J. THALER¹⁸⁶,
D. THOMAS¹⁷⁶, R. C. THOMAS¹⁹², D. L. TUCKER¹⁶⁷, V. VIKRAM²¹⁰, A. R. WALKER¹⁶⁵, R. H. WECHSLER^{41,174,175}, W. WESTER¹⁶⁷,
B. YANNY¹⁶⁷, Y. ZHANG⁹⁸, J. ZUNTZ²¹¹
(THE DARK ENERGY SURVEY AND THE DARK ENERGY CAMERA GW-EM COLLABORATIONS),

V. CONNAUGHTON²¹², E. BURNS²¹³, A. GOLDSTEIN^{214,378}, M. S. BRIGGS²¹⁵, B.-B. ZHANG^{216,217}, C. M. HUI²¹⁴, P. JENKE²¹⁶,
C. A. WILSON-HODGE²¹⁴, P. N. BHAT²¹⁶, E. BISSALDI²¹⁸, W. CLEVELAND²¹², G. FITZPATRICK²¹⁶, M. M. GILES²¹⁹, M. H. GIBBY²¹⁹,
J. GREINER²²⁰, A. VON KIENLIN²²⁰, R. M. KIPPEN²²¹, S. MCBREEN²²², B. MAILYAN²¹⁶, C. A. MEEGAN²¹⁶, W. S. PACIESAS²¹²,
R. D. PREECE²¹⁵, O. ROBERTS²²², L. SPARKE²²³, M. STANBRO²¹³, K. TOELGE²²⁰, P. VERES²¹⁶, H.-F. YU^{220,224}, L. BLACKBURN¹⁷¹
(THE FERMI GBM COLLABORATION),

M. ACKERMANN²²⁵, M. AJELLO²²⁶, A. ALBERT²²⁷, B. ANDERSON^{228,229}, W. B. ATWOOD²³⁰, M. AXELSSON^{231,232}, L. BALDINI^{227,233},
G. BARBIELLINI^{234,235}, D. BASTIERI^{236,237}, R. BELLAZZINI²³⁸, E. BISSALDI²³⁹, R. D. BLANDFORD²²⁷, E. D. BLOOM²²⁷,
R. BONINO^{240,241}, E. BOTTACINI²²⁷, T. J. BRANDT²⁴², P. BRUEL²⁴³, S. BUSON^{242,244,245}, G. A. CALIANDRO^{227,246},
R. A. CAMERON²²⁷, M. CARAGIULO^{239,247}, P. A. CARAVEO²⁴⁸, E. CAVAZZUTI²⁴⁹, E. CHARLES²²⁷, A. CHEKHTMAN²⁵⁰, J. CHIANG²²⁷,
G. CHIARO²³⁷, S. CIPRINI^{249,251}, J. COHEN-TANUGI²⁵², L. R. COMINSKY²⁵³, F. COSTANZA²³⁹, A. CUOCO^{240,241},
F. D'AMMANDO^{254,255}, F. DE PALMA^{239,256}, R. DESIANTE^{240,257}, S. W. DIGEL²²⁷, N. DI LALLA²³⁸, M. DI MAURO²²⁷,
L. DI VENERE^{239,247}, A. DOMÍNGUEZ²²⁶, P. S. DRELL²²⁷, R. DUBOIS²²⁷, C. FAVUZZI^{239,247}, E. C. FERRARA²⁴², A. FRANCKOWIAK²²⁷,
Y. FUKAZAWA²⁵⁸, S. FUNK²⁵⁹, P. FUSCO^{239,247}, F. GARGANO²³⁹, D. GASPARRINI^{249,251}, N. GIGLIETTO^{239,247}, P. GIOMMI²⁴⁹,
F. GIORDANO^{239,247}, M. GIROLETTI²⁵⁴, T. GLANZMAN²²⁷, G. GODFREY²²⁷, G. A. GOMEZ-VARGAS^{260,261}, D. GREEN^{242,262},
I. A. GRENIER²⁶³, J. E. GROVE²⁶⁴, S. GUIRIEC^{242,378}, D. HADASCH²⁶⁵, A. K. HARDING²⁴², E. HAYS²⁴², J. W. HEWITT²⁶⁶,
A. B. HILL^{227,267}, D. HORAN²⁴³, T. JOGLER²²⁷, G. JÓHANNESSON²⁶⁸, A. S. JOHNSON²²⁷, S. KENSEI²⁵⁸, D. KOCEVSKI²⁴², M. KUSS²³⁸,
G. LA MURA^{237,265}, S. LARSSON^{229,231}, L. LATRONICO²⁴⁰, J. LI²⁶⁹, L. LI^{229,231}, F. LONGO^{234,235}, F. LOPARCO^{239,247},
M. N. LOVELLETTE²⁶⁴, P. LUBRANO²⁵¹, J. MAGILL²⁶², S. MALDERA²⁴⁰, A. MANFREDI²³⁸, M. MARELLI²⁴⁸, M. MAYER²²⁵,
M. N. MAZZIOTTA²³⁹, J. E. MCENERY^{242,262}, M. MEYER^{228,229}, P. F. MICHELSON²²⁷, N. MIRABAL^{242,378}, T. MIZUNO²⁷⁰,
A. A. MOISEEV^{245,262}, M. E. MONZANI²²⁷, E. MORETTI²⁷¹, A. MORSELLI²⁶¹, I. V. MOSKALENKO²²⁷, M. NEGRO^{240,241}, E. NUSS²⁵²,
T. OHSUGI²⁷⁰, N. OMODEI²²⁷, M. ORIENTI²⁵⁴, E. ORLANDO²²⁷, J. F. ORMES²⁷², D. PANEQUE^{227,271}, J. S. PERKINS²⁴²,
M. PESCE-ROLLINS^{227,238}, F. PIRON²⁵², G. PIVATO²³⁸, T. A. PORTER²²⁷, J. L. RACUSIN²⁴², S. RAINÒ^{239,247}, R. RANDO^{236,237},
S. RAZZAQUE²⁷³, A. REIMER^{227,265}, O. REIMER^{227,265}, D. SALVETTI²⁴⁸, P. M. SAZ PARKINSON^{230,274,275}, C. SGRÒ²³⁸, D. SIMONE²³⁹,
E. J. SISKIND²⁷⁶, F. SPADA²³⁸, G. SPANDRE²³⁸, P. SPINELLI^{239,247}, D. J. SUSON²⁷⁷, H. TAJIMA^{227,278}, J. B. THAYER²²⁷,

- D. J. THOMPSON²⁴², L. TIBALDO²⁷⁹, D. F. TORRES^{269,280}, E. TROIA^{242,262}, Y. UCHIYAMA²⁸¹, T. M. VENTERS²⁴², G. VIANELLO²²⁷,
K. S. WOOD²⁶⁴, M. WOOD²²⁷, S. ZHU²⁶², S. ZIMMER^{228,229}
(THE FERMI LAT COLLABORATION),
- E. BROCATO²⁸², E. CAPPELLARO²⁸³, S. COVINO²⁸⁴, A. GRADO²⁸⁵, L. NICASTRO²⁸⁶, E. PALAZZI²⁸⁶, E. PIAN^{286,287}, L. AMATI²⁸⁶,
L. A. ANTONELLI^{282,288}, M. CAPACCIOLI²⁸⁹, P. D'AVANZO²⁸⁴, V. D'ELIA^{282,288}, F. GETMAN²⁸⁵, G. GIUFFRIDA^{282,288},
G. IANNICOLA²⁸², L. LIMATOLA²⁸⁵, M. LISI²⁸², S. MARINONI^{282,288}, P. MARRESE^{282,283}, A. MELANDRI²⁸⁴, S. PIRANOMONTE²⁸²,
A. POSSENTI²⁹⁰, L. PULONE²⁸², A. ROSSI²⁸⁶, A. STAMERRA^{287,291}, L. STELLA²⁸², V. TESTA²⁸², L. TOMASELLA²⁸³, S. YANG²⁸³
(THE GRAVITATIONAL WAVE INAF TEAM (GRAWITA)),
- A. BAZZANO²⁹², E. BOZZO²⁹³, S. BRANDT²⁹⁴, T. J.-L. COURVOISIER²⁹³, C. FERRIGNO²⁹³, L. HANLON²⁹⁵, E. KUULKERS²⁹⁶,
P. LAURENT²⁹⁷, S. MEREGHETTI²⁹⁸, J. P. ROQUES²⁹⁹, V. SAVCHENKO³⁰⁰, P. UBERTINI²⁹²
(THE INTEGRAL COLLABORATION),
- M. M. KASLIWAL³⁰¹, L. P. SINGER^{39,378}, Y. CAO³⁰¹, G. DUGGAN³⁰¹, S. R. KULKARNI³⁰¹, V. BHALERAO¹⁴, A. A. MILLER^{184,301,379},
T. BARLOW³⁰¹, E. BELLM³⁰¹, I. MANULIS³⁰², J. RANA¹⁴, R. LAHER³⁰³, F. MASCI³⁰³, J. SURACE³⁰³, U. REBBAPRAGADA¹⁸⁴,
D. COOK³⁰¹, A. VAN SISTINE¹⁶, B. SESAR³⁰⁴, D. PERLEY³⁰⁵, R. FERRETI³⁰⁶, T. PRINCE³⁰¹, R. KENDRICK³⁰⁷, A. HORESH³⁰²
(THE INTERMEDIATE PALOMAR TRANSIENT FACTORY (IPTF) COLLABORATION),
- K. HURLEY³⁰⁸, S. V. GOLENETSKII³⁰⁹, R. L. APTEKAR³⁰⁹, D. D. FREDERIKS³⁰⁹, D. S. SVINKIN³⁰⁹, A. RAU²²⁰, A. VON KIENLIN²²⁰,
X. ZHANG²²⁰, D. M. SMITH²³⁰, T. CLINE^{39,380}, H. KRIMM^{245,310}
(THE INTERPLANETARY NETWORK),
- F. ABE³¹¹, M. DOI³¹², K. FUJISAWA³¹³, K. S. KAWABATA³¹⁴, T. MOROKUMA³¹², K. MOTOHARA³¹², M. TANAKA³¹⁵, K. OHTA³¹⁶,
K. YANAGISAWA³¹⁷, M. YOSHIDA³¹⁴
(THE J-GEM COLLABORATION),
- C. BALTAY³¹⁸, D. RABINOWITZ³¹⁸, N. ELLMAN³¹⁸, S. ROSTAMI³¹⁸
(THE LA SILLA–QUEST SURVEY),
- D. F. BERSIER³¹⁹, M. F. BODE³¹⁹, C. A. COLLINS³¹⁹, C. M. COPPERWHEAT³¹⁹, M. J. DARNLEY³¹⁹, D. K. GALLOWAY^{320,321},
A. GOMBOC^{322,323}, S. KOBAYASHI³¹⁹, P. MAZZALI³¹⁹, C. G. MUNDELL³²⁴, A. S. PIASCICK³¹⁹, DON POLLACCO³²⁵, I. A. STEELE³¹⁹,
K. ULACZYK³²⁵
(THE LIVERPOOL TELESCOPE COLLABORATION),
- J. W. BRODERICK³²⁶, R. P. FENDER³²⁷, P. G. JONKER^{52,328}, A. ROWLINSON^{135,326,329}, B. W. STAPPERS²¹¹, R. A. M. J. WIJERS³²⁹
(THE LOW FREQUENCY ARRAY (LOFAR) COLLABORATION),
- V. LIPUNOV³³⁰, E. GORBOVSKOY³³⁰, N. TYURINA³³⁰, V. KORNILOV³³⁰, P. BALANUTSA³³⁰, A. KUZNETSOV³³⁰, D. BUCKLEY³³¹,
R. REBOLO³³², M. SERRA-RICART³³², G. ISRAELIAN³³², N. M. BUDNEV³³³, O. GRESS³³³, K. IVANOV³³³, V. POLESHUK³³³,
A. TLATOV³³⁴, V. YURKOV³³⁵
(THE MASTER COLLABORATION),
- N. KAWAI³³⁶, M. SERINO³³⁷, H. NEGORO³³⁸, S. NAKAHIRA³³⁹, T. MIHARA³³⁷, H. TOMIDA³⁴⁰, S. UENO³⁴⁰, H. TSUNEMI³⁴¹,
M. MATSUOKA³³⁷
(THE MAXI COLLABORATION),
- S. CROFT^{342,343}, L. FENG³⁴⁴, T. M. O. FRANZEN³⁴⁵, B. M. GAENSLER^{135,139,346}, M. JOHNSTON-HOLLITT³⁴⁷, D. L. KAPLAN¹⁶,
M. F. MORALES¹³¹, S. J. TINGAY^{135,345,348}, R. B. WAYTH^{135,345}, A. WILLIAMS³⁴⁵
(THE MURCHISON WIDE-FIELD ARRAY (MWA) COLLABORATION),
- S. J. SMARTT³⁴⁹, K. C. CHAMBERS³⁵⁰, K. W. SMITH³⁴⁹, M. E. HUBER³⁵⁰, D. R. YOUNG³⁴⁹, D. E. WRIGHT³⁴⁹, A. SCHULTZ³⁵⁰,
L. DENNEAU³⁵⁰, H. FLEWELLING³⁵⁰, E. A. MAGNIER³⁵⁰, N. PRIMAK³⁵⁰, A. REST³⁵¹, A. SHERSTYUK³⁵⁰, B. STALDER³⁵⁰,
C. W. STUBBS³⁵², J. TONRY³⁵⁰, C. WATERS³⁵⁰, M. WILLMAN³⁵⁰
(THE PAN-STARRS COLLABORATION),
- F. OLIVARES E.^{353,354}, H. CAMPBELL³⁵⁵, R. KOTAK³⁴⁹, J. SOLLERMAN³⁰⁶, M. SMITH²⁶, M. DENNEFELD³⁵⁶, J. P. ANDERSON³⁵⁷,

M. T. BOTTICELLA²⁸⁵, T.-W. CHEN²²⁰, M. D. VALLE²⁸⁵, N. ELIAS-ROSA²⁸³, M. FRASER³⁵⁵, C. INSERRA³⁴⁹, E. KANKARE³⁴⁹,
T. KUPFER³⁰¹, J. HARMANEN³⁵⁸, L. GALBANY^{353,359}, L. LE GUILLLOU^{360,361}, J. D. LYMAN³²⁵, K. MAGUIRE³⁴⁹, A. MITRA³⁶¹,
M. NICHOLL¹⁷¹, A. RAZZA^{353,359}, G. TERRERAN^{283,349}, S. VALENTI^{362,363}, A. GAL-YAM³⁶⁴
(THE PESSTO COLLABORATION),

A. ĆWIEK¹¹⁰, M. ĆWIOK³⁶⁵, L. MANKIEWICZ³⁶⁶, R. OPIELA³⁶⁶, M. ZAREMBA³⁶⁵, A. F. ŻARNECKI³⁶⁵
(THE PI OF THE SKY COLLABORATION),

C. A. ONKEN^{20,135}, R. A. SCALZO^{20,135}, B. P. SCHMIDT^{20,135}, C. WOLF^{20,135}, F. YUAN^{20,135}
(THE SKYMAPPER COLLABORATION),

P. A. EVANS³⁶⁷, J. A. KENNEA⁷², D. N. BURROWS⁷², S. CAMPANA²⁸⁴, S. B. CENKO^{39,368}, P. GIOMMI²⁸⁸, F. E. MARSHALL³⁹,
J. NOUSEK⁷², P. O'BRIEN³⁶⁷, J. P. OSBORNE³⁶⁷, D. PALMER³⁶⁹, M. PERRI^{282,288}, M. SIEGEL⁷², G. TAGLIAFERRI²⁸⁴
(THE SWIFT COLLABORATION),

A. KLOTZ³⁷⁰, D. TURPIN³⁷⁰, R. LAUGIER⁵³
(THE TAROT, ZADKO, ALGERIAN NATIONAL OBSERVATORY, AND C2PU COLLABORATION),
M. BEROIZ^{85,371}, T. PEÑUELA^{85,372}, L. M. MACRI³⁷³, R. J. OELKERS³⁷³, D. G. LAMBAS³⁷⁴, R. VRECH³⁷⁴, J. CABRAL³⁷⁴,
C. COLAZO³⁷⁴, M. DOMINGUEZ³⁷⁴, B. SANCHEZ³⁷⁴, S. GUROVICH³⁷⁴, M. LARES³⁷⁴, J. L. MARSHALL³⁷³, D. L. DEPOY³⁷³,
N. PADILLA³⁷⁵, N. A. PEREYRA⁸⁵, M. BENACQUISTA⁸⁵
(THE TOROS COLLABORATION),

AND

N. R. TANVIR³⁶⁷, K. WIERSEMA³⁶⁷, A. J. LEVAN³²⁵, D. STEEGHS³²⁵, J. HJORTH³⁰⁵, J. P. U. FYNBO³⁰⁵, D. MALESANI³⁰⁵,
B. MILVANG-JENSEN³⁰⁵, D. WATSON³⁰⁵, M. IRWIN³⁵⁵, C. G. FERNANDEZ³⁵⁵, R. G. MCMAHON³⁵⁵, M. BANERJI³⁵⁵,
E. GONZALEZ-SOLARES³⁵⁵, S. SCHULZE^{353,375}, A. DE U. POSTIGO^{305,376}, C. C. THOENE³⁷⁶, Z. CANO³⁷⁷, AND S. ROSSWOG³⁰⁶
(THE VISTA COLLABORATION)

¹ LIGO, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA; lsc-spokesperson@ligo.org, virgo-spokesperson@ego-gw.eu

² Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

³ Università di Salerno, Fisciano, I-84084 Salerno, Italy

⁴ INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy

⁵ University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

⁶ LIGO Livingston Observatory, Livingston, LA 70754, USA

⁷ Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules (LAPP), Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France

⁸ Albert-Einstein-Institut, Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

⁹ Nikhef, Science Park, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands

¹⁰ LIGO, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

¹¹ Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, 12227-010 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

¹² INFN, Gran Sasso Science Institute, I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy

¹³ INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy

¹⁴ Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune 411007, India

¹⁵ International Centre for Theoretical Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bangalore 560012, India

¹⁶ University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

¹⁷ Leibniz Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

¹⁸ Università di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

¹⁹ INFN, Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

²⁰ Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia

²¹ The University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA

²² California State University Fullerton, Fullerton, CA 92831, USA

²³ LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France

²⁴ Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai, India

²⁵ Università di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy

²⁶ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

²⁷ Universität Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany

²⁸ INFN, Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy

²⁹ Albert-Einstein-Institut, Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik, D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany

³⁰ APC, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Observatoire de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France

³¹ Montana State University, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA

³² Università di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy

³³ INFN, Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy

³⁴ European Gravitational Observatory (EGO), I-56021 Cascina, Pisa, Italy

³⁵ Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA

³⁶ SUPA, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK

³⁷ LIGO Hanford Observatory, Richland, WA 99352, USA

³⁸ Wigner RCP, RMKI, H-1121 Budapest, Konkoly Thege Miklós út 29-33, Hungary

³⁹ NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

⁴⁰ Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

- ⁴¹ Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
- ⁴² Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ⁴³ INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ⁴⁴ CAMK-PAN, 00-716 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁴⁵ University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK
- ⁴⁶ Università degli Studi di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- ⁴⁷ INFN, Sezione di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- ⁴⁸ RRCAT, Indore MP 452013, India
- ⁴⁹ Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
- ⁵⁰ SUPA, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, UK
- ⁵¹ University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia
- ⁵² Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ⁵³ Artemis, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Observatoire Côte d'Azur, CS 34229, Nice cedex 4, France
- ⁵⁴ MTA Eötvös University, "Lendület" Astrophysics Research Group, Budapest 1117, Hungary
- ⁵⁵ Institut de Physique de Rennes, CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, F-35042 Rennes, France
- ⁵⁶ Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164, USA
- ⁵⁷ Università degli Studi di Urbino "Carlo Bo", I-61029 Urbino, Italy
- ⁵⁸ INFN, Sezione di Firenze, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy
- ⁵⁹ University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA
- ⁶⁰ Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, UPMC-Sorbonne Universités, CNRS, ENS-PSL Research University, Collège de France, F-75005 Paris, France
- ⁶¹ Astronomical Observatory Warsaw University, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁶² VU University Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁶³ University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- ⁶⁴ Center for Relativistic Astrophysics and School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA
- ⁶⁵ Institut Lumière Matière, Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, UMR CNRS 5306, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- ⁶⁶ Laboratoire des Matériaux Avancés (LMA), IN2P3/CNRS, Université de Lyon, F-69622 Villeurbanne, Lyon, France
- ⁶⁷ Universitat de les Illes Balears, IAC3—IEEC, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain
- ⁶⁸ Università di Napoli "Federico II", Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
- ⁶⁹ Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H8, Canada
- ⁷⁰ Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ⁷¹ Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA
- ⁷² The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- ⁷³ National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu City, 30013, Taiwan R.O.C.
- ⁷⁴ Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales 2678, Australia
- ⁷⁵ University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
- ⁷⁶ Caltech CaRT, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ⁷⁷ Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 305-806, Korea
- ⁷⁸ Carleton College, Northfield, MN 55057, USA
- ⁷⁹ Università di Roma "La Sapienza", I-00185 Roma, Italy
- ⁸⁰ University of Brussels, Brussels B-1050, Belgium
- ⁸¹ Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA 94928, USA
- ⁸² Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA
- ⁸³ University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
- ⁸⁴ The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
- ⁸⁵ The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, TX 78520, USA
- ⁸⁶ The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK
- ⁸⁷ University of Sannio at Benevento, I-82100 Benevento, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, I-80100 Napoli, Italy
- ⁸⁸ Montclair State University, Montclair, NJ 07043, USA
- ⁸⁹ Università di Trento, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
- ⁹⁰ INFN, Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
- ⁹¹ Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK
- ⁹² National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ⁹³ School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK
- ⁹⁴ Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 382424, India
- ⁹⁵ Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat, Gandhinagar 382428, India
- ⁹⁶ University of Szeged, Dóm tér 9, Szeged 6720, Hungary
- ⁹⁷ Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Prescott, AZ 86301, USA
- ⁹⁸ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- ⁹⁹ Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India
- ¹⁰⁰ American University, Washington, D.C. 20016, USA
- ¹⁰¹ University of Massachusetts-Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
- ¹⁰² University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia
- ¹⁰³ West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA
- ¹⁰⁴ University of Białystok, 15-424 Białystok, Poland
- ¹⁰⁵ SUPA, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, UK
- ¹⁰⁶ IISER-TVM, CET Campus, Trivandrum Kerala 695016, India
- ¹⁰⁷ Institute of Applied Physics, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia
- ¹⁰⁸ Pusan National University, Busan 609-735, Korea
- ¹⁰⁹ Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea
- ¹¹⁰ NCBJ, 05-400 Świerk-Otwock, Poland
- ¹¹¹ IM-PAN, 00-956 Warsaw, Poland
- ¹¹² Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA
- ¹¹³ Monash University, Victoria 3800, Australia
- ¹¹⁴ Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea
- ¹¹⁵ University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899, USA
- ¹¹⁶ ESPCI, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France

- ¹¹⁷ Università di Camerino, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-62032 Camerino, Italy
- ¹¹⁸ Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge, LA 70813, USA
- ¹¹⁹ College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187, USA
- ¹²⁰ Instituto de Física Teórica, University Estadual Paulista/ICTP South American Institute for Fundamental Research, São Paulo SP 01140-070, Brazil
- ¹²¹ University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1TN, UK
- ¹²² IISER-Kolkata, Mohanpur, West Bengal 741252, India
- ¹²³ Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, HSIC, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK
- ¹²⁴ Whitman College, 345 Boyer Ave, Walla Walla, WA 99362 USA
- ¹²⁵ National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 305-390, Korea
- ¹²⁶ Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, NY 14456, USA
- ¹²⁷ Janusz Gil Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra, 65-265 Zielona Góra, Poland
- ¹²⁸ Andrews University, Berrien Springs, MI 49104, USA
- ¹²⁹ Università di Siena, I-53100 Siena, Italy
- ¹³⁰ Trinity University, San Antonio, TX 78212, USA
- ¹³¹ University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
- ¹³² Kenyon College, Gambier, OH 43022, USA
- ¹³³ Abilene Christian University, Abilene, TX 79699, USA
- ¹³⁴ CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, PO Box 76, Epping NSW 1710, Australia
- ¹³⁵ ARC Centre of Excellence for All-sky Astrophysics (CAASTRO)
- ¹³⁶ Cornell Center for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA
- ¹³⁷ Department of Physics and Electronics, Rhodes University, PO Box 94, Grahamstown, 6140, South Africa
- ¹³⁸ CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, 26 Dick Perry Avenue, Technology Park, Kensington WA 6151, Australia
- ¹³⁹ Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
- ¹⁴⁰ International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research (ICRAR), The University of Western Australia, M468, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Perth, WA, 6009, Australia
- ¹⁴¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), P.O. Box 03004, E-18080 Granada, Spain
- ¹⁴² Departamento de Ingeniería de Sistemas y Automática, Escuela de Ingenierías, Universidad de Málaga, Unidad Asociada al CSIC, Dr. Pedro Ortiz Ramos, E-29071 Málaga, Spain
- ¹⁴³ Astronomical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic 251 65 Ondřejov, Czech Republic
- ¹⁴⁴ Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 1999/2, 182 21 Praha 8, Czech Republic
- ¹⁴⁵ Nikolaev National University, Nikolska str. 24, 54030 Nikolaev, Ukraine
- ¹⁴⁶ Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, Boulevard Louis Pasteur, E-29010 Málaga, Spain
- ¹⁴⁷ Enseñanza Virtual y Laboratorios Tecnológicos, Universidad de Málaga, Jiménez Fraud 10, E-29071 Málaga, Spain
- ¹⁴⁸ ISDEFE for the SMOS FOS (ESA-ESAC), E-28692 Villanueva de la Cañada (Madrid), Spain
- ¹⁴⁹ Czech Technical University, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Dep. of Radioelectronics, Technická 2 166 27 Praha, Czech Republic
- ¹⁵⁰ Astronomical Institute of the Academy of Sciences, Boční II 1401, CZ-14100 Praha 4, Czech Republic
- ¹⁵¹ Estación de Sondeos Atmosféricos (ESAt) de El Arenosillo (CEDEA-INTA), E-21130 Mazagón, Huelva, Spain
- ¹⁵² Departamento de Ingeniería Electrónica, Sistemas Informáticos y Automática, Universidad de Huelva, E.T.S.I. de La Rábida, E-21819 Palos de la Frontera (Huelva), Spain
- ¹⁵³ Instituto de Hortofruticultura Subtropical y Mediterránea La Mayora (IHSM/UMA-CSIC), E-29750 Algarrobo Costa (Málaga), Spain
- ¹⁵⁴ Department of Physics, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, New Zealand
- ¹⁵⁵ Vintage Lane Observatory, RD3, 7273 Blenheim, New Zealand
- ¹⁵⁶ National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Lauder, New Zealand
- ¹⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU), Suwon, Korea
- ¹⁵⁸ Yunnan Astronomical Observatory, CAS, Kunming 650011, Yunnan, China
- ¹⁵⁹ National Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China
- ¹⁶⁰ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 22800 Ensenada, Baja California, México
- ¹⁶¹ Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo Postal 70-264, Cd. Universitaria, 04510 México DF, México
- ¹⁶² Aryabhata Research Institute of Observational Sciences, Manora Peak, Nainital-263 002, India
- ¹⁶³ Escuela Politécnica Superior, Universidad de Cádiz, Avda. Ramón Puyol, E-11202 Algeciras (Cádiz), Spain
- ¹⁶⁴ División de Ciencias del Espacio, Instituto Nacional de Técnica Aeroespacial (INTA), E-28850 Torrejón de Ardoz (Madrid), Spain
- ¹⁶⁵ Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile
- ¹⁶⁶ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, UK
- ¹⁶⁷ Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, P. O. Box 500, Batavia, IL 60510, USA
- ¹⁶⁸ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Peyton Hall, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- ¹⁶⁹ CNRS, UMR 7095, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, F-75014, Paris, France
- ¹⁷⁰ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7095, Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, F-75014, Paris, France
- ¹⁷¹ Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁷² Carnegie Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara St., Pasadena, CA 91101, USA
- ¹⁷³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA
- ¹⁷⁴ Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics & Cosmology, P. O. Box 2450, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
- ¹⁷⁵ SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA
- ¹⁷⁶ Institute of Cosmology & Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 3FX, UK
- ¹⁷⁷ Institut de Ciències de l'Espai, IEEC-CSIC, Campus UAB, Carrer de Can Magrans, s/n, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain
- ¹⁷⁸ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Campus UAB, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain
- ¹⁷⁹ Astrophysical Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 251B Clippinger Lab, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA
- ¹⁸⁰ Laboratório Interinstitucional de e-Astronomia—LIneA, Rua Gal. José Cristino 77, Rio de Janeiro, RJ-20921-400, Brazil
- ¹⁸¹ Excellence Cluster Universe, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany
- ¹⁸² Faculty of Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Scheinerstr. 1, D-81679 Munich, Germany
- ¹⁸³ Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA
- ¹⁸⁴ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- ¹⁸⁵ Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois, 1002 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
- ¹⁸⁶ Department of Physics, University of Illinois, 1110 W. Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA
- ¹⁸⁷ University of Arizona, Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- ¹⁸⁸ Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Center for Particle & Gravitational Astrophysics, and Center for Theoretical & Observational Cosmology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

- ¹⁸⁹ CCS Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA
¹⁹⁰ Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
¹⁹¹ Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, 501 Campbell Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
¹⁹² Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
¹⁹³ National Center for Supercomputing Applications, 1205 West Clark St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA
¹⁹⁴ Center for Cosmology and Astro-Particle Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
¹⁹⁵ Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
¹⁹⁶ Departments of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
¹⁹⁷ Australian Astronomical Observatory, North Ryde, NSW 2113, Australia
¹⁹⁸ George P. and Cynthia Woods Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
¹⁹⁹ Departamento de Física Matemática, Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 66318, CEP 05314-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
²⁰⁰ Center for Cosmology and Particle Physics, New York University, 4 Washington Place, New York, NY 10003, USA
²⁰¹ Department of Astronomy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
²⁰² National Optical Astronomy Observatory, 950 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA
²⁰³ Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Pupin Hall, New York, NY, 10027, USA
²⁰⁴ Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
²⁰⁵ Institutió Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, E-08010 Barcelona, Spain
²⁰⁶ Department of Astronomy & Theoretical Astrophysics Center, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA
²⁰⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Pevensey Building, University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QH, UK
²⁰⁸ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
²⁰⁹ Brookhaven National Laboratory, Bldg 510, Upton, NY 11973, USA
²¹⁰ Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Lemont, IL 60439, USA
²¹¹ Jodrell Bank Center for Astrophysics, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK
²¹² Universities Space Research Association, 320 Sparkman Dr. Huntsville, AL 35806, USA
²¹³ Physics Dept, University of Alabama in Huntsville, 320 Sparkman Dr., Huntsville, AL 35899, USA
²¹⁴ Astrophysics Office, ZP12, NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812, USA
²¹⁵ Dept. of Space Science, University of Alabama in Huntsville, 320 Sparkman Dr., Huntsville, AL 35899, USA
²¹⁶ CSPAR, University of Alabama in Huntsville, 320 Sparkman Dr., Huntsville, AL 35899, USA
²¹⁷ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), P.O. Box 03004, E-18080 Granada, Spain
²¹⁸ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
²¹⁹ Jacobs Technology, Inc., Huntsville, AL, USA
²²⁰ Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstraße 1, D-85748, Garching, Germany
²²¹ Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM 87545, USA
²²² School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Stillorgan Road, Dublin 4, Ireland
²²³ NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA
²²⁴ Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 2, D-85748, Garching, Germany
²²⁵ Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron DESY, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany
²²⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Clemson University, Kinard Lab of Physics, Clemson, SC 29634-0978, USA
²²⁷ W. W. Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Department of Physics and SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
²²⁸ Department of Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
²²⁹ The Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, AlbaNova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
²³⁰ Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, Department of Physics and Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
²³¹ Department of Physics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, AlbaNova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
²³² Tokyo Metropolitan University, Department of Physics, Minami-osawa 1-1, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan
²³³ Università di Pisa and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa I-56127 Pisa, Italy
²³⁴ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
²³⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
²³⁶ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
²³⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia “G. Galilei”, Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
²³⁸ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
²³⁹ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
²⁴⁰ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
²⁴¹ Dipartimento di Fisica Generale “Amadeo Avogadro”, Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy
²⁴² NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA; Julie.E.McEnery@nasa.gov
²⁴³ Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, École polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Palaiseau, France
²⁴⁴ Department of Physics and Center for Space Sciences and Technology, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD 21250, USA
²⁴⁵ Center for Research and Exploration in Space Science and Technology (CREST) and NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
²⁴⁶ Consorzio Interuniversitario per la Fisica Spaziale (CIFS), I-10133 Torino, Italy
²⁴⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica “M. Merlin” dell’Università e del Politecnico di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy
²⁴⁸ INFN-Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica, I-20133 Milano, Italy
²⁴⁹ Agenzia Spaziale Italiana (ASI) Science Data Center, I-00133 Roma, Italy
²⁵⁰ College of Science, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, resident at Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375, USA
²⁵¹ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
²⁵² Laboratoire Univers et Particules de Montpellier, Université Montpellier, CNRS/IN2P3, Montpellier, France
²⁵³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, CA 94928-3609, USA
²⁵⁴ INFN Istituto di Radioastronomia, I-40129 Bologna, Italy
²⁵⁵ Dipartimento di Astronomia, Università di Bologna, I-40127 Bologna, Italy
²⁵⁶ Università Telematica Pegaso, Piazza Trieste e Trento, 48, I-80132 Napoli, Italy
²⁵⁷ Università di Udine, I-33100 Udine, Italy
²⁵⁸ Department of Physical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
²⁵⁹ Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, D-91058 Erlangen, Germany
²⁶⁰ Instituto de Astrofísica, Facultad de Física, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile
²⁶¹ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma “Tor Vergata”, I-00133 Roma, Italy

- ²⁶² Department of Physics and Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
- ²⁶³ Laboratoire AIM, CEA-IRFU/CNRS/Université Paris Diderot, Service d'Astrophysique, CEA Saclay, F-91191 Gif sur Yvette, France
- ²⁶⁴ Space Science Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375-5352, USA
- ²⁶⁵ Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik and Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- ²⁶⁶ University of North Florida, Department of Physics, 1 UNF Drive, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA
- ²⁶⁷ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
- ²⁶⁸ Science Institute, University of Iceland, IS-107 Reykjavik, Iceland
- ²⁶⁹ Institute of Space Sciences (IEEC-CSIC), Campus UAB, E-08193 Barcelona, Spain
- ²⁷⁰ Hiroshima Astrophysical Science Center, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
- ²⁷¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany
- ²⁷² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Denver, Denver, CO 80208, USA
- ²⁷³ Department of Physics, University of Johannesburg, PO Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa
- ²⁷⁴ Department of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China
- ²⁷⁵ The University of Hong Kong, Laboratory for Space Research, Hong Kong, China
- ²⁷⁶ NYCB Real-Time Computing Inc., Lattingtown, NY 11560-1025, USA
- ²⁷⁷ Department of Chemistry and Physics, Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, IN 46323-2094, USA
- ²⁷⁸ Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
- ²⁷⁹ Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, D-69029 Heidelberg, Germany
- ²⁸⁰ Institutíó Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain
- ²⁸¹ Department of Physics, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan
- ²⁸² INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via Frascati 33, I-00078 Monte Porzio Catone (RM), Italy
- ²⁸³ INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy
- ²⁸⁴ INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via E. Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy
- ²⁸⁵ INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, salita Moiriello 16, I-80131 Napoli, Italy
- ²⁸⁶ INAF - Istituto di Astrofisica Spaziale e Fisica Cosmica di Bologna, via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy
- ²⁸⁷ Scuola Normale Superiore, Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7, I-56126 Pisa, Italy
- ²⁸⁸ ASI-Science Data Center, via di Politecnico s.n.c., I-00133 Roma, Italy
- ²⁸⁹ Dip. di Fisica Ettore Pancini, University of Naples "Federico II", C.U. Monte Sant'Angelo, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
- ²⁹⁰ INAF—ORA—Osservatorio Astronomico di Cagliari, Via della Scienza n. 5, I-09047 Selargius (CA), Italy
- ²⁹¹ INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Torino, Strada Osservatorio 20, I-10025, Pino Torinese (To), Italy
- ²⁹² INAF-Institute for Space Astrophysics and Planetology, Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, I-00133 Rome, Italy
- ²⁹³ ISDC, Department of astronomy, University of Geneva, chemin d'Écogia, 16 CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland
- ²⁹⁴ DTU Space—National Space Institute Elektrovej—Building 327 DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby Denmark
- ²⁹⁵ Space Science Group, School of Physics, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland
- ²⁹⁶ European Space Astronomy Centre (ESA/ESAC), Science Operations Department, E-28691, Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain
- ²⁹⁷ APC, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Observatoire de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 10 rue Alice Domont et Léonie Duquet, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
- ²⁹⁸ INAF, IASF-Milano, via E. Bassini 15, I-20133 Milano, Italy
- ²⁹⁹ Université Toulouse; UPS-OMP; CNRS; IRAP; 9 Av. Roche, BP 44346, F-31028 Toulouse, France
- ³⁰⁰ François Arago Centre, APC, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Observatoire de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 10 rue Alice Domont et Léonie Duquet, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
- ³⁰¹ Cahill Center for Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ³⁰² Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel
- ³⁰³ Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ³⁰⁴ Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ³⁰⁵ Dark Cosmology Centre, Niels Bohr Institute, Juliane Maries Vej 30, Copenhagen Ø, DK-2100, Denmark
- ³⁰⁶ Department of Astronomy and the Oskar Klein Centre, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
- ³⁰⁷ Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company, Palo Alto, CA 94304
- ³⁰⁸ University of California, Berkeley, Space Sciences Laboratory, 7 Gauss Way, Berkeley, CA 94720-7450, USA
- ³⁰⁹ Ioffe Physical Technical Institute, Politekhnicheskaya 26, St. Petersburg, 194021, Russia
- ³¹⁰ Universities Space Research Association, 7178 Columbia Gateway Drive, Columbia, MD 21046 USA
- ³¹¹ Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan
- ³¹² Institute of Astronomy, Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-0015, Japan
- ³¹³ The Research Institute for Time Studies, Yamaguchi University, Yamaguchi, Yamaguchi 753-8511, Japan
- ³¹⁴ Hiroshima Astrophysical Science Center, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan
- ³¹⁵ Division of Theoretical Astronomy, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ³¹⁶ Department of Astronomy, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ³¹⁷ Okayama Astrophysical Observatory, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Asakuchi, Okayama 719-0232, Japan
- ³¹⁸ Physics Department, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
- ³¹⁹ Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool JMU, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK
- ³²⁰ Monash Centre for Astrophysics (MoCA), Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia
- ³²¹ School of Physics & Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia
- ³²² University of Nova Gorica, Vipavska 13, 5000 Nova Gorica, Slovenia
- ³²³ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ³²⁴ Department of Physics, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, UK
- ³²⁵ University of Warwick, Department of Physics, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
- ³²⁶ ASTRON, The Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Postbus 2, 7990 AA, Dwingeloo, The Netherlands
- ³²⁷ Astrophysics, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK
- ³²⁸ SRON Netherlands Institute for Space Research, Sorbonnelaan 2, 3584 CA Utrecht, the Netherlands
- ³²⁹ Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ³³⁰ Lomonosov Moscow State University, Sternberg Astronomical Institute, 13, Universitetskii prospekt, Moscow, 119234, Russia
- ³³¹ South African Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 9, 7935 Observatory, Cape Town, South Africa
- ³³² The Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Calle Via Lactea, s/n, E-38200 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ³³³ Applied Physics Institute, Irkutsk State University, 20, Gagarin blvd., Irkutsk, 664003, Russia
- ³³⁴ Kislovodsk Solar Station of the Main (Pulkovo) Observatory RAS, P.O.Box 45, ul. Gagarina 100, Kislovodsk, 357700, Russia
- ³³⁵ Blagoveshchensk State Pedagogical University, Lenin str., 104, Amur Region, Blagoveshchensk, 675000, Russia

- ³³⁶ Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8851, Japan
³³⁷ MAXI team, RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan
³³⁸ Department of Physics, Nihon University, 1-8-14 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan
³³⁹ JEM Mission Operations and Integration Center, Human Spaceflight Technology Directorate, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 2-1-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8505, Japan
³⁴⁰ Institute of Space and Astronautical Science (ISAS), Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Chuo, Sagami, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan
³⁴¹ Department of Earth and Space Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan
³⁴² University of California, Berkeley, Astronomy Dept., 501 Campbell Hall #3411, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
³⁴³ Eureka Scientific, Inc., 2452 Delmer Street Suite 100, Oakland, CA 94602, USA
³⁴⁴ Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
³⁴⁵ International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, Curtin University, Bentley, WA 6102, Australia
³⁴⁶ Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada
³⁴⁷ School of Chemical & Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand
³⁴⁸ Osservatorio di Radio Astronomia, Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Bologna, I-40123, Italy
³⁴⁹ Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queens University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK
³⁵⁰ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
³⁵¹ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
³⁵² Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
³⁵³ Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile
³⁵⁴ Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Andres Bello, Avda. Republica 252, Santiago, Chile
³⁵⁵ Institute of Astronomy, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK
³⁵⁶ Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS, and Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 98 bis Boulevard Arago, F-75014, Paris, France
³⁵⁷ European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile
³⁵⁸ Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Väiäläntie 20, FI-21500 Piikkiö, Finland
³⁵⁹ Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino El Observatorio 1515, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile
³⁶⁰ Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7585, LPNHE, F-75005, Paris, France
³⁶¹ CNRS, UMR 7585, Laboratoire de Physique Nucleaire et des Hautes Energies, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France
³⁶² Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, 6740 Cortona Dr., Suite 102, Goleta, CA 93117, USA
³⁶³ Department of Physics, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
³⁶⁴ Benozio Center for Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel
³⁶⁵ Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, 02-093 Warszawa, Poland
³⁶⁶ Center for Theoretical Physics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland
³⁶⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
³⁶⁸ Joint Space-Science Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
³⁶⁹ Los Alamos National Laboratory, B244, Los Alamos, NM, 87545, USA
³⁷⁰ L'Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie, CNRS UMR 5277/UPS, 14 avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France
³⁷¹ University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA
³⁷² Ludwig Maximilian Universität Munich, Faculty of Physics, Schellingstrasse 4, D-80799 Munich, Germany
³⁷³ Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics and Astronomy, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, 4242 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843, USA
³⁷⁴ Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, IATE, Laprida 854, Córdoba, Argentina
³⁷⁵ Instituto de Astrofísica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Av. Vicuña Mackenna 4860, Santiago, Chile
³⁷⁶ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, E-18008 Granada, Spain
³⁷⁷ Centre for Astrophysics and Cosmology, Science Institute, University of Iceland, 107 Reykjavik, Iceland
³⁷⁸ NASA Postdoctoral Program Fellow, USA
³⁷⁹ Hubble Fellow
³⁸⁰ Emeritus



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Localization and broadband follow-up of the gravitational-wave transient GW150914

Abbott, B. P.; Davies, G. S.; LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration

2016-07

American Astronomical Society

<http://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/11721>

Abbott, BP., et al., ((LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration and others). Localization and broadband follow-up of the gravitational-wave transient GW150914. The Astrophysical Journal Letters, Volume 826, Issue 1, (L13) (2016)