

Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms:

Current status and challenges

S. Eduok, B. Martin, R. Villa, A. Nocker, B. Jefferson, F. Coulon*

Department of Environmental Science and Technology, School of Applied Sciences,
Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK

*Corresponding author: Dr Frédéric Coulon, Cranfield University, Department of
Environmental Science and Technology, School of Applied Sciences, MK43 0AL, UK;
email: f.coulon@cranfield.ac.uk; Tel +44 (0)1234 754 981

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The use of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in a wide range of products is associated with an
17 increased concern for environmental safety due to their potential toxicological and adverse
18 effects. ENPs exert antimicrobial properties through different mechanisms such as the
19 formation of reactive oxygen species, disruption of physiological and metabolic processes.
20 Although there are little empirical evidences on environmental fate and transport of ENPs,
21 biosolids in wastewater most likely would be a sink for ENPs. However, there are still many
22 uncertainties in relation to ENPs impact on the biological processes during wastewater
23 treatment. This review provides an overview of the available data on the plausible effects of
24 ENPs on AS and AD processes, two key biologically relevant environments for
25 understanding ENPs-microbial interactions. It indicates that the impact of ENPs is not fully
26 understood and few evidences suggest that ENPs could augment microbial-mediated
27 processes such as AS and AD. Further to this, wastewater components can enhance or
28 attenuate ENPs effects. Meanwhile it is still difficult to determine effective doses and
29 establish toxicological guidelines, which is in part due to variable wastewater composition
30 and inadequacy of current analytical procedures. Challenges associated with toxicity
31 evaluation and data interpretation highlight areas in need for further research studies.

32

33 **Keywords:** Engineered nanoparticles, Wastewater, Microorganisms, Toxicity

34

1. Introduction

The diversity and utilisation of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in industrial processes and consumer product manufacturing is rapidly increasing. Meanwhile concerns on their potential adverse effects on microorganisms and the environment are gradually emerging and are not yet well understood (Pan et al. 2010; Woodrow Wilson Database, 2011). With the array of consumer products in the health and fitness sector, pharmaceuticals, food and textiles containing ENPs, it is already apparent that release of ENP-enabled waste into wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) will sharply increase (Benn and Westerhoff, 2008; Mueller and Nowack, 2008). Once in wastewater, it is assumed that ENPs will associate with organic matter in the sludge which would invariably act as a primary sink and source for waste containing aged ENPs (Brar et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2010). Likewise this scenario entails that the environment will be flooded with large quantities of nanowaste gradually released from wastewater discharge (Kaegi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012b). To date, our knowledge on the effects of ENPs on biologically mediated processes such as activated sludge (AS) and anaerobic digestion (AD) in WWTP is scarce. Any negative impact in turn would adversely affect the efficiency of the biological removal process in AS and biogas production during AD. On the other hand, toxicity might be mitigated by complexation of ENPs due to the presence of ligands or microbial transformation (Kim et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2010; Gondikas et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the overall effect and severity of the ENPs are difficult to predict at the moment given the lack of experimental evidence. The current paucity of information on the effects of the most widely used ENPs including titanium dioxide (TiO_2), silver oxide (Ag^0), zinc oxide (ZnO), copper oxide (CuO), gold (Au^0), fullerene (C_{60}) and nanofibres might explain the lack of regulatory guidelines on ENP application and release due to risk-based policy formulation approach of ‘no data, no regulation’ (EPA, 2007; Woodrow Wilson Centre, 2007; Weisner et al., 2006).

Clearly, there is a compelling need to characterise the fate, transport, behaviour and effects of ENPs in WWTP. The elucidation of any adverse effect on microbial species will certainly improve our understanding of the ENPs effects in WWTP and contribute to develop useful monitoring tool.

Here to shed more light on the current knowledge status, we present an overview of plausible effects of ENPs on AS and AD processes as two key biologically relevant environments for understanding ENPs-microbial interaction, the challenges associated with toxicity evaluation and data interpretation.

2. Fate and transport of ENPs

The novel physicochemistry of ENPs makes it essential to understand their fate when intentionally or accidentally released into wastewater to minimise adverse interactions with non-target and ecologically important organisms. Information on their mode of action, aging, interaction with other substances and biological systems in a complex matrix is rather limited though concerted effort is being made to determine the processes and properties governing their fate and transport. Once they are released from the consumer product into wastewater, it is suspected that their fate will vary and may include sorption to organic matter, biomass and/or extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), aggregation, reaction with other compounds or microbial conversion (Kiser et al., 2010; Weisner et al., 2009).

2.1. Influence of porous media and natural organic matter (NOM) on ENPs

The transport of ENPs with a particle size < 100 nm through porous media have been predicted to have high efficiencies of movement and attraction to surfaces influenced by Brownian diffusion (Dunphy Guszman et al., 2006; Locoanet and Wiesner, 2004) and affected by environmental conditions (Petosa et al., 2010). Although sorbed particles are

expected to remain attached to media surfaces, recent findings have demonstrated that retained ENPs of 8 nm in low ionic strength solutions were released from saturated porous media against the prediction of Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory. Further to this, a recent study conducted by Wang et al. (2012a) on the assessment of ENPs retention and transport of different sizes using mass concentration (mg/L) and particle number concentration (particles/mL) resulted in divergent conclusions. In the aqueous environment and soils, natural organic matter (NOM) plays a significant role in the transport and fate of ENPs due to the tendency for colloidal absorption and aggregation through hydrophobic reactions. However sorption to biosolids in a wastewater context might be hindered in the presence of surfactants in the effluent discharge (Hyung et al., 2007; Kiser et al., 2010).

2.2. ENPs uptake and bioaccumulation

Bioavailable ENPs can penetrate cells or attach to the cell wall (Figure 1). They are not known to biodegrade and microorganisms have demonstrated *in vitro* adaptation to shock doses and contact (Lara et al., 2010; Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). For instance, internalisation of ENPs and reductive deposition of palladium nanoparticles in the periplasmic space of *Shewanella oneidensis* indicates that ENPs presumably could bioaccumulate and may likely biomagnify along the food chain as illustrated in Figure 2 (De Windt et al., 2006). On the other hand, accumulation of nanosilver has been demonstrated as responsible of the resistance of *Pseudomonas stutzeri* AG259 to Ag⁰ toxicity (Klaus et al., 1999). Recently, concentration-dependent uptake and internalisation of TiO₂ and ZnO have been reported in *Salmonella typhimurium* and *E.coli* with induced mutagenic effect which may potentially be transferred to higher life forms (Kumar et al., 2011; Holbrook et al., 2008; Unrine et al., 2010).

3. Effects of ENPs on microorganisms

Effects ENPs on microorganisms are dependent on several factors including ENP physicochemistry, dose, contact time, type of organism, cultural conditions, and composition of growth medium which interact in synergy to damage or lyse the microbial cells (Aruguete and Hochella, 2010). Illustration of these effects on microbial cell wall within activated sludge processes is shown in Figure 1. Interestingly, stabilisers and capping agents have also been shown to exert differential effect on microorganisms (Drogat et al., 2010; Jaiswal et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2009). However, laboratory-controlled selective effect of size- and dose-dependent pristine ENPs as demonstrated by several authors does not suggest that the same size/concentration of ENPs in unaltered physicochemical state would be prevalent in wastewater to exert similar acute effect. For illustration purpose, the size-dependent inhibitory effect Ag^0 is presented in Figure 3. In addition, most ENPs are colloidal and microorganisms lack uptake mechanism for colloidal and complex particulate materials. Therefore ENPs are suspected to exert their toxic effect by solubilised ions that enter the cell by oxidative disruption of the cell membrane (Kloepfer et al., 2005). Also, ENPs generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as free radicals ($\text{OH}^\cdot$), singlet oxygen ($^1\text{O}^2$) and superoxides ($\text{O}_2^\cdot$) which exerts several adverse effects on microorganisms including disruption of cell wall, damage of DNA/RNA, lipid peroxidation, oxidative stress, inhibition of exopolysaccharide and biofilm formation (Pelletier et al., 2010). The mechanism of action attributed to release of ions from Ag^0 was demonstrated with *E.coli* and found to be dependent on concentration and contact time. Adverse effects included membrane leakage of sugars and proteins, enzyme inhibition, cell disruption, and scattered vesicles which slowly dissolve thus inhibiting cellular respiration and cell growth (Wen-Ru et al., 2010). Although most studies address adverse effects, exposure to ENPs have also been associated with growth enhancement and increase in microbial reaction rates by ENPs which act as

catalyst (Hilderbrand et al., 2008 and 2009). Prominent examples include (1) the temperature-dependent, anaerobic reduction of nitrate under batch conditions by integrated nanoscale zero-valent iron and microbial system (Shin and Cha, 2008), (2) the *Shewanella oneidensis*-palladium nanoparticle mediated dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners in sediment matrices (De Windt et al., 2006) and (3) the stimulatory effect on dehydrogenase enzyme of soil microorganisms (Cullen et al., 2011). Although the mechanism of action in ENPs stimulated processes is yet to be fully elucidated, these findings suggest that the use of ENPs in environmental processes could enhance intrinsic metabolic potentials of indigenous microbial species. Further compilation of evidence that ENPs can have positive effect on biological processes appears interesting and could potentially be employed to augment wastewater treatment under certain conditions.

4. ENPs – microbial interactions in WWTP

Wastewater contains diverse microorganisms with different surface charges and sorption potentials. Significant factors likely to determine ENPs-microbial interactions in wastewater include solubility, bioavailability and bioreactivity. A schematic overview of the ENPs-microbial interactions occurring in AS and AD is summarised in Figure 4. ENPs-microbial interactions are presumably reduced by aggregation which is likely to restrict the efficiency of cellular contact and thus reduces the bioavailable dose (Depledge, 2010; Grieger et al., 2010). For instance, fullerenes C₆₀ water suspension has been found to be toxic to pure cultures of *B.subtilis* (Lyon et al., 2006) and *E. coli* (Chae et al., 2009), while no observable negative effect was noticed on bacterial soil communities and cellular respiration due to the cultural conditions and soil NOM (Tong et al., 2007).

4.1. Interaction with AS microbial community

The removal of nitrogenous material from wastewater during AS treatment can be inhibited by nanosilver particles (Choi et al., 2010). The accumulation of ammonia in AS will have then a negative effect on the key syntrophic microbial groups involved in AD (Kayhanian, 1994). Although Ag^0 concentration reported in sewage ranged from 2 to 18 $\mu\text{g/L}$ (Blaser et al., 2008), it is not clear if this concentration could have a detrimental effect on microorganisms as a peak concentration of 750 $\mu\text{g Ag}^0/\text{L}$ in activated sludge after 12 h shock load had no observable inhibitory effect on organic removal rate by heterotrophic bacteria (Liang et al., 2010).

As close contact with the cell surface is assumed to be important for achieving an effect of ENPs on microbes, a differential impact might be expected for planktonic and biofilm-associated cells. Cells within a biofilm matrix are typically embedded in a coat of extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) restricting direct contact or lowering the effective dose of ENPs (Liu et al., 2007). In a recent study, NOMs and EPS have been found to hinder C_{60} -bacterial biomass interaction in AS (Kiser et al., 2010). ENP-microbial interaction may not be particle size-dependent alone and could vary in different cultural and environmental conditions especially when the organisms can synthesize EPS. On the other hand, interaction could be enhanced by proteins which promote disaggregation of ENP thus increasing bioavailability and contact (Karanjani et al., 2006).

4.2. Interaction with AD microbial community

As mentioned above, the two key syntrophic groups involved in anaerobic digestion process are acetogenic and methanogenic microorganisms. Both are affected by accumulation of free ammonia and fatty acids (Wagner et al., 2010). The performance of anaerobic digesters

amended with ENPs would be dependent on the resilience or susceptibility of archaeal cell wall to ENPs contact (Figure 4; Debabov, 2004). An adverse effect on any successive step of the anaerobic process will undoubtedly reduce reaction rate with increased accumulation of toxic metabolic products that may constitute a limiting step in the process. To date, not much information on the interaction of ENPs-microbial community during AS and AD is available (Kim et al., 2010).

4.3. Influence of ENPs particle size and shape

Particle size and shape are known to affect ENPs interaction in aquatic and terrestrial media (Ge et al., 2011; Pelletier et al., 2010). For instance, nanosilver particles < 30 nm were cytotoxic to *E. coli* and *S. aureus* (Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). This suggests that nanosilver particles greater than 30 nm could be non-inhibitory to microbial processes. Of particular interest is the interaction of nanosilver particles less than 5 nm in suspension capable of inhibiting nitrification in AS (Choi et al., 2010). Meanwhile the truncated triangular form of nanosilver particles which can also be spherical or rod-shaped form, was found to exert the strongest bactericidal effect on *E. coli* in both agar plate and broth cultures (Pal et al., 2007). However, caution should be taken when extrapolating these results from pure culture to complex microbial communities in wastewater.

4.4. Influence of ions released by ENPs on bacterial cell

The effect of ions released due to the presence of ENPs in wastewater on the microbial flora is not evident due to their low concentrations and complexation reactions with organic molecules (Fabrega et al., 2009; Zhang and Chen, 2009; Gondikas et al., 2012). Bactericidal properties of nanosilver through the release of ions (Ag^+) are dependent on the hardness and alkalinity of the medium and bacterial cell wall composition (Jin et al., 2009). For instance,

teichoic acid contained in Gram-positive bacterial cell wall is negatively charged and serves as a binding site (Kikuchi et al., 1997). Binding of ENPs to teichoic acid residues is in competition with divalent cations (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+}) resulting in reduction of Ag^0 toxicity to Gram-positive organisms in the presence of divalent cations. In Gram-negative cell walls, in contrast, it is the lipopolysaccharides that restrict passage of toxic substances into the cell although the presence of divalent cations reportedly exacerbates bactericidal effect of Ag^0 especially in bicarbonate deficient medium (Kucerka et al., 2008).

Apart from a mitigating effect of divalent cations, sorption to cells is in addition reduced by electrostatic repulsion (Yamanaka et al., 2005). The repulsion is however overcome to some extent by ion bridges forming between negatively charged lipopolysaccharide surface molecules and negatively charged Ag^0 . The distinct effect of divalent cations on ENP sorption efficiency is schematically shown in Figure 5. Sorption may result in conformational change of cell wall and uptake of ENPs (Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004; Morones et al., 2005). Again, comparative studies have shown that C_{60} are more firmly associated with *E. coli* than *B. subtilis* suggesting differences in sorption potential due to surface charges (Lyon et al., 2005). Similarly, sorption of a regular spatial pattern of Ag^0 on the surface of HIV-1 viruses suggests preferential binding to the viral glycoprotein spikes (Elechiguerra et al., 2005). However, there is not yet a common consensus on the interaction between bacterial cell wall and its influence on ENP effect. For instance, independent studies by Chudasama et al., (2010) and Jin et al., (2009) are of divergent opinion on the role of cell wall in reducing/enhancing ENPs-microbial interactions.

5. Challenges in the evaluation of ENPs-microbial interactions

Currently, there is no generally accepted protocol for ecotoxicity tests and exposure characteristics of ENPs. A number of tests suggested for consideration in addition to the

manufacturer's characterisation for ENPs, includes measurements of concentration, surface area, zeta potential, primary particle size before dosing, impurities (if any), pre-treatment and analytical procedure, presence of NOMs, and divalent ions in test medium (Depledge, 2010; Grieger et al., 2010). Again, multiple interlinked transformation processes typically found in wastewater and environmental matrices such as the presence of sulfhydryl-containing ligands could alter reactivity and bioavailability of ENPs and give a false-positive acute or chronic toxicity assessment (Gondikas et al., 2012).

5.1. Determining ENPs inhibitory end-points

ENPs are greatly influenced by their physicochemistry which in turn may affect standard test methods, octanol-water partition coefficients and bioaccumulation potential (Handy et al, 2008). Thus, the measurable chemical endpoints such as lethal concentration (LC), lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC), inhibitory concentration (IC), effective concentration (EC) or no observed effect concentration (NOEC) may be inadequate to evaluate the effect of ENPs on microorganisms (Crane et al., 2008). The estimation of 'safe' or 'no-effect concentration' of toxicant to microbes is usually extrapolated from quantitative measurement of cellular dysfunction. Determination of the end-points (NOEC, LOEC, LC, IC, EC) of toxicant concentration-microbial response using Point estimation technique or Hypothesis testing could be subjective, biased and misleading because concentrations below the limits of detection can exert biologically significant effect (Crane and Newman, 2000; Warne and van Dam, 2008).

Again, it is not clear if there is any relationship between ENPs physicochemistry and any of the biological end-points as particle size and surface area effects are not considered in most toxicological methods (Farre et al., 2009; Weisner et al., 2009). Apparently, LC, IC and EC values are estimates of toxicant adverse effect on test organisms, and it would be appropriate

260 to determine 'safe' toxicant concentrations from a microbiological perspective rather than
261 statistical tests. This presents a difficult task for microbiologists to determine the impact level
262 that would be considered 'safe' for microbial activities in wastewater with variable
263 composition. The problem is exacerbated if the toxicant undergoes transformation or reacts
264 synergistically with other substances which could either enhance or attenuate the adverse
265 effect. Similarly, the concept of hormesis, a biphasic response characterised by low-dose
266 stimulation and high-dose inhibition has been generally overlooked in toxicological studies
267 while it could play a significant role in determining the effect of ENPs on microbial cells in
268 AS and AD processes (Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003; Cook and Calabrese, 2006).
269 Also, it is evident that the limited available EC data from research may not be comparable
270 with predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) for a significant ENP toxicity assessment
271 (Hund-Rinke and Simon, 2006; Tiede et al., 2009). The EU Directive on classification,
272 packaging and labelling of dangerous substances (Council Directive 67/548/EEC) indicates
273 that substance concentration ranging between 10–100 mg/L without susceptibility to
274 biodegradation should be classified as harmful to aquatic organisms and may cause long term
275 adverse effect in the aquatic environment. The challenge is exacerbated due to a lack of
276 methods of ENPs characterisation (Tiede et al., 2009). Understanding ENPs behaviour and
277 their potential toxicity to microorganisms in the presence of variable wastewater
278 characteristics could be dependent on the nature, size and pre-treatment of ENPs (Brar et al.,
279 2010). As a consequence of these uncertainties, models such as Mass balance partitioning
280 (Mueller and Nowack, 2008) and Risk-ranking (Linkov et al., 2007) which may be used to
281 predict ENPs effect are not performing very well. However, Bayesian belief network can
282 satisfactorily predict uncertainties in ENPs toxic effect estimations (Borsuk et al., 2004). The
283 model provides a graphically robust and coherent framework for probabilistic evaluation of
284 the relationship between complex variables. It delineates cause and effect assumptions with

complex causal chain linking actions to outcomes integrated into conditional relationships. Besides, each relationship can be independently assessed without significantly obscuring any variable unlike other models (Borsuk et al., 2004). An effective interpretation of ENPs toxicity therefore would include an ENPs characterisation and a model comparing *in vitro*, *in vivo*, acute and chronic, predictive and validated bioassay data with those from relevant environmentally aged-ENPs (Puzyn et al., 2011).

5.2. Lack of standards and guidance for ENPs toxicity evaluation

The lack of standardised guidance on dose metrics for ENP assessment exacerbates the uncertainty in toxicity data interpretation. For instance, documented *in vitro* minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for Ag⁰ ranged from < 1 to 433 µg/mL for a variety of organisms under different cultural conditions (Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010). Besides the cytotoxic and mutagenic effects of different metal oxide ENPs (100 – 1600 µg/plate) on *E. coli* WP2 and *S. typhimurium* TA97 and TA100 showed a wide range of dose-dependent patterns (Warheit et al., 2008; Pan et al., 2010).

The assessment of toxicity is closely related to the determination of bioavailable dose (Crane et al., 2008), therefore the interpretation of ENPs dose-contact may be problematic. Tests with some metals demonstrated that short-term batch assays did not provide a true reflection of toxicity probably due to kinetics of internalisation, dosimetry and exposure (Liang et al., 2010). Again, there could be bias in evaluation of data from a continuous flow and batch systems due to different hydraulic loading rate (HLR), hydraulic retention time (HRT) and sludge retention time (SRT) which may increase or reduce ENP-microbial contact in wastewater (Wei et al., 2008). Furthermore, reliance on existing regulations and guidelines for metal salts to evaluate ENPs release from wastewater without appropriate ecological

studies may constitute a risk because of ENPs bioreactivity (Farre et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012b; SCENIHR, 2007).

5.3. Uncertainties in determining ENP dosage and microbial contact

The determination of effective ENP concentration available for contact with microorganisms in wastewater currently is a challenge, and can greatly deviate from the overall ENP concentration. The implication is that most concentrations may not be effective for contact and toxicity assessment (French et al., 2009; El Badawy et al., 2010). ENPs homogeneity has a strong influence on toxicity and the doses for toxicological tests are difficult to ascertain especially when the sample containing ENPs are not monodispersed (Crane et al., 2008). In addition, some ENPs are good absorbents due to their special structure and electronic properties, and could precipitate resulting in reduced bioavailability (Oberdorster et al., 2006; Nowack and Bucheli, 2007).

5.4. Influence of pre-treatment on ENPs dosage is uncertain

Analytical procedures and pre-treatment of the samples (e.g. drying for electron microscopy, autoclaving for sterility) in most cases would appear controversial due to the perceived alteration of ENP physicochemistry with subsequent influence on the experimental result (Tiede et al., 2009). In the same way, the use of dispersants or filters may denature ENP characteristics resulting in size/shape variation or change their final concentration (Handy et al., 2008). Again, toxicity could either be enhanced or minimised due to dispersant-ENPs interactions (Crane et al., 2008) with the possibility of re-aggregation and changes in ENPs chemical nature with sonication or prolonged stirring (Hasselov et al., 2008).

5.5. Inadequacy of available toxicity bioassay

The different ENPs would require formulation of specific toxicity standards based on factors such as dose, shape/size-, elemental composition/functionalization-response to assess their impacts on biological systems (Harper et al., 2011). The use of standardised ecotoxicological tests involving respirometry, *Daphnia magna*, bioluminescent and anaerobic toxicity tests have been suggested especially when there is paucity of information on the substance (Gutierrez et al., 2002; Choi et al., 2008; Garcia et al., 2011). In comparison with 50 standardised microscale tests, Microtox® assay has been highly rated as a useful tool with ‘environmental relevance’ for toxicity testing (Ghirardini et al., 2009; Munkittrick and Power, 1989). Microtox assay is based on the exposure of the bacterium *V.fischeri* with subsequent measurement of bioluminescence. The use of this assay is however controversial because this marine species is not a representative member of sludge and requires 2% NaCl. Such osmotic conditions obviously vary substantially from those in activated sludge suggesting that extrapolation of the resulting data to a wastewater context has to be interpreted with caution (Gutierrez et al., 2002). Further to this, Ghirardini et al. (2009) and references therein generally agree on the ecological relevance and reliability of the Microtox® solid phase test due to its representativeness on diverse metabolic ability of microorganisms. However they also highlighted the importance of confounding factors such as bacterial absorption, pH variation due to dilution, particle interference, redox potential which greatly influenced Microtox response to conditions tested. Therefore relying only on one bioassay test is not sufficient and it can be inferred that an adequate evaluation of ENP toxic effect on AS or AD microorganisms would require the use of multiple bioassays employing species typically found in wastewater such as *E. coli*, ammonia- and nitrite-oxidising species and methanogens for comparative ENPs toxicity evaluation.

5.6. Interpretation of ENP toxic effects

ENPs effects on the cells are not fully understood and it is difficult to define a cellular target as a basis for toxicity measurement. The problem is made convoluted due to the alteration in ENPs reactivity as a consequence of aggregation (Hasselov et al., 2008). To date, the scarcity of this information makes it difficult to ascertain the safety or otherwise of ENPs released into the environment (Grieger et al., 2010). In addition, there are no standardised or reliable methods to determine and make definitive judgement on environmentally effective ENP concentration and complexation/aggregation reactions of ENPs (Nowack and Bucheli, 2007). Until recently, aggregation was not important in the determination of ENPs toxicity (Hasselov et al., 2008). As a consequence, the effect of an ENP is rather determined based on the interpretation and judgement of individual researchers on the observable acute response of the test organism.

Conclusion

The inclusion of ENPs in consumer products has undoubtedly introduced a new and expanding group of xenobiotic compounds into the ecosystem. ENPs and their transformation products react differently from naturally occurring substances which hinder microbial utilisation/degradation with increased potential for accumulation and toxicity. The non-degradability and the resulting potential for bioaccumulation render ENPs as pollutant of more serious concern compared with other persistent organic pollutants. The lack of knowledge about their ecotoxicological impact and the non-existence of adequate analytical methods, guidelines and regulations add more uncertainty. We report available information on ENPs-microbial interactions restricted to varying acute effect of pristine forms, whereas aged-ENPs and wastewater microbial interaction is at best hypothetical with confounding variables. Thus, insights on ENPs effects on wastewater microbial community will require a case-by-case evaluation for understanding ENPs behaviour and environment-friendly

management of nanowaste. This review demonstrates the urgent need for further empirical evidences on the effects of pristine and aged-ENPs on wastewater microorganisms in AS and AD processes. This knowledge gap is in great part caused by the lack of appropriate analytical tools and framework to elucidate factors that positively enhance or attenuate ENPs effects. Thus, a realistic correlation in data interpretation from available acute toxicity test without comparison with data from relevant environmental media could be subjective, with uncertainties and bias. Future research needs would include development of relevant analytical technique for ENPs characterisation in complex environment. Correspondingly, experimental data from a pilot- and full-scale study as a relevant environmental condition and impact analysis of aged-ENPs in AS and AD would be greatly beneficial and provide comparative empirical evidence on the toxicological implications of ENPs on microbial community dynamics during wastewater treatment.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the transatlantic initiative on nanoparticles and the environment (TINE) project co-funded by USEPA and NERC, and the Commonwealth Scholarships.

References

- Aruguete, D.M., Hochella, M.F., 2010. Bacteria-nanoparticle interactions and their environmental implications. *Environ. Chem.* 7, 3-9.
- Benn, T.M., Westerhoff, P., 2008. Nanoparticle silver released into water from commercially available sock fabrics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42(11), 4133-4139.

407 Blaser, S.A., Scheringer, M., MacLeod, M., Hungerbühler, K., 2008. Estimation of
 408 cumulative aquatic exposure and risk due to silver: contribution of nano-functionalized
 409 plastics and textiles. *Sci. Tot. Environ.* 390(2-3), 396-409.

410 Borsuk, M., Stow, C., Reckhow, K.A., 2004. Bayesian network of eutrophication models for
 411 synthesis, prediction, and uncertainty analysis. *Ecol. Modll.* 173, 219-239.

412 Brar, S.K., Verma, M., Tyagi, R.D., Surampalli, R.Y., 2010. Engineered nanoparticles in
 413 wastewater and wastewater sludge - Evidence and impacts. *Waste Management.* 30(3),
 414 504-520.

415 Calabrese, E.J., Baldwin, L.A., 2003. Hormesis: The dose-response revolution. *Annu. Rev.*
 416 *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 43, 175-179.

417 Chae, S.R., Wang, S., Hendren, Z., Weisner, M.R., Watanabe, Y., Gunsch, C.K., 2009.
 418 Effects of fullerene nanoparticles on *Escherichia coli* K12 respiratory activity in aqueous
 419 suspension and potential use for membrane biofouling control. *J. Membr. Sci.* 329(1-2),
 420 68-74.

421 Choi, O., Deng, K.K., Kim, N.-J., Ross Jr, L., Surampalli, R.Y., Hu, Z., 2008. The inhibitory
 422 effect of silver nanoparticles, silver ions, and silver chloride colloids on microbial
 423 growth. *Water Res.* 42 (12), 3066-3074.

424 Choi, O., Yu, C.-P., Fernandez, E., Hu, Z., 2010. Interaction of nanosilver with *Escherichia*
 425 *coli* cells in planktonic biofilms cultures. *Water Res.* 44, 6095-6103.

426 Christian, P., Von der Kammer, F., Baalousha, M., Hofmann, T.H., 2008. Nanoparticles:
 427 structure, properties, preparation and behaviour in environmental media. *Environ.*
 428 *Toxicol. Chem.* 17, 326 – 343.

429 Chudasama, B., Vala, A.K., Andhariya, N., Mehta, R.V., Upadhyay, R.V., 2010. Highly
 430 bacterial resistant silver: synthesis and antibacterial activities. *J. Nanopart Res* 12, 1677-
 431 1685.

432 Cook, R., Calabrese, E.J., 2006. The importance of hormesis to public health. Environ.
 433 Health Perspect. 114,1631-1635.

434 Council Directive 67/548/EEC: COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 67/548/EEC of 27 June 1967 on the
 435 approximation of laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the
 436 classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances.

437 Crane, M., Handy, R. D., Garrod, J., Owen, R., 2008. Ecotoxicity test methods and
 438 environmental hazard assessment for engineered nanoparticles. Ecotoxicology 17(5),
 439 421-437.

440 Crane, M., Newman, M.C., 2000. What level of effect is a no observed effect? Environ.
 441 Toxicol. Chem. 19(2), 516-519

442 Cullen, L.G., Tilson, E.L., Mitchell, G.R., Collins, C.D., Shaw, L.J., 2011. Assessing the
 443 impact of nano- and micro-scale zerovalent iron particles on soil microbial activities:
 444 Particle reactivity interferes with assay conditions and interpretation of genuine
 445 microbial effects. Chemosphere. 82,1675-1682

446 De Windt, W., Boon, N., Van den Bulcke, J., Rubberecht, L., Prata, F., Mast, J., Hennebel,
 447 T., Verstraete, W., 2006. Biological control of the size and reactivity of catalytic Pd(0)
 448 produced by *Shewanella oneidensis*. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 90(4), 377-389.

449 Debabov, V.G., 2004. Bacterial and Archaeal S-layers as a subject of nanobiotechnology.
 450 Molecular Biology 38(4), 482-493.

451 Depledge, M.H., Pleasant, L.J., Lawton, J.H., 2010. Nanomaterials and the environment: The
 452 views of the Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution (UK). Environ. Toxicol.
 453 Chem. 29(1), 1-4.

454 Drogat, N., Granet, R., Sol, V., Memmi, A., Saad, N., Koerkamp, C.K., Bressollier, P.,
 455 Krausz, P., 2010. Antimicrobial silver nanoparticles generated on cellulose nanocrystals.
 456 J. Nanopart Res. 13(4), 1557-1562.

457 Dunphy Guzman, K.A., Finnegan, M.P., Banfield, J.F. 2006. Influence of surface potential on
 458 aggregation and transport of titania nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40:7688-7693
 459 El Badawy, A.M., Luxton, T.P., Silva, R.G., Scheckel, K.G., Suidan, M.T., Tolaymat, T.M.,
 460 2010. Impact of environmental conditions (pH, ionic strength, and electrolyte type) on
 461 the surface charge and aggregation of silver nanoparticles suspensions. *Environ. Sci.*
 462 *Technol.* 44(4),1260-1266.
 463 Elechiguerra, J.L., Burt, J.L., Morones, J.R., Camacho-Bragado, A., Gao, X., Lara, H.H.,
 464 Yacaman, M.J., 2005. Interaction of silver nanoparticles with HIV-1. *J. Nanobiotechnol.*
 465 3, 6.
 466 Environmental Protection Agency, EPA, 2007. Nanotechnology white paper. US
 467 Environmental Protection Agency Report, EPA 100/B-07/001, Washington.
 468 Fabrega, J., Fawcett, S. R., Renshaw, J.C., Lead, J.R., 2009. Silver nanoparticle impact on
 469 bacterial growth: Effect of pH, concentration, and organic matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol*
 470 43(19), 7285-7290.
 471 Farre, M., Gajda-Schranz, K., Kantiani, L., Barcelo, D., 2009. Ecotoxicity and analysis of
 472 nanomaterials in the aquatic environment. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 393,81-95.
 473 Farre, M., Sanchis, J., Barcelo, D., 2011. Analysis and assessment of the occurrence, the fate
 474 and the behaviour of nanomaterials in the environment. *Trends Anal. Chem.* 30(3), 517-
 475 527
 476 French, R.A., Jacobson, A.R., Kim, B., Isley, S.L., Penn, R.L., Baveye, P.C., 2009. Influence
 477 of ionic strength, pH, and cation valence on aggregation kinetics of titanium dioxide
 478 nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43,1354-1359.
 479 Garcia, A., Espinosa, R., Delgado, L., Casals, E., Gonzalez, E., Puentes, V., Barata, C., Font,
 480 X., Sanchez, A., 2011. Acute toxicity of cerium oxide, titanium oxide and iron
 481 nanoparticles using standardized tests. *Desalination* 269(1-3),136-141.

482 Ge, Y., Schimel, J.P., Holden, P.A., 2011. Evidence for Negative effects of TiO₂ and ZnO
 483 nanoparticles on soil bacterial communities. *Environ. Sci Technol* 45, 1659-1664
 484 Ghirardini, A.V., Girardini, M., Marchetto, D., Pantani, C., 2009. Microtox® solid phase test:
 485 Effect of diluents used in toxicity test. *Ecotox. Environ. Safety* 72, 851-861
 486 Gondikas, A.P., Morris, A., Reinsch, B.C., Marinakos, S.M., Lowry, G.V., Hsu-Kim, H.,
 487 2012. Cysteine-induced modification of zero-valent silver nanomaterials: Implications
 488 for particle surface chemistry, aggregation, dissolution, and speciation. *Environ. Sci.*
 489 *Technol.* 46, 7037-7045.
 490 Grieger, K.D., Baun, A., Owen, R., 2010. Redefining risk research priorities for
 491 nanomaterials. *J. Nanopart. Res.* 12, 383-392.
 492 Gutierrez, M., Extebarria, J., de las Fuentes, L., 2002. Evaluation of wastewater toxicity:
 493 comparative study between Microtox® and activated sludge oxygen uptake inhibition.
 494 *Water Res.* 36(4), 919-924
 495 Handy, R. D., Von Der Kammer, F., Lead, J.R., Hassellöv, M., Owen, R., Crane, M., 2008.
 496 The ecotoxicology and chemistry of manufactured nanoparticles. *Ecotoxicology* 17(4),
 497 287-314.
 498 Harper, S.L., Carriere, J.L., Miller, J.M., Hutchison, J.E., Maddux, B.L.S., Tanguay, R.L.,
 499 2011. Systematic evaluation of nanomaterial toxicity: Utility of standardized materials
 500 and rapid assays. *ACS Nano* 5(6), 4688-4697.
 501 Hasselov, M., Readman, J.W., Ranville, J.F., Tiede, K., 2008. Nanopraticle analysis and
 502 characterisation methodologies in Environmental risk assessment of engineered
 503 nanoparticles. *Ecotoxicol.* 17, 344-361.
 504 Hilderbrand, H., Mackenzie, K., Kopinke, F-D., 2008. Novel catalysts for wastewater
 505 treatment. *Global NEST J.* 10(1), 47-53.

506 Hilderbrand, H., Mackenzie, K., Kopinke, F-D., 2009. Pd/FeO₄ nano-catalysts for selective
 507 dehalogenation in wastewater treatment processes-Influence of water constituents. Appl.
 508 Catal. Environ. 91, 389-396
 509 Holbrook, R.D., Murphy, K.E., Morrow, J.B., Cole, K.D., 2008. Trophic transfer
 510 nanoparticles in a simplified invertebrate food web. Nat. Nanotechnol. 3, 352-355
 511 Hund-Rinke, K., Simon, M., 2006. Ecotoxic effect of photocatalytic active nanoparticles
 512 TiO₂ on algae and daphnid. Environ Sci Pollut. Res.13, 225 – 232.
 513 Hyung, H., Fortner, J.D., Hughes, J.B., Kim, J.H., 2007. Natural organic matter stabilizes
 514 carbon nanotubes in the aqueous phase. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41,179-184.
 515 Jaiswal, S., Duffy, B., Jaiswal, A.K., Stobie, N., McHale, P., 2010. Enhancement of the
 516 antibacterial properties of silver nanoparticles using β -cyclodextrin as a capping agent.
 517 Int. J. Antimicrob. Agent 36, 280-283.
 518 Jin, T., Sun, D., Su, J.Y., Zhang, H., Sue, H.J., 2009. Antimicrobial efficacy of zinc oxide
 519 quantum dots against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enteritidis* and *Escherichia*
 520 *coli* 0157:H7. J. Food Sci 74(1), M46-52
 521 Kaegi, R., Voegelin, A., Sinnet, B., Zuleeg, S., Hagendorfer, H., Burkhardt, M., Siegrist, H.,
 522 2011. Behavior of metallic silver nanoparticles in a pilot wastewater treatment plant.
 523 Environ. Sci. Technol. 45(9), 3902-3908.
 524 Karanjangi, S.S., Yang, H.C., Asuri, P., 2006. Protein-assisted solubility of single-walled
 525 carbon nanotubes. Langmuir 22,1392-1395
 526 Kayhanian, M., 1994. Performance of a high solid anaerobic digestion process under various
 527 ammonia concentrations. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 59, 349-352.
 528 Kikuchi, K., Bernard, E. M., Sadownik, A. Regen, S.L. Armstrong, D. 1997. Antimicrobial
 529 activities of squalamine mimics. Antimicrob. Agent Ch. 41:1433-1438

530 Kim, B., Park, C-S., Murayama, M., Hochella Jr, M.F., 2010. Discovery and characterisation
 531 of silver sulphide nanoparticles in final sewage sludge products. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
 532 44, 7509 – 7514

533 Kiser, M.A., Ryu, H., Jang, H., Hristovski, K., Westerhoff, P., 2010. Biosorption of
 534 nanoparticles to heterotrophic wastewater biomass. *Water Res.* 44, 4105-4114.

535 Klaus, T., Joerger, R., Olsson, E., Granqvist, C., 1999. Silver-based crystalline nanoparticles,
 536 microbially fabricated. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 96(24), 13611-13614.

537 Kloepper, J.A., Mielke, R.E., Nadeau, J.L., 2005. Uptake of CdSe and CdSe/ZnS quantum
 538 dots into bacteria via purine-dependent mechanisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71,
 539 2548-2557.

540 Krishnaraj, C., Jagan, E. G., Rajasekar, S., Selvakumar, P., Kalaichelvan, P. T. and Mohan,
 541 N. (2010) Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Acalypha indica* leaf extracts and its
 542 antibacterial activity against water borne pathogens. *Colloids and Surfaces B:*
 543 *Biointerfaces*, 76(1):50-56.

544 Kucerka, N., Papp-Szabo, E., Njeh, M.P., Harroun, T.A., Schooling, S.R., Pencer, J.,
 545 Nicholson, E.A., Beveridge, T.J., Katsaras, J., 2008. Effects of cation on the structure of
 546 bilayers formed by lipopolysaccharides from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAOI. *J. Phys.*
 547 *Chem. B.* 112(27), 8057-8062.

548 Kumar, A., Pandey, A.K., Singh, S.S., Shanker, R., Dhawan, A., 2011. Cellular uptake and
 549 mutagenic potential of metal oxide nanoparticles in bacterial cells. *Chemos.* 83(8), 1124-
 550 32.

551 Lacoanet, H.F., Wiesner, M.R., 2004. Velocity effect on fullerene and oxide nanoparticle
 552 deposition in porous media. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38(16), 4377-4382.

553 Lara, H.H., Ayala-Nunez, N.V., Turrent, L.C.I., Padilla, C.R., 2010. Bacterial effect of silver
 554 of nanoparticles against multidrug-resistant bacteria. *World J. Microbiol Biotechnol*
 555 26,615-621.

556 Liang, Z., Das, A., Hu, Z., 2010. Bacterial response to a shock load of nanosilver in an
 557 activated sludge treatment system. *Wat. Res.* 44, 5432-5438.

558 Linkov, I., Satterstrom, F.K., Steevens, J., Ferguson, E., Pleus, R.C., 2007. Multi-criteria
 559 decision analysis and environmental risk assessment for nanomaterials. *J. Nanopart. Res.*
 560 9, 543-554.

561 Liu, Y., Li, J., Qui, X., Burda, C., 2007. Bactericidal activity of nitrogen-doped metal oxide
 562 nanocatalysts and the influence of bacterial extracellular substances (EPS). *J Photochem*
 563 *Photobiol A Chem* 190, 94-100.

564 Lok, C., Ho, C., Chen, R., He, Q., Yu, W., Sun, H., Tam, P.K., Chiu, J. and Che, C. (2007),
 565 Silver nanoparticles: Partial oxidation and antibacterial activities. *J. Biol Inorgan Chem*
 566 12(4):527-534

567 Lyon, D.Y., Adams, L.K., Falkner, J.C., Alvarez, P.J.J., 2006. Antibacterial activity of
 568 fullerenes water suspensions: Effect of preparation method and particle size. *Environ.*
 569 *Sci. Technol.* 40(14), 4360-4366.

570 Lyon, D.Y., Fortner, J.D., Sayes, C.M., Colvin, V.L., Hughes, J.B., 2005. Bacterial cell
 571 association antimicrobial activity of a C-60 water suspension. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem*
 572 24(11), 2757-2762.

573 Martinez-Castanon, G.A., Nino-Martinez, N., Martinez-Gutierrez, F., J.R. Martinez-
 574 Mendoza, J.R., Ruiz, F., 2008. Synthesis and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticle
 575 with different sizes. *J. Nanoparticle Res* 10, 1343-8.

576 Martinez-Gutierrez, F., Olive, P.L., Banuelos, A., Orrantia, E., Nino, N., Sanchez, E.M.,
 577 Ruiz, F., Bach, H., Av-Gay, Y., 2010. Synthesis, characterisation, and evaluation of

antimicrobial and cytotoxic effect of silver and titanium nanoparticles. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine* 6, 681-688.

Morones, J.R., Elechiguerra, J.L., Camacho, A., Holt, K., Kouri, J.B., Ramirez, J.T., Yacaman, M.J., 2005. The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology* 16, 2346-2353.

Mueller, N.C., Nowack, B., 2008. Exposure modelling of engineered nanoparticles in the environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 4447-4453.

Munkittrick, K.R., Power, E.A., 1989. An evaluation of the sensitivity of microassays relative to Trout and Daphnid acute lethality tests, E.V.S. Consultants, North Vancouver, B.C., report for Environment Canada, River Road Environ. Technol. Centre, Ottawa., 76 p.

Nowack, B., Bucheli, T.D., 2007. Occurrence, behaviour and effects of nanoparticles in the environment. *Environ Pollut* 150, 5-22.

Oberdorster, E., Zhu, S., Blickley, T.M., McClellan-Green, P., Haasch, M.L., 2006. Ecotoxicology of carbon-based engineered nanoparticles: Effects of fullerene (C60) on aquatic organisms. *Carbon* 44 (6), 1112-1120.

Pal, S., Tak, Y.K., Song, J.M., 2007. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticles? A study of the gram-negative bacterium *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73 (6), 1712- 1720.

Pan, X., Redding, J.E., Wiley, P.A., Wen, L., McConnell, J.S., Zhang, B., 2010. Mutagenicity evaluation of metal oxide nanoparticles by bacterial reverse mutation assay. *Chemosphere* 79, 113-116.

Pelletier, D.A., Suresh, A.K., Holton, G.A., McKeown, C.K., Wang, W., Gu, B., Mortensen, N.P., Allison, D.P., Joy, D.C., Allison, M.R., Brown, S.D., Phelps, T.J., Doktycz, M.J., 2010. Effects of engineered cerium oxide nanoparticles on bacterial growth and viability. *Appl Environ. Microbiol.* 76(24), 7981-7989.

603 Petosa, A.R., Jaisi, D.P., Quevedo, I.R., Elimelech, M., Tufenkji, N., 2010. Aggregation and
604 deposition of engineered nanomaterials in aquatic environments: Role of
605 physicochemical interactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44(17), 6532-6549.

606 Puzyn, T., Rasulev, B., Gajewicz, A., Hu, X., Dasari, T.P., Michalkova, A., Hwang, H-M.,
607 Toropov, A., Leszczynska, D., Leszczynski, J., 2011. Using nano-QSAR to predict the
608 cytotoxicity of metal oxide nanoparticles. *Nature Nanotechnol.* 6. Available at:
609 www.nature.com/naturenanotechnology

610 Sadhasivam S, Shanmugam P, Yun K (2010) Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles by
611 *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* and antimicrobial activity against medically important
612 pathogenic microorganisms. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 81:325-362.

613 Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks, SCENIHR., 2007.
614 Opinion on the appropriateness of the risk assessment methodology in accordance with
615 the Technical Guidance Documents for new and existing substances for assessing the
616 risks of nanomaterials 21-22 June 2007. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium.

617 Shin, K-H., Cha, D.K., 2008. Microbial reduction of nitrate in the presence of nanoscale zero-
618 valent iron. *Chemosphere.* 72, 257-262

619 Sondi, I., Salopek-Sondi, B., 2004. Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study
620 on *E. coli* as a model for Gram-negative bacteria. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 275, 177-182.

621 Suresh, A.K., Pelletier, D.A., Wang, W., Moon, J., Gu, B., Mortensen, N.P., Allison, D.P.,
622 Joy, D.C., Phelps, T.J. and Doktycz, M.J. (2010) Silver nanocrystallites: Biofabrication
623 using *Shewanella oneidensis*, and an evaluation of their comparative toxicity on gram-
624 negative and gram-positive bacteria. *Environ Sci Technol* 44(13): 5210-5215.

625 Tiede, K., Hasselov, M., Breitbarth, E., Chaudhry, Q., Boxall, A.B.A., 2009. Considerations
626 for environmental fate and ecotoxicity testing to support environmental risk assessment
627 for nanoparticles. *J. Chromat. A* 1216, 503-509.

628 Tong, Z., Bischoff, M., Niles, L., Applegate, B., Turco, R.F., 2007. Impact of fullerene
629 (C₆₀) on a soil microbial community. Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 2985-2991.

630 Unrine, J.M., Tsyusko, O.V., Hunyadi, S E., Judy, J.D., Bertsch, P.M., 2010. Effect of
631 particle size on chemical speciation and bioavailability of copper to earthworms (*Eisenia*
632 *fetida*) exposed to copper nanoparticles. J. Environ. Qual. 39(6),1942-1953.

633 Verma, V.C., Kharwar, R.N. and Gange, A.C. (2010) Biosynthesis of antimicrobial silver
634 nanoparticles by the endophytic fungus *Aspergillus clavatus*. Nanomedicine 5(1):33-40.

635 Vertelov, G.K., Krutyakov, Y.A., Efremenkova, A.Y., Olenin, O.V., Lisichkin, G.V. (2008)
636 A versatile synthesis of highly bactericidal Myramistin® stabilized silver nanoparticles.
637 Nanotechnology 19:355707

638 Wagner, A.O., Gstrauntaler, G., Illmer, P., 2010. Utilization of single added fatty acids by
639 consortia of digester sludge in batch culture. Waste Management 30, 1822-1827.

640 Wang, C., Bobba, A.D., Attinti, R., Shen, C., Lazouskaya, V., Wang, L-P., Jin, Y., 2012a.
641 Retention and transport of silica nanoparticles in saturated porous media: Effect of
642 concentration and particle size. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46:7151-7158.

643 Wang, Y., Westerhoff, P., Hristovski, K.D., 2012b. Fate and biological effect of silver,
644 titanium dioxide and C₆₀ (fullerenes) nanomaterials during simulated wastewater
645 treatment processes. J. Hazard. Mater. 201, 16 – 22.

646 Warheit, D. B., 2008. How meaningful are the results of nanotoxicity studies in the absence
647 of adequate material characterisation. Toxicol. Sci. 101(2), 183-185.

648 Warne, M. St J., van Dam, R., 2008. NOEC and LOEC data should no longer be generated
649 or used. Australasian J. Ecotox. 14, 1-5.

650 Wei, J., Mashayekhi, H., Xing, B., 2009. Bacterial toxicity comparison between nano- and
651 micro-scaled oxide particles. Environ. Pollut. 157, 1619-1625.

- Weisner, M.R., Lowry, G.V., Alvarez, P., Dionysiou, D., Biwas, P., 2006. Assessing the risks of manufactured nanomaterials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40(14), 4336-4345.
- Weisner, M.R., Lowry, G.V., Jones, K.L., Hochella Jr, M.F., Di Giulio, R.T., Casman, E., Bernhardt, E.S., 2009. Decreasing uncertainties in assessing environmental exposure, risk, and ecological implications of nanomaterials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 6458-6462.
- Wen-Ru, L., Xiao-Bao, X., Qing-Shan, S., Hai-Yan, Z., You-Sheng, O-Y., Yi- Ben, C., 2010. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of silver nanoparticles on *Escherichia coli* Appl Microbiol. Biotechnol 85, 1115-1122.
- Woodrow Wilson Centre, 2007. Nanotechnology and life cycle assessment. A system approach to nanotechnology and the environment. Woodrow Wilson International Centre for Scholars, Washington.
- Woodrow Wilson Database, 2011. The Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies: Consumer Products Inventory. Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars Washington DC. (<http://www.nanoproduct.org/inventories/consumer/>) (Accessed September 4, 2011)
- Yamanaka, M., Hara, K., Kudo, J., 2005. Bactericidal action of silver ion solution on *Escherichia coli*, studied by energy-filtering transmission electron microscopy and proteomic analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71,7589-7593.
- Zhang, H., Chen, G., 2009 Potent antibacterial activities of Ag/TiO₂ nanocomposite powders synthesized by a one-pot sol-gel method. *Environ Sci Technol* 43:2905-10.

Legend of the figures

Figure 1: Scanning electrosopy microscope images showing ENPs sorption to cells (a,b), damage to microbial cell (c,d) and aggregation to biomass (e,f) in AS (from Eduok S., PhD study; data not published)


Figure 2: Illustration of ENP bioaccumulation in prokaryotes and trophic transfer to eukaryotes and biomagnification in higher organisms.

Figure 3: Size-dependent inhibitory effect of nanosilver particles (Ag^0) on pure cultures of *Escherichia coli*.

(a) Suresh et al., 2010 (b) Zhang and Chen, 2009 (c) Suresh et al., 2010 (d) Wen-Ru et al, 2010 (e) Martinez-Castanon et al., 2008 (f) Chudasama et al., 2010 (g) Choi et al., 2008 (h) Lok et al., 2007 (i) Malaiye et al., 2005 (j) Sondi and Salopek-Sondi, 2004 (k) Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010 (l) Verma et al., 2010 (m) Krishnaraj et al., 2010 (n) Sadhasivam et al., 2010 (o) Drogat et al., 2010 (p) Martinez-Castanon et al., 2008 (q) Vertelov et al., 2008 (r) Martinez-Castanon et al., 2008 (s) Lara et al, 2010 (t) Martinez-Gutierrez et al., 2010


Figure 4: Schematic overview of the interactions occurring between ENP and microbial biomass involved in activated sludge (AS) and anaerobic digestion (AD) processes

Figure 5: Representation of the influence of divalent cations in Ag^0 -microbial cell wall interaction



695

696 Figure 1



697

698 Figure 2




Figure 3






Figure 4

(1) Gram negative cell wall bacterium




! Absence of divalent cations: Electrostatic repulsion of negatively charged cell wall and negatively charged Ag^0 !




Presence of divalent cations: Facilitated adsorption/adhesion of Ag to cell wall through cation mediated ion bridges.!

(2) Gram positive cell wall



Electrostatic repulsion of positively charged bacterial cell wall and divalent cations.!



Competitive aggregation/inhibition of Ag^0 ! by divalent cations

703

704 Figure 5

705



DSpace

<https://dspace.org/>

Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms: current status and challenges

Eduok, Samuel; Martin, R.; Villa, Raffaella; Nocker, Andreas; Jefferson, Bruce ...

2013-06-14

Elsevier

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2013.05.022>.

S. Eduok, B. Martin, R. Villa, A. Nocker, B. Jefferson, F. Coulon, Evaluation of engineered nanoparticle toxic effect on wastewater microorganisms: current status and challenges, Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, Volume 95, 1 September 2013, Pages 1-9