

Gas-Liquid Flow Regimes in Horizontal Annulus

Edem Nsefik Eyo, Liyun Lao*

Geo-Energy Engineering Centre, Energy and Power, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK.

ABSTRACT

Gas-liquid flows in annulus channels are frequently encountered in the underbalanced drilling operation when the gasified drilling fluid is used. Accurate characterization of two-phase flow regimes in such conduits is critically important in order to gain a better understanding of the flow behaviours in the channels, thus to ensure a successful drilling operation achieved. In this paper experimental studies regarding gas-liquid flows in a concentric and fully eccentric horizontal annulus were reported. The test section setups of the flow loop have a length of 10.8 m, with outer and inner pipe diameters of 0.0768 m and 0.060 m respectively. Air and water at atmospheric pressure constituted the gas and liquid phases and the range of gas and liquid superficial velocities investigated during this study was 0.14 – 24 m/s and 0.15 – 2.78 m/s respectively. Flow regimes observed in both annulus setups by high speed camera imaging were dispersed bubble, elongated bubble, slug, wavy slug, churn, wavy annular and annular. A detailed description of the flow regimes with different features are presented together with high quality images. The local liquid holdup time series together with its probability density function (PDF) are used to gain more insights about the characteristics of the observed flow regimes. Effects of the annulus eccentricity on the observed flow regimes are also investigated. It is found that the annulus eccentricity affects the shape and structure of the elongated bubble, wavy annular and annular flow regimes. It is also observed that the annulus eccentricity causes the transition from elongated bubble to dispersed bubble to take place at higher liquid superficial velocities. It is also found that in the fully eccentric annulus causes transitions between different flow regimes to occur at higher liquid and lower gas superficial velocities when compared with that of concentric ones. An improved flow regime map is proposed based on gas and liquid Froude numbers by integrating the test results from this study with over 1000 data points found in literature.

Keywords: Concentric, Fully eccentric, Two-phase flow, Annulus, Horizontal, Experimental

1 Introduction

Multiphase flow modelling relies on determination of the topology or distribution of the phases and the interface geometry which are not usually known beforehand. The accurate determination of the flow regimes in conduits is one of the major challenges facing two-phase flow modelling. Design parameters including pressure drop and heat transfer etc. depend on the gas phase distribution in conduits and without a knowledge of the flow regimes, these parameters would not be computed accurately (Yadigaroglu, et al., 2018).

Industrial operations abound in the chemical, nuclear and petroleum industries where the two-phase flow of gas and liquid is observed in annuli. Operations such as off-normal boiling of subcooled liquid coolants in the nuclear industry, double pipe heat exchangers for evaporation and condensation in the chemical industry as well as underbalanced drilling, well clean-up and production in the petroleum industry are typical examples.

For exploiting offshore marginal fields underbalanced drilling techniques are particularly important. During the underbalanced drilling operations, gas-liquid flow in vertical, inclined and horizontal annuli can be encountered. The annulus eccentricity could also be changing during these operations. Due to the importance of the two phase flow in annuli, many studies have been carried out for a few of decades in order to gain the knowledge regarding the flow behaviours in such channels. It was noted that as early as in 1980's, experimental studies on effect of flow obstruction geometry on pressure drop in horizontal air-water flow was undertaken by Salcudean et al. (1983). A pipe of 0.0254 m diameter having obstructions located centrally and peripherally. The influence of the degree of flow blockage and shape of flow obstructions on pressure drop was examined. No flow regimes were specified during their study.

Later Osamusali & Chang (1988) studied air-water two-phase flow regime transitions in horizontal annuli formed by placing central rods having different diameters concentrically in an acrylic tube of 0.0508 m. The inner to outer diameter ratios (D_i/D_o) studied in the work were 0.375, 0.5 and 0.675 (with hydraulic diameters $D_H = 0.0318, 0.0254$ and 0.0191 m, respectively) where D_H is hydraulic diameter. Flow regimes identified included stratified-smooth, stratified wavy, plug, slug and annular.

*Corresponding author. Present address: Cranfield University, College Road, Wharley End, Cranfield, Bedfordshire. MK43 0AL, UK. E-mail address: l.lao@cranfield.ac.uk

Lage et al.,(2000) undertook small scale experimental and theoretical studies of two-phase flow in horizontal and slightly deviated fully eccentric annuli. The annulus setup was 50 m long, having outer (D_o) and inner (D_i) diameters of 0.1016 and 0.0508 m respectively. Test fluids utilized were air-water and diesel-nitrogen mixtures. Flow regimes identified include dispersed bubble, stratified, intermittent and annular flows. However, their data points were too few and could not produce a complete view of flow regime maps.

For their part, Ekberg et al.,(1999) studied flow regimes in narrow horizontal annuli. Experiments were conducted in two different annuli with D_o and D_i of 0.00863 & 0.0066 m for the first annulus and 0.0352 & 0.03315 m for annulus two. Flow regimes observed were classified by the researchers as plug, slug, dispersed bubble, churn as well as other hybrid regimes. The annulus setups were small and not likely to be encountered in the oil and gas industry.

Zhou et al., (2004) performed extensive air-water experiments in a large scale annulus with D_o of 0.2124 m and D_i of 0.089 m. Observed flow regimes included stratified smooth, stratified wavy, slug, stratified to slug, slug to dispersed bubble and slug to annular. They also developed theoretical basis for flow regime transitions.

Omurlu, Metin and co-workers (Omurlu et al., 2006; Metin & Ozbayoglu, 2007) studied extensively two-phase flow through fully eccentric horizontal annulus. Their experimental setups consisted of different D_o (0.0932, 0.1143 m) and D_i (0.0488 and 0.05715 m). Flow regime identification was by visual means and limitations in their setup meant that mainly the intermittent flow regime was observed during their study. They proposed a mechanistic model based on representative diameter for flow regime determination in fully eccentric annulus.

Mendes et al., (2011) studied flow regimes in inclined gas-liquid flow in annular ducts. The annulus geometry made from borosilicate glass had a length of 10.5 m and D_o 0.111 m and D_i 0.075 m. Air-water flow regimes observed stratified smooth, stratified wavy, plug, slug, churn, bubble and dispersed bubble.

Later on, Osgouei and others (Osgouei & Ozbayoglu, 2010; Osgouei et al., 2012; 2013) presented studies on two-phase flow in a horizontal annulus having an eccentricity of 0.623. They presented flow regime determination techniques based on diagraphic discriminant analysis and suggested in a separate paper flow regime identification based on Artificial Neural Networks.

Gschneidner, (2013) undertook experimental studies in a concentric annulus with D_o of 0.0515 m and D_i of 0.0333. Air and water were the test fluids and flow regime identification was

95 achieved using video camera recordings. Observed flow regimes
 96 were limited to intermittent and bubble flow due to the small
 97 range of liquid and gas superficial velocities investigated.
 98 Recently, Nossen et al.,(2017) conducted an experimental
 99 study of two-phase flow in horizontal and inclined annuli. The
 100 annulus test section was concentric, having outer and inner
 101 diameters as 0.1016 and 0.0508 m respectively. Test fluids used
 102 were SF6 gas – water and SF6 gas – Exxsol D60 oil. Flow
 103 regimes observed during their studies were Slugs, large waves,
 104 very low frequency slugs and transitional as a very limited range
 105 of gas and liquid superficial velocities were investigated.
 106 In summary, a review of related literature points to the fact that
 107 the flow structure in the annulus setup is different from those
 108 observed in circular pipes due to flow obstruction by the central
 109 pipe. As a result, the characteristics of the flow regimes are
 110 different. There is a lack of detailed information on the flow
 111 regimes encountered in horizontal annuli, as most studies
 112 undertaken to characterize flow regimes were based on visual
 113 observation, which proved challenging due to the obstruction and
 114 deformation in vision caused by the unique geometries of the
 115 flow channel. Also, in spite of the fact that in some applications
 116 e.g. underbalanced drilling operations, annulus eccentricity is an
 117 issue for horizontal two-phase flow regimes and their transitions.
 118 However, based on available literature, not much attention has
 119 been paid to this although most issues relating to vertical annuli
 120 have mainly been resolved.
 121 To address these issues, this study presents detailed systematic
 122 experimental studies which have been conducted in fairly large
 123 (a) horizontal concentric and (b) fully eccentric annuli to
 124 characterize flow regimes and their transitions. High speed
 125 camera images and ring-type conductance probes designed for
 126 this study have been utilized to examine the flow regime features
 127 in horizontal annuli.

128 2. Experiments


129 2.1 Experimental Setup

130 The experimental study have been carried out in the Process
 131 Systems Engineering laboratory of the Cranfield University. A
 132 simplified schematic diagram of the flow loop is as shown in Fig.
 133 1(a). Air and water were the testing fluids with the air supplied to
 134 the flow loop using a screw compressor by AtlasCopco® model
 135 GA55 with a maximum discharge pressure of 7.5barg and free air
 136 maximum delivery capacity of 638 m³/hr. Two automated valves
 137 (namely VC301 & VC302) are used in regulating the air flow
 138 rate while measurement is undertaken by one of two Rosemount
 139 Mass Probar flow meters (FT302 and FT305) with accuracy of
 140 $\pm 1.4\%$. Air flow rates ranging between 0 – 150 Sm³/hr are

141 measured by FT302 while flow rates above 150Sm³/hr are
 142 measured by FT305. Water flow to the flow loop is provided
 143 from a water tank with a capacity of 2 m³ using a progressive
 144 cavity pump with maximum discharge pressure of 6 barg. The
 145 water flow is measured using an Endress & Hauser Promag 50
 146 electromagnetic flow meter ranging between 0 – 18 m³/hr, having
 147 an accuracy of $\pm 0.5\%$. A 2 m long stainless steel section
 148 preceding the annulus test section is allowed for mixing of both
 149 phases before it enters the annulus test section where a
 150 development length of 1 m is allowed before the first instrument
 151 is placed, and then flows through the entire annulus section.. The
 152 fluid mixture exit the annulus test section into a section of
 153 circular pipe before emptying into the water tank which is open
 154 to the atmosphere, where gas-liquid separation takes place.

155 The annulus test section is formed using two Polyvinyl
 156 Chloride (PVC) pipes with outer pipe diameter (Do) is 0.0768 m
 157 and inner pipe diameter (Di) is 0.060 m creating a hydraulic
 158 diameter of 0.0168 m. it is 10.8 m in length and the flow
 159 observation and measurement sections are placed 6 .01 m away
 160 from the point where the fluid mixture enters the annulus which
 161 gives a length of 357 pipe diameters. Two GE Druck static
 162 pressure transducers model PMP 1400, and a WIKA model A-10
 163 static pressure transducer ranging between 0-6 barg and accuracy
 164 of $\pm 0.25\%$ of full scale are used to obtain the static pressure in
 165 the test section. The fluid mixture temperature is measured by a
 166 J-type thermocouple with accuracy of $\pm 2.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. The eccentricity of
 167 the annulus is adjusted using 4 mm stainless steel pins fed
 168 through an eccentricity adjuster fabricated from PVC rods by
 169 moving the pins in or out depending on the eccentricity desired as
 170 depicted in Fig. 1(b). The pins are small enough to ensure that
 171 they don't interfere with the two-phase flow that goes through
 172 them. Liquid holdup measurement is done using two pairs of
 173 conductance probes designed for this study and this 430 mm long
 174 section made from perspex glass also serves as the imaging
 175 window.

176 The two pairs of ring-type conductance probes used for
 177 measuring the area-averaged liquid holdup were designed based
 178 on the recommendation of Fossa (1998) and flush mounted on the
 179 outer pipe of the annulus test section. The probes are designed
 180 such that the ratio of the electrode spacing D_e to the pipe
 181 diameter D is 0.34 resulting in electrode spacing of 26 mm. Two
 182 pairs of electrode were used each having a width of 6 mm and
 183 distance of 270 mm between each electrode pair. A desktop
 184 computer using a LabView® based system consisting of National
 185 Instruments (NI) connector board interface and connected to the
 186 instrumentation using coaxial cables is used for data acquisition.
 187 Flow regime images are captured using an OLYMPUS model i-
 188 SPEED 3 high speed camera at 1000 frames per second and
 189 adequate lighting is provided using Arrilite 800-W lights.



2.2 Experimental Procedure

2.2.1 Conductance Probes

The conductance probes employed for this study operate based on the principle that the two-phases employed as testing fluids have different electrical conductivities. Therefore the electrical impedance between two electrodes immersed in a multiphase fluid mixture is determined by the conductance and permittivity of these individual phases, the fraction of the phases, the flow regime observed and the configuration of the sensor. Calibration of the ring-type conductance probes was carried out offline based on the recommendations of Fan & Yan (2014) for stratified flow in concentric and fully eccentric annulus setups. Known volumes of liquid (water) were introduced into each annulus setup and the corresponding voltages were recorded. A high-level voltage output of 5 V was obtained when the annulus section was completely filled with water and a low-level voltage output of 0 V was observed when the annulus was empty (filled with air). The voltage output from each pair of conductance probe was normalized using maximum voltage value when the annulus was filled with water for each annulus setup, this produced a dimensionless value. A non-linear relationship was obtained between the liquid holdup and the voltages for each annulus setup and during experimental runs, the obtained voltages are plugged into the developed calibration curves and the liquid holdup was determined as such. The uncertainty of the conductance probe measurements are found to be within $\pm 2\%$ of indirect measurements.

2.2.2 Experimental Scheme

The annulus section eccentricity is adjusted as appropriate using the 4 mm stainless steel pins earlier described. During this study, two eccentric positions were investigated; (i) concentric and (ii) fully eccentric annulus setups as depicted in Fig. 2 Before any experimental program is commenced, offset values for the

flow meters and pressure transducers are determined from an empty test rig. The liquid flow rate is established using the pump control system which consists of manual valves, a variable speed controller and the water flow meter. The air flow rate at given values (in Sm^3/hr) is injected into the flow loop by using the Emerson DeltaV PID controller implemented in the air supply section. A five minute period is allowed for flow stabilization before data is logged for 180 seconds for each flow condition at a sampling frequency of 100 Hz. The high speed camera records videos at 1000fps for a total of 60 s. The range of gas and liquid superficial velocities investigated were 0.16 – 24 m/s and 0.15 – 2.78 m/s respectively. During each test run, the air standard volumetric flowrate is kept constant while the liquid flowrate is increased at intervals of 0.0005 m^3/s . This is repeated until the entire range of flowrates is covered. Visual observation and analysis of high speed camera recordings as well as statistical evaluation of area averaged liquid holdup values obtained using conductance probes for each test condition is undertaken and the flow regimes are identified.

3 Results & Discussion

3.1 Visual Characterization of Flow Regimes

Characterization of flow regimes is achieved using images obtained from high speed camera. This involved playing back the recording of flow for each test condition in slow motion, which enabled the visualization of the phase distribution in the annulus setup under study. Similar flow regimes were identified in both annulus setups with subtle differences in some cases. The flow regimes identified include dispersed bubble, elongated bubble, slug, wavy slug (classified for this study), churn, wavy annular and annular flow regimes. Limitations in the experimental setup means the stratified flow regime was not encountered.




Figure 2: Schematic of (a) Concentric annulus (b) Eccentric annulus.

For the presentation of flow regimes in forthcoming figures, the superficial gas velocities in the annulus were computed based on the measured pressure and temperature of the test section, the centre of which the flow regimes were also photographed as follows:

$$V_{sg} \left(\frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}} \right) = \frac{P_G Q_G T_M}{P_M T_G A} \quad (1)$$

Where P_G and T_G are the initial pressure and temperature of the gas phase (101325 Pa and 15°C) respectively, Q_G is the volumetric flowrate in (Sm^3/s), T_M and P_M are the temperature and pressure of the two-phase mixture measured at the test section and A is the cross sectional area of the annulus.

Typical photographic representations of the flow regimes obtained are presented in Figure 3 and a brief description of each regime is presented below:

3.1.1 Dispersed Bubble Flow: The dispersed bubble flow regime is observed at high liquid and low gas superficial velocities. Tiny gas bubbles are dispersed in a continuous liquid phase concentrated initial close to the top of the annulus cross section. The mechanism for the formation of this flow regime is the breakdown of gas plugs during the elongated bubble or slug flow regimes. Increasing the liquid superficial velocity results in a more even distribution of gas bubbles in the annulus section.

3.1.2 Elongated Bubble Flow: Also known as the limiting case of slug flow. It is encountered at very low gas and liquid superficial velocities. Characterized by alternating liquid body which fills the entire annulus cross section and gas plugs trapped at the top of the annulus. The shape of the gas plug observed

depends on the annulus eccentricity under study. No gas bubbles are entrained in the liquid body.

3.1.3 Slug Flow: This flow regime is similar to elongated bubble but occurs at higher gas superficial velocities. Initially preceded by a stratified smooth or wavy interface depending on the superficial gas velocity. The stratified system is followed by faster and shorter moving liquid body with higher energy intensity than those observed in elongated bubble flow. The liquid body wraps itself around the inner pipe of the annulus and contains some entrained gas bubbles flowing close to the top or centre of the annulus, due to a decrease in flow liquid holdup and increased turbulence.

3.1.4 Wavy Slug Flow: This flow regime was classified as such for this study and is similar to what was observed by Nossen et al.,(Nossen *et al.*, 2017) and classified as large wave flow. It is characterized by a long liquid film region during wave development, followed by a small liquid body which briefly bridges the top of the annulus, after which it seems to lose energy and is pushed away by a following wave. Some gas bubbles are entrained in the small liquid body at higher liquid superficial velocities. It has some of the features of slug flow but possesses its own unique feature to be classified as a flow regime.

3.1.5 Churn Flow: The churn flow regime is similar to the slug flow regime but is characterized by a chaotic liquid phase containing a large amount of entrained bubbles travelling with an

oscillatory motion. No clear boundaries can be observed for both phases. The mechanism of this regime is an increase in the gas void fraction resulting in the breakdown of the continuous nature of the liquid body that follows each successive Taylor bubble. This causes a collapse of the slug which falls back and is mingled with the one following. It has been confirmed in the past from experimental studies that the churn flow regime could not exist in horizontal or shallow inclined two-phase flow. In vertical upwards flows people use “churn flow” to describe an intermediate region between slug and annular flows in the flow regime map (Hewitt and Jayanti, 1993). Similar to this, churn flow observed in the annulus also sits between the slug and annular flow regimes.

3.1.6 Wavy Annular Flow: Occurring at low liquid and high gas superficial velocities, this flow regime is characterized by a continuous gas core with some entrained liquid in the annulus centre, a thin liquid film at the top of the annulus and a thicker film at the bottom with a wavy interface. The annulus eccentricity affects the observed film level and interface.

3.1.7 Annular Flow: At higher gas superficial velocities than for wavy annular flow the annular flow regime is encountered. A smooth liquid film is observed at the top and bottom of the annulus. The film at the bottom is thinner when compared with those of the wavy annular flow.




Figure 3: Representative flow regimes in horizontal concentric annulus

3.2 Flow Regime Characterization using Local Liquid Holdup Measurements

Further characterization of the flow regimes in horizontal annuli is undertaken using a combination of time series and Probability Density Function (PDF) plots of liquid holdup obtained from conductance probes. Conductance probe techniques have been employed in the past by researchers including Barnea et al.(1980), Kelessidis & Dukler (1989) and Das et al.(1999) for flow regime classification. The raw voltage

values obtained from conductance probes are converted to instantaneous liquid holdup by using obtained calibration curves for each annulus setup studied and plotted on a time series plot. Further statistical analysis of the liquid holdup plots were conducted to clearly identify each flow regime observed during gas-liquid flow in horizontal annuli and compared with visually observed flow regimes. Representative time varying liquid holdup and PDF plots are presented in Fig. 4 for horizontal concentric annulus.




Figure 4: Representative time series and PDF trends for flow regimes in horizontal concentric annulus

For the dispersed bubble flow regime, a steady uniform oscillation of the time varying liquid holdup around a value of 1, gives an indication of the presence of spherical bubbles dispersed

in a continuous liquid phase. The PDF plot is unimodal having its peak value at holdup value 0.97.

During the elongated bubble flow regime, the time varying liquid holdup plot is observed to fluctuate intermittently from a crest value of 0.7 to a crest value of 1. The higher crest value corresponds to full liquid filling the annulus section between the ring electrodes while the lower crest value indicates the passage of the elongated gas bubble through parts of the probe. The PDF feature of this flow regime indicates two peaks close to each other at high liquid holdup values.

Pulsating probe traces are observed for the slug flow regime, which indicates how the slug liquid body and Taylor bubbles alternate in the annulus section between the ring electrodes. A bimodal PDF trend is observed with two very distinct peaks. One peak exists within liquid holdup range between 0.30 – 0.50, while the second peak exists between ranges of 0.7 – 1.0.

The nature of the wavy slug is seen by the width and amplitude of the time varying liquid holdup plot at low holdup values. Small waves are represented by the zig zag spikes at low holdup values, while a peak value at higher holdup values signifies a small liquid body that just touches the annulus top. The PDF trend for this regime is unimodal in nature with its peak at low liquid holdup values, with the second peak observed in slug flow at higher liquid holdup values disappearing.

Churn flow is characterized by its rowdy nature and this is evident in the time varying liquid holdup plots, where random peaks and troughs are observed. The observed PDF trend is unimodal with peak at low holdup values similar to what was observed in wavy slug flow. However, the area under the curve is large cutting across a wide liquid holdup range. Also low but non-zero PDF values are observed at liquid holdup values up to 0.8.

Wavy annular flow shows a probe trend which reflects the effect of unstable aerated waves passing through it, with most of the liquid flowing at the bottom of the annulus as a film. A unimodal PDF plot located at low holdup values with peak value averagely around 0.25. It is distinguishable from the annular flow regime by its larger area under the curve and low PDF value.

Finally, small spikes are observed consistently about low holdup values during the annular flow regime when time varying instantaneous liquid holdup values are plotted. These spikes indicate a wavy interface and the film thickness is proportional to the mean liquid holdup value. A single PDF peak is obtained at low holdup values near 0. It has a smaller area under the curve when compared with the wavy annular flow regime but a higher PDF value.


These classifications are used in conjunction with high speed camera images to classify flow regimes accurately in horizontal annuli.

3.3 Effect of Annulus Eccentricity on Flow Regimes


As stated earlier, two different annulus setups were used for the experimental investigations presented in this study; (a) the concentric annulus and (b) the fully eccentric annulus. The fully eccentric annulus has the inner pipe in the configuration lying at the bottom of the outer pipe forming a geometry shaped like a half moon which is different from the concentric annulus geometry. A scenario where the annulus eccentricity may be changing can be encountered during underbalanced drilling with drill pipe (inner pipe) rotation as the well is being drilled. The annulus inner structure affects the distribution of phases and as such may influence some of the flow regimes observed.

At the low gas and lowest liquid superficial velocities (0.21 m/s and 0.15 m/s respectively) investigated during this study, the elongated bubble flow is observed in the concentric annulus while the slug flow regime is observed in the fully eccentric annulus. A larger flow area is available at the top of the annulus for the gas phase to occupy in the fully eccentric annulus when compared with a small annulus gap in the concentric annulus. Therefore at this low gas flow rate, the gas phase in the eccentric annulus has sufficient contact area and energy to sweep the liquid phase to bridge the annulus wall intermittently forming slug flow while the gas phase is trapped as an elongated gas bubble in the small concentric annulus gas at the top of the annulus because of


small gas flow area and low energy. Fig 5(a) and (b) show the high speed image and PDF trends for this flow condition in




(a)



(b)



(c)




(d)


Figure 5: Effect of annulus eccentricity on flow regimes ($V_{sl} = 0.15$ m/s; $V_{sg} = 0.21$ m/s) (a) Elongated bubble flow in concentric annulus (b) Elongated bubble flow PDF trend in concentric annulus (c) Slug flow in fully eccentric annulus (d) PDF trend for Slug flow in fully eccentric annulus.

The bubble shape observed in the elongated flow regime is also affected by the annulus eccentricity. Fig. 6(a) shows the bubble shape observed in the concentric annulus. It is shaped as a flatworm and is shorter in length when compared with the bubble shape in Fig 6(c) which is the bubble shape in fully eccentric annulus. The PDF trends for both annulus are shown in Fig. 6(b) and (d) for concentric and fully eccentric annulus respectively. The effect of the bubble shape is captured in this trend where for the concentric annulus, the tiny bubble shape and length is


reflected in the observed peaks at high liquid holdup values. On the other hand, the PDF trend for the elongated bubble flow regime in fully eccentric annulus captures the large bubble length and size which is reflected in the observed peaks high and lower liquid holdup values. Also, the highest peak in the concentric annulus has a higher PDF value when compared with that of the fully eccentric annulus. Generally, the slug flow region is largely unaffected by the annulus eccentricity and is the dominant flow regime in both annulus setups.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)


Figure 6: Typical elongated bubble flow ($V_{sl} = 0.83$ m/s; $V_{sg} = 0.21$ m/s) (a) concentric annulus (b) PDF trend for concentric annulus (c) fully eccentric annulus (d) PDF trend for fully eccentric annulus

The liquid film height observed in the wavy annular and annular flow regimes are also affected by annulus eccentricity. In Fig 7, typical annular flow regime images are presented for both the concentric annulus and the fully eccentric annulus. Fig 7(a) shows representative liquid film height in the concentric annulus during annular flow while Fig. 7(c) shows that for a fully eccentric annulus. A higher liquid film height is observed in the fully eccentric annulus when compared with the concentric annulus. This is because of the impact of the flow geometry in

the fully eccentric annulus. The inner pipe restricts the flow of the liquid phase at the bottom of the annulus resulting in a higher liquid film. This is captured by the PDF trends presented in Fig 7(b) and (d) for concentric and fully eccentric annulus respectively. A similar scenario is observed for the wavy annular flow regime. It is envisaged that the variation of the flow channel geometry due to eccentricity would affect phase separation of the flow, i.e. the larger the eccentricity, the easier the gas/liquid

482 separation. This in the end would influence the flow regimes
483 formed.

484 .




485
486 Figure 7: Typical annular flow regime ($V_{sl} = 0.15$ m/s; $V_{sg} = 16.29$ m/s) in (a) concentric annulus, (b) PDF trend for concentric annulus (c)
487 fully eccentric annulus (d) PDF trend for fully eccentric annulus

488 **3.4 Flow Regime Maps**

489 **3.4.1 Experimental Flow Regime Maps**

490 Flow regime maps were developed from experimentally
491 observed flow regimes for both annulus setup investigated for
492 this study. The coordinates were the superficial liquid and gas
493 velocities as ordinate and abscissa respectively. The effect of
494 annulus eccentricity on observed flow regime transitions was also
495 studied. As depicted in Fig. 8(a), at the lowest liquid and gas
496 superficial velocities investigated in the concentric annulus, the
497 elongated bubble flow is first encountered, Fig. 8(b) on the other
498 hand shows at in the fully eccentric annulus at the same
499 conditions, the slug flow regime is encountered. The slug flow
500 regime is the predominant flow regime in both annulus setup.
501 Similarly, the effect of annulus eccentricity on experimental flow
502 regime transition are presented in Fig.9. The flow regime
503 transitions were drawn arbitrarily and comparisons were made by
504 superimposing both flow regime transitions on one map. It can be

505 observed in Fig. 9 that the transition to elongated bubble and
506 dispersed bubble flows in the fully eccentric annulus, take place
507 at V_{sl} of 0.22 m/s and 1.56 m/s respectively, which is higher than
508 that at which the transition takes place in the concentric annulus
509 ($V_{sl} = 0.10$ m/s and 0.99 m/s respectively). This is due to the
510 geometry effect and the distribution of the phases in both annulus
511 setups. More area for gas phase to occupy in the fully eccentric
512 annulus means that the elongated bubble flow regime will occur
513 at higher liquid superficial velocities. For this same reason,
514 higher liquid flow rates are required to breakdown to large gas
515 plugs in this setup when compared with the concentric one.
516 Generally speaking, the transitions from elongated bubble to slug,
517 slug to wavy slug and wavy slug to annular flow regimes occur at
518 lower gas superficial velocities in the fully eccentric annulus
519 when compared with the concentric one. This shift appears to be
520 consistent with the observed effect of eccentricity on phase
521 separation.



522
523
524 Figure 8: Experimental flow regime map for (a) concentric annulus; (b) fully eccentric annulus




Figure 9: Effect of annulus eccentricity on flow regime transition.

3.4.2 Effect of Regime Transitions on PDF Features

The effect of gas and liquid superficial velocities on the flow regimes was also studied using PDF features as presented on Fig.10. The flow regimes are observed to transition with increasing gas and liquid superficial velocities from elongated bubble at the lowest gas superficial velocity to annular flow at the highest gas superficial velocity and this is reflected on the PDF plots. Also, the shape and structure of the PDF trends are affected due to increase in flowrates.

For example, the influence of gas superficial velocity increase can be observed at liquid superficial velocity of 0.27 m/s. The elongated bubble flow observed at gas superficial velocity of 0.21 m/s transitions to slug flow regime at V_{sg} towards 1.21 m/s due to an increase in the area occupied by the gas phase. This is observed in the liquid holdup time series plot where the average liquid holdup value is reduced as well as the length of time the liquid holdup is observed at very high liquid holdup values. Similarly, the PDF trend shows two distinct peaks with the higher peak observed at lower liquid holdup values showing the effect of the gas void fraction increase in the annulus. A further increase in V_{sg} to a high value (16.29 m/s) results in further increase in the gas void fraction which is reflected in the lower averaged liquid holdup values observed in the holdup time trace which has wavy spikes indicating the wavy nature of the flow. The PDF trend shows the disappearance of the peak observed at higher holdup values, rather a single peak is observed at very low holdup.

The effect of increase in liquid superficial velocities can also be observed in Fig. 10. An increase in V_{sl} from 0.27 toward 1.94 m/s at V_{sg} of 0.21 m/s results in an increase in the liquid holdup and corresponding reduction on the area occupied by the gas phase in the annulus setup. Large gas plugs are broken down to smaller gas bubbles reflected in the higher frequency of downward spikes noticed on the holdup time traces. The PDFs on the other hand shows with increase in V_{sl} , the gradual disappearance of the lower value peak observed in the elongated flow regime, leaving just a single peak at holdup value of around 0.95 for the dispersed bubble flow.

At V_{sg} from 1.21, increasing V_{sl} from 0.27 towards 1.94 m/s gives some understanding of the effect of increased liquid holdup on the slug flow regimes observed in the annulus configuration studied. Increased liquid holdup results in more frequent slug body being observed by the probes as shown by increased peaks

and troughs in the holdup time series plots. On the other hand, the PDFs show a more dominant second peak at higher holdup values at higher V_{sl} values with the peak at lower holdup values gradually diminishing in value.

During two-phase flow at V_{sg} of 16.29 m/s, increasing V_{sl} from 0.27 to 1.94 m/s showed the effect of increasing liquid flowrates in the annular flow region. The short spikes noticed at the lowest V_{sl} gradually become more frequent and occur at higher holdup values as observed on the holdup time series plot. This gives an indication of the increased wavy interface of the liquid film at the bottom of the annulus. With regards to the PDF trend, the area under the PDF curve increases with increase in V_{sl} and shift the single observed peak from near enough zero to higher holdup value up to 0.28. At V_{sl} of 1.94 m/s, the flow transitions to wavy annular flow is due to reduced energy of the gas phase.

Due to constraints in space, all the observable flow regime transitions are not presented in this article but it is worth mentioning that at other gas and liquid superficial velocities investigated during this study, transition to wavy slug and churn flow regimes were also encountered and the effect of flowrate increases affected the observed holdup time series and PDF trends for these regimes.

3.4.3 Comparison of Flow Regime Maps

The experimentally developed flow regime map in horizontal concentric annulus is compared with the flow regime map proposed by Osgouei et al.,(2010) for flow through horizontal concentric annulus as presented in Fig. 11. It can be observed the flow regime transitions from literature developed by Osgouei et al., (2010) is unable to predict the slug, churn and annular flow regimes accurately. The wavy annular flow regime is the only regime predicted fairly accurately by the map.




Figure 10: Effect of gas and liquid superficial velocities on Time series and PDF trends in horizontal concentric annulus




Figure 11: Comparison of flow regime maps in literature with concentric eccentric annulus flow regime map

3.5 Development of Flow Transition Parameters

The differences observed in the compared flow regime maps, as well as lack of studies which accurately characterize flow regimes and their transitions in horizontal annulus, shows the need to develop flow regime transition parameters. Exactly 1174 experimental data points integrated from literature and experiments are harnessed to develop this model. Data was obtained from studies by Sunthankar et al.,(2000), Zhou et al.,(2004), Omurlu et al.,(2006), Ozbayoglu & Omurlu (2007), Osgouei et al.,(2010), Mendes et al.,(2011), Gschnaidtner (2013), Nossen et al.,(2017) and integrated with experimental data from the fully eccentric annulus setup. The developed flow regime transitions in this study is based on different annulus eccentricities, hydraulic diameters, fluid properties as well as gas and liquid superficial velocity ranges. Most of the data is assumed to have been collected under ambient temperature and pressure as operating conditions were not reported in the studies. A flow regime map is proposed based on modified gas and liquid Froude numbers. The Froude number is an important dimensionless parameter for flow regime identification and gives an indication of the ratio of the inertial forces to the external field. The gas and liquid Froude numbers are defined as:

$$Fr_G = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_G V_{SG}}{(\rho_L - \rho_G) D_H g \cos \theta}} \quad (2)$$

$$Fr_L = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_L V_{SL}}{(\rho_L - \rho_G) D_H g \cos \theta}} \quad (3)$$

Where V_{SL} , V_{SG} , g , D_H are the liquid superficial velocity, gas superficial velocity, gravitational acceleration and hydraulic diameter respectively; while ρ_L , ρ_G are the liquid and gas densities respectively. Similar flow regimes were classified using different names in the studies from where the database utilized for the flow regime transition is gotten; and as such, for this study, certain flow regimes have been grouped under one broad heading for the purpose of developing the transition parameters. Churn, wavy slug, elongated bubble and slug flow regimes are classed under the intermittent flow, dispersed bubble, and bubble flow are classed as bubble flow, while wavy annular and annular flow regimes are grouped under annular flow.

The transition parameters are as follows:

Stratified Flow to Intermittent Flow

$$Fr_L \geq -0.078 \ln Fr_G + 0.129 ; \quad Fr_G \leq 0.025 \quad (4)$$

Stratified Flow to Annular Flow

$$Fr_L \geq -0.0247 \ln Fr_G - 0.2 ; \quad Fr_G < 0.04 \quad (5)$$

Intermittent to Bubble Flow

$$Fr_L \geq 4.115 e^{19.4 Fr_G} \text{ and } Fr_G < 0.04 \quad (6)$$

Intermittent to Annular Flow

$$Fr_L \geq -122.9 Fr_G^2 - 12.28 Fr_G + 10.7 \text{ and } Fr_G > 0.04 \quad (7)$$




Figure 12: Developed flow regime boundaries from database

Presented in Fig. 12 is the flow regime map developed from this study, which can be employed for both horizontal and eccentric annulus geometries. Flow regimes can be determined step by step based on the liquid and gas Froude numbers as illustrated in the flow chart shown in Fig. 13.

The developed parameters were tested against 109 data points from the concentric annulus of this study, predicting the flow regimes with an accuracy of 90%, 81% and 85% respectively for the Bubble, Intermittent and Annular flow regimes respectively. The breakdown of the performance of transition parameters on

the different flow regime data used to test are presented on Table 1. It should be noted that these parameters should be applied to annulus with hydraulic diameter less than 0.089 m. Further testing of the transition parameters could be carried out as more experimental data becomes available to confirm the accuracy of these parameters. Also these parameters may require modification if they are to be extended to liquid phase having viscosity above 0.22 kg/ms.

Table 1: Performance of transition parameters on test data set.

Flow Regimes	Number of data points	Accurately classified based on transition parameters	Misclassified based on transition parameters
Bubble Flow	10	9	1
Intermittent Flow	59	48	11
Annular Flow	40	34	6

4 Conclusion

Two-phase air-water flow regimes were characterized for horizontal annular conduits in this study. Experimental studies were carried out in a 10.8 m long annulus section with outer diameter of 0.0768 m and inner diameter of 0.060 m and two eccentricity positions (concentric and fully eccentric). The range of superficial velocities of air and water were 0.16 – 24 m/s and 0.15 – 2.78 m/s respectively. Observed flow regimes in both annulus setups were dispersed bubble, elongated bubble, slug, wavy slug, churn, wavy annular and annular flow regimes. The results of this study can be summarised as follows:

- (1) A new flow regime is classified in this study as wavy slug flow. It is characterized as such because it is observed to have different features than the conventionally observed slug or wavy flow regimes.
- (2) The annulus eccentricity is observed to affect the observed flow regimes at low air and lowest water superficial velocities investigated in this study; where elongated bubble flow is encountered in the concentric annulus and slug flow in the fully eccentric annulus
- (3) The bubble shape observed in the elongated bubble flow regime and the liquid film height during the wavy annular and annular flow regimes are affected by annulus eccentricity, visually observed difference were also confirmed from statistical PDF trends.
- (4) The flow regime transitions observed to take place at higher liquid superficial velocities and lower gas

Acknowledgment

Edem Nsefik Eyo wishes to acknowledge The Petroleum Technology Development Fund of Nigeria (PTDF) for funding this research.

References

Barnea, D., Shoham, O. and Taitel, Y. (1980) 'Flow pattern characterization in two phase flow by electrical conductance probe', *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 6(5), pp. 387–397. doi: 10.1016/0301-9322(80)90001-4.

- superficial velocities in the fully eccentric annulus when compared to the concentric one.
- (5) Flow regime transition criteria available in literature for two-phase flow in pipes and horizontal annulus could not accurately predict experimental data.
- (6) The developed flow regime transitions parameters covers a wider range of flow properties, fluid properties and conduit properties than other such attempts available in literature. Also the proposed flow regime map can be used successfully to determine two-phase flow regimes in horizontal annuli.




Figure 13: Flow chart for flow regime identification in horizontal annuli.

Das, G., Das, P. K., Purohit, N. K. and Mitra, a. K. (1999) 'Flow Pattern Transition During Gas Liquid Upflow Through Vertical Concentric Annuli—Part II: Mechanistic Models', *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 121(4), p. 902. doi: 10.1115/1.2823553.

Ekberg, N. P., Ghiaasiaan, S. M., Abdel-Khalik, S. I., Yoda, M. and Jeter, S. M. (1999) 'Gas - liquid two-phase flow in narrow horizontal annuli', *Nuclear Engineering and Design*, 192(1), pp. 59–80. doi: 10.1016/S0029-5493(99)00078-3.

Fan, S. and Yan, T. (2014) 'Two-phase air-water slug flow measurement in horizontal pipe using conductance probes and neural network', *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 63(2), pp. 456–466. doi: 10.1109/TIM.2013.2280485.

Fossa, M. (1998) 'Design and performance of a conductance

739 probe for measuring the liquid fraction in two-phase gas-liquid
740 flows', *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 9(2), pp. 103–
741 109. doi: 10.1016/S0955-5986(98)00011-9.

742 Gschnaidtner, T. (2013) *Two-Phase Flow (Air-Water)*
743 *Characteristics in Annulus*. Cranfield University, Bedfordshire
744 UK.

745 Hewitt, G.F. and Jayanti, S. (1993) 'To churn or not to churn',
746 *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 19(3) pp: 527-529.

747 Kelessidis, V. C. and Dukler, A. E. (1989) 'Modeling flow
748 pattern transitions for upward gas-liquid flow in vertical
749 concentric and eccentric annuli', *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 15(2),
750 pp. 173–191.

751 Lage, A. C. V. M., Rommetveit, R., Time, R. W. and College, S.
752 (2000) 'An Experimental and Theoretical Study of Two-Phase
753 Flow in Horizontal or Slightly Deviated Fully Eccentric Annuli'.
754 Mendes, F. A. ., Rodriguez, O. M. ., Estevam, V. and Lopes, D.
755 (2011) 'Flow Patterns in inclined gas-liquid annular duct flow',
756 *WIT Transactions on Engineering Sciences*, 70, pp. 271–283. doi:
757 10.2495/MPF110.

758 Metin, C. O. and Ozbayoglu, M. . (2007) 'Two-Phase Fluid Flow
759 through Fully Eccentric Horizontal Annuli: A Mechanistic
760 Approach', in *SPE/ICoTA Coiled Tubing and Well Intervention*
761 *Conference and Exhibition*. Texas, pp. 1–7.

762 Nossen, J., Liu, L., Skjaeraasen, O., Tutkun, M., Amundsen, J.
763 E., Sleipnaes, Popovici, N., Hald, K., Langsholt, M. and Ibarra,
764 R. (2017) 'An experimental study of two-phase in horizontal and
765 inclined annuli', in *HBR Cannes*. Cannes, France, pp. 87–102.

766 Omurlu, C. and Ozbayoglu, E. M. (2006) 'A New Mechanistic
767 Model For Two-Phase Flow Through Eccentric Horizontal
768 Annulus', *SPE Europe/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition*.
769 Vienna, Austria, (June), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.2523/100300-MS.

770 Osamusali, S. . and Chang, J. . (1988) 'Two phase flow regime
771 transition in a horizontal pipe and annulus flow under gas-liquid
772 two-phase flow', *ASME Fundamentals of Gas-Liquid Flows*,
773 FED-72, pp. 63–69.

774 Osgouei, R. E. and Ozbayoglu, E. M. (2010) 'Flow Pattern
775 Identification of Gas-Liquid Flow Through Horizontal Annular
776 Geometries', in *SPE Oil and Gas India Conference and*
777 *Exhibition*, pp. 1–9.

778 Osgouei, R. E., Ozbayoglu, M. ., Ozbayoglu, M. and Yuksel, E.
779 H. (2013) 'The Determination of Two Phase Liquid-Gas Flow
780 Behavior through Horizontal Eccentric Annular Geometry by
781 Modification of Beggs & Brill and Lockhart & Martinelli
782 Models', in *Proceedings of the ASME 2013 Fluids Engineering*
783 *Division Summer Meeting*. Nevada: ASME, pp. 1–9. Available
784 at: <http://proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org>.

785 Osgouei, R. E., Ozbayoglu, M. E. and Ozbayoglu, A. M. (2012)
786 'A Mechanistic Model to Characterize the Two Phase Drilling
787 Fluid Flow through Inclined Eccentric Annular Geometry', in
788 *SPE Oil and Gas India Conference and Exhibition*. Mumbai,
789 India.

790 Ozbayoglu, M. E. and Omurlu, C. (2007) 'Modelling of two-
791 phase flow through concentric annuli', *Petroleum Science and*
792 *Technology*, 25(8), pp. 1027–1040. doi:
793 10.1080/10916460600695132.

794 Salcudean, M., Groeneveld, D. and Leung, L. (1983) 'Effect of
795 Flow-Obstruction Geometry on Pressure Drops in Horizontal
796 Air-Water Flow', *Int. J. Multiphase Flow*, 9(1), pp. 73–85.

797 Sunthakar, A. A., Miska, S., Kuru, E. and Kamp, A. (2000)
798 'New Developments in Aerated Mud Hydraulics for Horizontal
799 Well Drilling', *SPE Journal*, 9(01), pp. 5–12. doi:
800 10.2118/87675-PA.

801 Yadigaroglu, G., Banerjee, S. and Hewitt, G. F. (2018)
802 *Introduction to Multiphase Flow*. Springer International
803 Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-58718-9.

804 Zhou, L., Ahmed, R. M., Miska, S. Z., Takach, N. E., Yu, M. and
805 Pickell, M. B. (2004) 'Experimental Study of Aerated Mud Flows
806 under Horizontal Borehole Conditions', *Proceedings of*
807 *SPE/ICoTA Coiled Tubing Conference and Exhibition*, (March),
808 pp. 23–24. doi: 10.2118/89531-MS.

809



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Gas-liquid flow regimes in horizontal annulus

Eyo, Edem Nsefik; Lao, Liyun

2018-12-22

Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2018.12.056>

Eyo E, Lao L. Gas-liquid flow regimes in horizontal annulus. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, Volume 175, April 2019, pp. 573-586