

Potential impacts of warming climate on future water resources and hydropower production in a glacierized catchment in Western Himalaya

Tejal S. Shirsat^(a), Anil V. Kulkarni^(a), Andrea Momblanch^(b), S. S. Randhawa^(c) and Ian P. Holman^(d)

(a) Divecha Centre for Climate Change, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

(b) Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, United Kingdom

(c) Himachal Pradesh Council for Science, Technology and Environment, Shimla, India



Contents



Study
Area



Methods



Model
Calibration



Results:
Water
Availability



Results:
Hydropower
Production



Conclusion



□ Study Area

Study Area: 3 MW Aleo-1 and 4.8 MW Aleo-2 Power projects near Manali

Meteorological station: Bhuntar


Precipitation Regime: Equal contribution of westerlies and Indian Summer Monsoon

Catchment area: 140.3 km²


Altitudinal Variation: 1806-6018 m

Glacier area: 10.9 km²

Mean annual SCA: 64%



□ Data and Methodology



Meteorological Station: Bhuntar

Satellite data:

ASTER DEM, MODIS SCA,
INSAT Cloud mask, Global land cover 30,
NASA SSE Wind speed and relative humidity at
2m,
Harmonized world soil database, RGI

Model Setup: Historical- 1984-2018

Future- 2041-2060, 2081-2100

Climate Projections:

Ensembles of 5 Global climate models in RCP4.5
and 8.5

INMCM4, CanESM2, IPSL-CM5A-LR, GFDL-CM3
and MIROC5

Water Evaluation and Planning (WEAP) model


www.weap21.org

Model calibration and validation

1. Glacier mass balance


Calibration
Mass loss WEAP
-12.2 mwe
Mass loss IAAR
-12.3 mwe

Validation
Mass loss WEAP
-11.4 mwe
Mass loss IAAR
-11.3 mwe




3. Measured discharge


NSEc= 0.84
NSEv= 0.82
PBIASc= -0.31
PBIASv= -1.13



2. MODIS Snow Cover Area





Results: Hydrological Regime



- Contribution of snow and glacier melt= **18-44%**
- Contribution:
Rainfall runoff (43%)
Snowmelt (28.3%)
Glacier melt (3.8%)
Baseflow (25%)
- Positive correlation of precipitation and streamflow
- Low flow years= high glacier melt

Results: Climate change scenarios



RCP 4.5	2041-2060	2081-2100
temperature change(°C)	1.2 - 2.9	1.6 - 3.8
precipitation change(%)	-10.8 - 36.3	-4.1 - 23.1

RCP 8.5	2041-2060	2081-2100
temperature change (°C)	1.7-3.5	4.2-7.6
precipitation change (%)	3.1-22.3	-10.3 - 56.3

Baseline for climate projections: 1986-2005




Results: Future Hydrological Change

Glaciers lose about 83-86% of the mass by 2050 and disappear entirely by 2090

MB in baseline: -0.88


MB in 2050 (RCP4.5): -1.22 to -1.55

MB in 2050 (RCP8.5): -1.24 to -1.62




Results: Present Hydropower Production

Aleo-1
Installed capacity: 3MW
Net Head: 290.4 m
Designed Discharge: 1.33 cumec




Annual Hydropower Generation:
26.54 GWh

Aleo-2
Installed capacity: 4.8 MW
Net Head: 36.3 m
Designed Discharge: 15.8 cumec



Annual Hydropower Generation:
18.83 GWh






Results: Future Hydropower Production

The total streamflow in the future has broad uncertainty in magnitude and direction

Differing sensitivity of the two power projects to climate change

Aleo-1 power production is unaffected by climate-change induced changes

Aleo-2 annual power production changes by 2 to 21% (RCP4.5) and -5 to 40% (RCP8.5) by the end of the century



❑ Conclusion

- While there is a steady temperature increase in all climate change scenarios, future precipitation is more uncertain
- Uncertainty in climate change projections is significant and should be accounted in robust decision making
- The simulated streamflow shows complex relationships between the hydrological response and the future climate with broad uncertainty in the magnitude and direction.
- A shift in the seasonality (increased power potential of low flows) is prominent in all the climate change scenarios
- Future hydropower generation will be influenced by both future runoff and plant design
- Climate-adaptive designs and planning of small hydropower projects in Himalaya to achieve energy security



Western Himalaya, 2017 (PC- Pradeep Srinivasalu)



Thank you for your interest!

Shirsat, T. S., Kulkarni, A. V., Momblanch, A., Randhawa, S. S., & Holman, I. P. (2021). Towards climate-adaptive development of small hydropower projects in Himalaya: A multi-model assessment in upper Beas basin. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 34, 100797.



Contact me:

 shirsat.tejal@gmail.com

 [@shirsat tejal](https://twitter.com/shirsat_tejal)

 [Tejal Shirsat](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Tejal-Shirsat)





DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Potential impacts of warming climate on future water resources and hydropower production in a glacierized catchment in Western Himalaya

Shirsat, Tejal; Kulkarni, Anil; Momblanch, Andrea; Randhawa, Surjeet Singh; Holman, Ian P.

2021-04-30

EGU: European Geophysical Union

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-11917>

Shirsat T, Kulkarni A, Momblanch A, et al., (2021) Potential impacts of warming climate on future water resources and hydropower production in a glacierized catchment in Western Himalaya. In: European Geophysical Union General Assembly 2021, 19-30 April 2021, Virtual Event