

# Quantitative Evaluation of Electric Features for Health Monitoring and Assessment of AC-Powered Solenoid Operated Valves<sup>\*</sup>

Agusmian Partogi Ompusunggu<sup>\*</sup> Erik Hostens<sup>\*\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> Centre for Lifecycle Engineering and Management (CLEM), School of Aerospace, Transport and Manufacturing (SATM), Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK (e-mail: [agusmian.ompusunggu@cranfield.ac.uk](mailto:agusmian.ompusunggu@cranfield.ac.uk)).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Flanders Make vzw, Corelab DecisionS, Gaston Geenslaan 8, B-3001, Leuven, Belgium (e-mail: [erik.hostens@flandersmake.be](mailto:erik.hostens@flandersmake.be)).

**Abstract:** Quantitative assessment of feature performance for health monitoring is key to feature selection. This paper illustrates the application of well-established metrics in the research community - namely, monotonicity, robustness and prognosability - to the quantitative performance assessment of features for health monitoring of alternating-current (AC) powered solenoid operated valves (SOVs). These features are extracted from voltage and current signals measured on the valves and builds on previous work of the authors. Based on these metrics, the appropriate features are selected to be used as condition indicators. The selected features are inputs to a logistic regression model to predict a health index ranging from 0 to 1, which can be easily monitored and assessed by non-experts. We demonstrated the developed methodology on the experimental data acquired from accelerated life tests on 48 identical AC-powered SOVs.

Copyright © 2023 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

**Keywords:** Solenoid Valves, Logistic Regression, Condition Monitoring, Prognostics and Health Management.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since solenoid operated valves (SOVs) are critical components in many industrial applications, there has been a growing interest in developing technologies to support the implementation of the Condition Based Maintenance (CBM) or Predictive Maintenance (PdM) strategies for SOVs, see Jameson et al. (2014). These required technologies comprise sensing, data acquisition, condition indicator (CI) construction, health assessment, and remaining useful life (RUL) prediction. Some technologies based on various non-invasive sensing techniques, such as current and voltage sensors, vibration sensors, 77GHz frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) millimeter-wave radar, have been proposed in the literature, for examples see Kryter (1992); Guo et al. (2016); Li et al. (2021); Tod et al. (2023). The technologies based on current and voltage signals are preferred in the industry because the sensors installation is relatively easy.

There is extensive literature on electrical signal based condition monitoring (CM) and prognosis and health management (PHM) technologies for direct current (DC) powered SOVs, see Perotti (2010); Daigle and Goebel (2011); Filho and Negri (2013); Jo et al. (2020); Tang et al. (2019). However, the development of electrical signal based CM and PHM technologies for AC-powered SOVs is still

very limited and has gained some interest since the last decade, see Mazaev et al. (2020); Utah and Jung (2020); Ompusunggu and Hostens (2021); Tod et al. (2023).

An important step in the development of CM and PHM technology lies in determining features or condition indicators (CIs) extracted from the sensor signals. These features are useful for diagnostics or tracking the degradation progress of critical assets/ components under investigation. Prospective features for critical components, like rolling element bearings, have been quantitatively evaluated and reported by various researchers in the literature, for example, see Kumar et al. (2021); Oh et al. (2022). However, according to the authors' knowledge, there is not yet a published work discussing the quantitative evaluation of prospective features for AC-powered SOVs.

In our previous works, three different methods to extract a number of prospective features from the electrical signals measured on AC-powered SOVs, namely (i) the first-principle model based method Tod et al. (2023), (ii) the statistics-based method Mazaev et al. (2020), and (iii) the physics-inspired feature engineering based method Ompusunggu and Hostens (2021), have been proposed and demonstrated on the datasets obtained from accelerated life test (ALT) campaigns on 48 identical AC-powered SOVs. The experimental results showed that some features are good for diagnostic purposes, namely to identify the type of failure modes occurring in the valves, while others display systematic changes during the AC-powered SOV's

<sup>\*</sup> This research was supported by both Flanders Make, the strategic research center for the manufacturing industry, and VLAIO, Flanders Innovation and Entrepreneurship, within the framework of the MODA-ICON project.

lifetime, which is good for health assessment and prognosis.

### 1.1 Aim and Scope

The aim of this paper is to quantitatively assess the performance of all the prospective features extracted with the three aforementioned methods. By doing so, the most relevant features can be selected in an objective manner. The selected features are then fused into a health index (HI) that represents the overall health status of the valves. Notably, the selected CIs can also be used for prognosis, but it is out of the scope of this paper.

### 1.2 Paper Organisation

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we propose the methodology to quantitatively assess the performance of the prospective features extracted using three different approaches. In Section 3, we briefly introduce the test setup and the accelerated life test campaign of 48 identical AC-powered SOVs. Section 4 is a discussion on the quantitative performance of all the prospective features obtained after applying the proposed methodology, based on which we select the most relevant features for the health assessment of AC-powered SOVs. In Section 5, we discuss some conclusions drawn from this work and propose future work.

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The overall methodology proposed in this paper is illustrated in the flowchart in Figure 1. It consists of three main steps comprising (i) Prospective features extraction from raw electrical signals, (ii) Relevant feature selection and (iii) Feature fusion for health assessment. *In the first step*, three set of prospective features are computed from the measured current signal  $i(t)$  and voltage signal  $u(t)$  based on three aforementioned methods, which are briefly discussed in the following sub-section. *In the second step*, the computed features are quantitatively assessed using three established metrics for prognostic features selection, namely (i) **Monotonicity**, (ii) **Robustness** and (iii) **Prognosability**. The arithmetic average of the three metrics is computed, yielding an overall score which is used for the feature selection. *In the third step*, the selected features are fused into a single value, namely a health index (HI), using a **logistic regression** (LR) technique. Notably, the output of the LR model, i.e. the HI, ranges between 0 and 1, thus allowing an easy and intuitive assessment of the health status of AC-powered SOVs.

### 2.1 Feature Extraction

Three different methods for feature extraction from the AC-powered SOV's electrical signals have been applied in previous work, namely based on (i) a first-principle model, (ii) physics-inspired signal processing and (iii) a statistical technique. We briefly recapitulate them in the next paragraphs.

Let  $i(t)$  and  $u(t)$  be the analog current and voltage signals, respectively, measured on an AC-powered SOV. Both signals were acquired through digital data acquisition, so




Fig. 1. The overall methodology.

we denote the digitized current and voltage with  $i_k$  and  $u_k$ , respectively, with  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  the sampling indices.

**First-principle model-based features** In our previous work Tod et al. (2023), we built a first-principle model of AC-powered SOVs by coupling the electromagnetic and mechanic behaviour and we improved this model by taking into account shading ring fracture and wear of the plunger, two common reasons for degradation of AC-powered SOVs. The improved model is schematically shown in Figure 2. Readers interested in the details of this model are referred to the previous publication Tod et al. (2023).

We identified shading ring force and Coulomb friction force as indicators for degradation of shading ring or plunger, respectively. Through estimation of the shading ring force and Coulomb friction force from the measured current signal  $i_k$  and voltage signal  $u_k$ , we established physical features or CIs.

Note that the improved first-principle model combined with measured current and voltage also allows us to estimate the displacement of the plunger, which represents the AC-powered SOVs' health status. Therefore, next to the shading ring and kinetic Coulomb friction forces, we also considered two other features as CIs: the area under the plunger movement curve and the average of the plunger travel end. In summary, we established four physical features or CIs extracted from the electric signals and the first-principle model, which are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Physical features.

| Feature       | Description                                  |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------|
| $F_{Coulomb}$ | Kinetic Coulomb friction force               |
| $F_{Shading}$ | Shading ring force                           |
| $AUDispC$     | Area under the curve of the plunger movement |
| $DispAve$     | Average of the plunger travel end            |

**Statistics-based features** As the input voltage signal  $u_k$  applied to the SOVs is always the same, it is only the current signal  $i_k$  that changes as the degradation progresses. A deviation of the current signal at a given condition  $i_k$  from its reference current signal at a healthy condition  $i_k^h$  could also be an indicator of degradation in SOVs. To quantify this deviation, let  $\epsilon_k = i_k - i_k^h$  be defined as the current signal deviation.




Fig. 2. Graphical representation of an AC-powered SOV, reproduced from Tod et al. (2023).

In this paper, some statistical features are computed from the measured current signal  $i_k$  and the current signal deviation  $\epsilon_k$ , as summarised in Table 2.

Table 2. Statistical features.

| Feature              | Description                                                         |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>curr_rms</i>      | Root mean square (rms) of the raw current signal $i_k$              |
| <i>curr_kurt</i>     | Kurtosis of the raw current signal $i_k$                            |
| <i>curr_cf</i>       | Crest factor of the raw current signal $i_k$                        |
| <i>Res_curr_rms</i>  | Root mean square (rms) of the current signal deviation $\epsilon_k$ |
| <i>Res_curr_kurt</i> | Kurtosis of the current signal deviation $\epsilon_k$               |
| <i>Res_curr_cf</i>   | Crest factor of the current signal deviation $\epsilon_k$           |

**Physics-inspired features** Inspired by the profound understanding of the effects of the degradation on the electro-mechanical behavior of AC-powered SOVs through the improved first-principle model and the underlying phenomena, some features were defined and a methodology to extract those features from the measured current and voltage signals was proposed in our previous work Ompusunggu and Hostens (2021). Figure 3 graphically illustrates the features extraction methodology.

The impedance spectrum  $Z(\omega)$  is defined as the ratio between the voltage spectrum  $V(\omega)$  and the current spec-

trum  $I(\omega)$ :

$$Z(\omega) = \frac{V(\omega)}{I(\omega)} = \frac{FFT[u(t)]}{FFT[i(t)]}, \quad (1)$$

where  $FFT$  denotes the fast Fourier transformation. The inductance spectrum  $L(\omega)$  is obtained by taking the imaginary part of the impedance spectrum, i.e.  $L(\omega) = \text{Im}([Z(\omega)])$ . Some potential features for condition monitoring purposes can be extracted based on the magnitudes of the impedance and inductance spectra at the AC-source frequency  $\omega_s$  and its higher harmonics. The summary of the physics-inspired features is listed in Table 3.




Fig. 3. Calculation of physics-inspired features.

Table 3. Physics-inspired features.

| Feature                | Description                                                                                                                         |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>ImFund</i>          | The impedance spectrum magnitude at the fundamental AC-source frequency, $ Z(\omega_s) $                                            |
| <i>IdFund</i>          | The inductance spectrum magnitude at the fundamental AC-source frequency, $ L(\omega_s) $                                           |
| <i>ImOdHarm</i>        | Averaged impedance spectrum magnitudes at odd harmonics of the AC-source frequency, $\sum_{k=1}^3  Z((2k+1) \times \omega_s) $      |
| <i>ImEvHarm</i>        | Averaged impedance spectrum magnitudes at even harmonics of the AC-source frequency, $\sum_{k=1}^3  Z(2k \times \omega_s) $         |
| <i>IdOdHarm</i>        | Averaged inductance spectrum magnitudes at odd harmonics of the AC-source frequency, $\sum_{k=1}^3  L((2k+1) \times \omega_s) $     |
| <i>IdEvHarm</i>        | Averaged inductance spectrum magnitudes at even harmonics of the AC-source frequency, $\sum_{k=1}^3  L(2k \times \omega_s) $        |
| <i>RZC1D1</i>          | The first valley position, see Fig. 3                                                                                               |
| <i>RA2D2max</i>        | The maximum amplitude of the 2 <sup>nd</sup> derivative of the current signal, $d^2i(t)/dt^2$ , around the first valley, see Fig. 3 |
| <i>Delta12</i>         | $\Delta 1 + \Delta 2$ , see Fig. 3                                                                                                  |
| <i>Delta34</i>         | $\Delta 3 + \Delta 4$ , see Fig. 3                                                                                                  |
| <i>Delta56</i>         | $\Delta 5 + \Delta 6$ , see Fig. 3                                                                                                  |
| <i>CurrHiSpectHarm</i> | The sum of the higher harmonics of the normalised current spectrum $\text{SHHCS} = \sum_{k=2}^6  \hat{H}_I(k\omega_s) $             |

## 2.2 Feature Selection Methodology

Since in general the value of each relevant feature is calculated from measurement data that is periodically acquired at a predefined time interval  $\Delta t$ , it is therefore convenient to treat the feature values as a discrete-time series quantity. Let  $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_N]$  be the vector representing the discrete-time series feature and  $\mathbf{T} = [t_1, \dots, t_i, \dots, t_N]$  be the time vector corresponding to the feature vector, where  $t_i = i\Delta t$ .

**Monotonicity** As degradation is typically an irreversible process (no self-healing), a relevant feature is the one that has a strong correlation with time. The Monotonicity metric evaluates this trend information and shows which feature has degradation information of the asset, see Coble (2010). Since degradation of machinery evolves typically very non-linear with time, Spearman's correlation is chosen because it is robust to such non-linearity. Hence, the Monotonicity metric is defined as the absolute value of Spearman's correlation between feature and time, mathematically expressed as, see Carino et al. (2015):

$$\text{Mon}(X) = \left| 1 - \frac{6 \sum_{n=1}^N (\tilde{X}_n - \tilde{T}_n)}{N(N^2 - 1)} \right| \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{X}_n$  and  $\tilde{T}_n$  are the rank sequences of  $X$  and  $T$ .

**Robustness** When an engineering asset exhibits a stochastic process, a good feature should be robust to outliers and noise. The robustness of a feature  $X$  is defined as, for example see Kumar et al. (2021):

$$\text{Rob}(X) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \exp \left( - \left| \frac{x_n - \bar{x}_n}{x_n} \right| \right) \quad (3)$$

where  $x_n$  is the feature value at the time index  $t_n$  and  $\bar{x}_n$  is the mean trend value of the feature which is acquired through the smoothing process.

**Prognosability** Prognosability is a metric related to the consistency of the different end-of-life (EoL) feature values across the fleet. It is defined as the variability of the EoL feature values relative to the range between initial and final feature values, see Coble (2010). In the formula, this reads:

$$\text{Prog}(X) = \exp \left( - \frac{\text{std}(X_j(N_j))}{\text{mean}|X_j(1) - X_j(N_j)|} \right), j = 1 \dots M \quad (4)$$

where the index  $j$  is the asset number,  $X_j$  represents the vector of measurements of a feature on the  $j^{\text{th}}$  asset,  $N_j$  is the number of measured values of the feature on the  $j^{\text{th}}$  asset and  $M$  is the number of monitored assets.

**Overall performance** The overall performance of each feature  $P_{\text{Overall}}(X)$  is assessed by taking the arithmetic mean of those metrics being defined as follows:

$$P_{\text{Overall}}(X) = \frac{\overline{\text{Mon}(X)} + \overline{\text{Rob}(X)} + \overline{\text{Prog}(X)}}{3}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\overline{\text{Mon}(X)}$  and  $\overline{\text{Rob}(X)}$  represent the mean monotonicity and robustness metric, respectively.

### 2.3 Logistic-Regression (LR) Based Health Assessment

In the maintenance engineering context, a health assessment is the determination of the health status of critical assets/components. It is custom to quantify the health status with a binary value, *e.g.* **0** or **1**, where this categorical value may be seen as a health index ( $HI$ ). For AC-powered SOVs health assessment purposes, one could consider that the  $HI$  of (close to) 1 represents a healthy state, on the contrary, the  $HI$  of (close to) 0 represents a failure state. This justifies that the  $HI$  progressively changes from 1 to 0 as the valves degrade.

The feature values are not necessarily restricted between 0 and 1, which cannot allow a direct justification and easy interpretation of the health status of the SOVs by non-experts (*i.e.* operators). To this end, the feature values evolving from a healthy to a failure state need to be transformed into a  $HI$ . In this paper, the logistic regression (LR) technique is used for the health assessment of AC-powered SOVs using the selected features obtained from the previous step in Section 2.2.

The LR can be seen as a process with a two-fold objective: (i) fusing multiple features (independent variables) into a single value (*i.e.*  $HI$ ) and (ii) restricting the  $HI$  between 0 and 1. The advantage of using the LR is that only data representing healthy and failure states are required to estimate the regression coefficients. Thus, the LR technique is suitable for problems with a limited number of historical data. As reported in the literature, the LR technique has been successfully applied to assess the health status of engineering systems based on extracted high dimensional features, for examples, see Yan and Lee (2005); Ompusunggu et al. (2012); Maulana et al. (2023).

Fig. 4 illustrates the steps to determine the  $HI$  by fusing a set of  $L$  selected features, represented by a vector  $\mathbf{F} = [1 \ F_1 \ F_2 \ \dots \ F_L]^T$ . As seen in the figure, the selected features are fused by means of a linear combination using the LR model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = [\beta_0 \ \beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \dots \ \beta_L]^T$  to compute the logarithm of "odds-of-success"  $g(\mathbf{F})$  that is eventually transformed into the  $HI$  using a logistic function.




Fig. 4. Logistic Regression (LR) in a nutshell.

In the LR context, the model parameters  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  are identified using the maximum-likelihood estimator, aiming at finding a set of parameters for which the probability of the observed data is maximal, see Czepiel (accessed on April 2023). The parameter identification is conducted in an off-line fashion where two sets of features,  $F_{\text{healthy}}$  and  $F_{\text{failure}}$  representing healthy and failure states respectively, are used as a training dataset.

## 3. EXPERIMENT

A solenoid endurance test setup was developed to perform simultaneous ALTs on 48 AC-powered SOVs, as shown in Fig. 5. The type of used valves are direct acting 3/2 way *normally closed* (Burkert Type 6014). The ALTs were performed at an ambient temperature of 25 °C and attained by switching the valves on/off at a rate of 1 Hz for a total duration of approximately 6 weeks. Each valve is powered by an input AC voltage of 110 V at 50 Hz and supplied with compressed air at 8 bar in the inlet port.



(a) Test setup photograph



(b) Close up view of an air flow sensor

Fig. 5. Test setup for performing simultaneous ALT on 48 valves.

During the ALT campaign, both current and voltage signals were measured for each valve. Besides, the surface temperature and air flow rate of the SOV outlet just after the switch on/off were also measured for each valve as a means to have the “ground truth data” for labelling the end of life of each valve in an objective way. More details of the test campaign are described in Tod et al. (2023).

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experimental data obtained from the ALT campaign discussed in Section 3 were analysed to compute all the 22 features described in Section 2.1. Since only 36 out of 48 valves actually reached the end of useful life during the ALTs, only of these 36 failed valves we extracted the features from the measurement data and evaluated the metrics described in Section 2.2. Figs. 6 - 9 show the calculated monotonicity, robustness, prognosability, and overall performance metric, respectively. The vertical dashed-line in the figures is a user-defined threshold that visually helps in selecting the most relevant features. For this case, a threshold of 0.9 was set.

In view of the monotonicity metric, only four features (*RZC1D1*, *ImFund*, *IdFund*, and *FCoulomb*) have a mean value higher than the threshold and exhibit small variations, as shown in Fig. 6. Regarding the robustness to outliers, most of the features are robust. 15 features have a mean value higher than the threshold and exhibit relatively small variations. However, in view of the prognosability, all the 22 features have the metric lower than the set threshold. From the overall performance metric, only the feature *FCoulomb* is very close to the threshold as seen in Fig. 9. Nonetheless, the features *RZC1D1*, *ImFund*, and *IdFund* are worth to be considered since




Fig. 6. Monotonicity index of all the calculated features




Fig. 7. Robustness index of all the calculated features




Fig. 8. Prognosability index of all the calculated features

the overall performance metric is above 0.8, which is still relatively high.

Notably, the feature *FCoulomb* is more computationally expensive than the other three features *RZC1D1*, *ImFund*, and *IdFund*. Hence, when computational power is limited, the feature *FCoulomb* might be less preferred. To further verify these findings, the top three features, namely *FCoulomb*, *ImFund*, *RZC1D1*, and the bottom one *IdOdHarm* are plotted in Figs. 10 - 13, from the onset of the degradation to the end of useful life. It is clear from the figures that the top three features show very clear trends. As expected, the bottom feature does not show clear trends.




Fig. 9. Overall performance index of all the calculated features




Fig. 10. The evolution of the feature *FCoulomb* of several failed valves from the onset of degradation to the EOL.




Fig. 11. The evolution of the feature *ImFund* of several failed valves from the onset of degradation to the EOL.

Despite showing clear trends, the feature *ImFund* still exhibits occasional outliers which require special attention when developing a prognostic algorithm using this feature. However, the variation of this feature value at the end-of-life is quite small which offers some advantages for prognostics. Furthermore, the variation of the feature *RZC1D1* value at the end-of-life is quite large. This is demonstrated by a lower prognosability metric compared with the other top features, see Fig. 8. Hence, such large variation might need to be considered when developing a prognostic algorithm using this feature.

To demonstrate the health assessment of AC-powered SOVs using the LR technique, the two top features, *FCoulomb* and *RZC1D1*, respectively shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 12, are fused to model the health index. Note that one can also fuse the three top features or use single




Fig. 12. The evolution of the feature *RZC1D1* of several failed valves from the onset of degradation to the EOL.




Fig. 13. The evolution of the feature *IdOdHarm* of several failed valves.

feature to implement the health assessment using the LR technique. Figure 14 shows the health index (HI) estimated using the LR technique of several failed valves from the degradation onset to the EOL. It is seen in the figure that the estimated HI of the failed valves decreases from the value of around 1 to the value around 0 when reaching the EOL. This kind of representation is useful for non-experts / operators where they can easily monitor and interpret the health status of an AC-powered SOVs by looking at the HI.




Fig. 14. The health index estimated using the LR technique of several failed valves.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Twenty-two prospective features for health monitoring and assessment of AC-powered solenoid operated valves

(SOVs) have been quantitatively evaluated using well-established prognostic feature metrics, namely monotonicity, robustness and prognosability. All these features are extracted from the electrical signals measured on 48 identical AC-powered SOVs, using three different methods, namely based on (i) a first-principle model, (ii) physics-inspired signal processing and (iii) statistical technique.

Based on the quantitative evaluation results, four features, namely *FCoulomb*, *IdFund*, *ImFund*, *RZC1D1*, are ranked high because the overall performance metric is higher than 0.8 (maximum range of 1). To demonstrate the proposed methodology, as an example, two top features, *FCoulomb* and *RZC1D1*, are fused using the logistic regression (LR) model to predict a health index (HI) that ranges from 0 and 1. By transforming the fused features into the HI, non-experts / operators can easily monitor and interpret the health status of AC-powered SOVs.

Future work will be developing and evaluating some prospective algorithms for the remaining useful life (RUL) prediction of AC-powered SOVs.

## REFERENCES

- Carino, J.A., Zurita, D., Delgado, M., Ortega, J., and Romero-Troncoso, R. (2015). Remaining useful life estimation of ball bearings by means of monotonic score calibration. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*, 1752–1758. IEEE.
- Coble, J. (2010). *Merging Data Sources to Predict Remaining Useful Life – An Automated Method to Identify Prognostic Parameters*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Tennessee.
- Czepiel, S. (accessed on April 2023). Maximum likelihood estimation of logistic regression models: theory and implementation.
- Daigle, M.J. and Goebel, K. (2011). A model-based prognostics approach applied to pneumatic valves. *International Journal of Prognostics and Health Management*, 2(2), 84–99.
- Filho, J.R.B.R. and Negri, V.J.D. (2013). Model-based fault detection for hydraulic servoproportional valves. In *13th Scandinavian International Conference on Fluid Power*.
- Guo, H., Wang, K., Cui, H., Xu, A., and Jiang, J. (2016). A novel method of fault detection for solenoid valves based on vibration signal measurement. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Internet of Things (iThings) and IEEE Green Computing and Communications (GreenCom) and IEEE Cyber, Physical and Social Computing (CPSCom) and IEEE Smart Data (SmartData)*, 870–873.
- Jameson, N.J., Azarian, M.H., and Pecht, M. (2014). Fault diagnostic opportunities for solenoid operated valves using physics-of-failure analysis. In *2014 International Conference on Prognostics and Health Management*, 1–6.
- Jo, S.H., Seo, B., Oh, H., Youn, B.D., and Lee, D. (2020). Model-based fault detection method for coil burnout in solenoid valves subjected to dynamic thermal loading. *IEEE Access*, 8, 70387–70400.
- Kryter, R.C. (1992). Aging and service wear of solenoid-operated valves used in safety systems of nuclear power plants. volume 2, evaluation of monitoring methods.
- Kumar, P.S., Kumaraswamidhas, L., and Laha, S. (2021). Selection of efficient degradation features for rolling element bearing prognosis using gaussian process regression method. *ISA transactions*, 112, 386–401.
- Li, S., Liu, X., Liu, W., Rong, M., Zhu, Z., and Zhou, F. (2021). A remaining useful life estimation method for solenoid valve based on mmwave radar and auxiliary particle filter technique. *IEICE Electronics Express*, advpub.
- Maulana, F., Starr, A., and Ompusunggu, A.P. (2023). Explainable data-driven method combined with bayesian filtering for remaining useful lifetime prediction of aircraft engines using nasa cmaps datasets. *Machines*, 11(2).
- Mazaev, T., Ompusunggu, A.P., Tod, G., Crevecœur, G., and Van Hoecke, S. (2020). Data-driven prognostics of alternating current solenoid valves. In *2020 Prognostics and Health Management Conference (PHM-Besançon)*, 109–115.
- Oh, H., Lee, Y., Lee, J., Joo, C., and Lee, C. (2022). Feature selection algorithm based on density and distance for fault diagnosis applied to a roll-to-roll manufacturing system. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 9(2), 805–825.
- Ompusunggu, A.P., Vandenplas, S., Sas, P., and Van Brussel, H. (2012). Health assessment and prognostics of automotive clutches. In *PHM Society European Conference*, volume 1(1).
- Ompusunggu, A. and Hostens, E. (2021). Physics-inspired feature engineering for condition monitoring of alternating current solenoid-operated valves. In *Fifth International Conference on Maintenance, Condition Monitoring and Diagnostics (MCM2021)*.
- Perotti, J. (2010). Kea-71 smart current signature sensor (scss).
- Tang, X., Xiao, M., and Hu, B. (2019). Application of kalman filter to model-based prognostics for solenoid valve. *Soft Computing*, 1–13.
- Tod, G., Ompusunggu, A.P., and Hostens, E. (2023). An improved first-principle model of ac powered solenoid operated valves for maintenance applications. *ISA Transactions*, 135, 551–566.
- Utah, M. and Jung, J. (2020). Fault state detection and remaining useful life prediction in ac powered solenoid operated valves based on traditional machine learning and deep neural networks. *Nuclear Engineering and Technology*.
- Yan, J. and Lee, J. (2005). Degradation assessment and fault modes classification using logistic regression. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 127(4), 912–914.



**DSPACE**

<https://dspace.org/>

## **Quantitative evaluation of electric features for health monitoring and assessment of AC-powered solenoid operated valves**

**Ompusunggu, Agusmian Partogi; Hostens, Erik**

**2023-11-22**

Elsevier

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2023.10.1540>

Ompusunggu AP, Hostens E. (2023) Quantitative evaluation of electric features for health monitoring and assessment of AC-powered solenoid operated valves. IFAC-PapersOnLine, Volume 56, Issue 2, pp. 3725-3731. 22nd IFAC World Congress, 9-14 July 2023, Yokohama, Japan