

1 *Short Communication*

2 **DEVELOPMENT OF AN IMAGE-BASED ANALYSIS METHOD TO**
3 **DETERMINE THE PHYSICAL COMPOSITION OF A MIXED WASTE**
4 **MATERIAL**

5

6 **S.T. Wagland^a, F. Veltre^a and P.J. Longhurst^{a*}**

7

8 ^a Centre for Energy and Resource Technology, School of Applied Sciences, Cranfield
9 University, Cranfield, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 **Abstract**

2 An experimental study was undertaken to assess the suitability of an image-based
3 approach for determining the physical composition of mixed organic wastes. Samples
4 arriving at 6 different waste sorting facilities, each visited twice during the study, were
5 physically sorted to examine the composition these materials. During these surveys the
6 waste was processed in order to obtain digital images covering 30 m², representing
7 approximately 250-500 kg of mixed waste. The images were processed using ERDAS
8 Imagine software in order to assess the area covered by each component within the waste
9 material. The composition determined from the image analysis was compared with the
10 results from the physical hand sorting. The image analysis results indicated a strong
11 correlation between the physical results (mean r = 0.91) however it was evident that
12 components such as film plastics and paper were over-estimated by the image analysis
13 approach. This short communication provides initial results, demonstrating the potential
14 of an image-based method, and discusses further research requirements and future
15 applications of this technique.

16
17 **Keywords-** Waste composition, residual wastes, commercial and industrial wastes, image
18 analysis

19 _____
20 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 (0) 1234 754953; Fax: +44 (0) 1234 751671

21 *E – mail address:* p.j.longhurst@cranfield.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Waste management practices are rapidly changing and adapting in a number of countries as legislative and economical drivers incentivise more sustainable options such as reduction of landfill disposal and recovery of valuable resources. In Europe the management, treatment and disposal of waste materials are governed and influenced by a number of European Union legislations including the Waste Framework Directive (Council of the European Union, 2008), the Landfill Directive (Council of the European Union, 1999) and the Waste Incineration Directive (Council of the European Union, 2000). These directives are adopted at national levels within each of the EU member states; and to establish realistic and achievable waste strategy targets, including recycling rates, an accurate understanding of waste composition and arisings are required (Burnley, 2007a).

In Europe, national and international targets have been set up for waste recycling, recovery and diversion from landfill (Burnley et al., 2007), which combined contribute to an integrated waste management system (Grosso et al., 2010). Understanding the quantity and composition of a waste stream produced is important in establishing quantities of potential recyclable materials and implementation of improved collection regimes; also to forecast future waste generation in given regions (Parfitt and Flowerdew, 1997). The composition and variation of wastes is also important in the design of suitable sorting and residual treatment technologies (Burnley, 2007b), of which includes energy recovery processes (Wagland et al., 2011).

Currently in the UK, local authorities and treatment operators carry out time-consuming and expensive studies to gain information on the percentages of material not

1 recycled in the residual waste (Resource Future, 2008). There is an increasingly high
2 cost and time premium to undertake the waste analysis, yet the need for constant
3 monitoring of the waste stream to support and monitor the implementation of the strategy
4 is obligatory (Burnley et al., 2007). The common approach of direct waste sampling
5 involves hand sorting waste into individual components (Burnley, 2007a; Burnley et al.,
6 2007; Entec UK Ltd and Eunomia Research and Consulting Ltd, 2004; Friends of the
7 Earth, 2008); this is time consuming and carries a number of issues regarding labour costs
8 and health and safety concerns.

9 Research towards new, non-invasive, remote imaging and image recognition
10 methods to provide faster and more sensitive technologies for waste characterization
11 could lead to significant savings in time and cost, and a reduction in the risk of worker
12 exposure. The main principle of image analysis is that all objects have a series of visual
13 characteristics that allow differentiation, these can be: shape, size, pattern, tone,
14 association, shadow and texture (Paine and Kiser, 2003). An example of a non-complex,
15 simple approach that could be used to quantify the area coverage of items in a waste
16 picture is the dot grid method, which can be considered a rapid, repeatable, and precise
17 method (Nowak et al., 1996). A dot-grid consists of a set of dots superimposed on digital
18 imagery. The sum of dots intersecting the object of interest divided by the total amount of
19 dots computes an estimation of the area occupied by the object. The use of a dot-grid in
20 calculating the area occupied by object in an image has already been widely used in a
21 number of different applications including tree area coverage (Nowak et al., 1996),
22 marine organism populations (Foster et al., 1991), seed production (Gray et al., 2009)
23 and to calculate area of deformation on a surface (Blomberg and Persson, 2004).

1 This study investigates the novel application of a dot-grid approach to assess the
2 physical composition of a mixed residual waste material. The aim of this method is to
3 demonstrate a basic technique for determining the composition of large quantities of
4 waste materials, without the disadvantages of lengthy site operation disruption and the
5 health and safety implications of hand sorting the equivalent waste sample.

6 This investigation is part of a large project which aims to understand the arisings
7 and composition of commercial and industrial (C&I) wastes, due to a lack of
8 understanding of this waste stream in the UK. Consequently in this study the dot-grid
9 and the conventional hand sorting methods have been used to determine the composition
10 of a number of samples from C&I waste collection rounds.

12 **2. Methods**

13 ***2.1. Sample location and preparation***

14 Three waste transfer stations were studied in this investigation, all of which
15 received mixed residual wastes collected by Shanks Waste Solutions from commercial
16 and industrial premises in the respective local areas. Each of the transfer stations were
17 visited twice during this study, providing a total of 6 sets of data. Each of the sites
18 receive between 30,000-60,000 tonnes of residual C&I waste per annum; the waste is
19 either sorted by manual sorting lines to extract recyclable components, or is sent onwards
20 for landfill disposal.

21 From the input waste material 3 mechanical bucket loads (ca. 250 kg) were
22 isolated from the main waste pile and unloaded onto the sample area floor. The refuse

bags were then manually split and the contents spread evenly across the designated sample area to a depth of 20-30 cm.

2.2. Imaging of waste sample

A total of 30 unique sections of the evenly spread waste sample were segregated using a 1 m² quadrat as shown in Fig. 1, with each section captured from directly above using a standard 12 megapixel digital camera. The images, representing 900 m² or approximately 270 m³, were then transferred onto a PC off-site for analysis with specialist software (Erdas Imagine v9.3).

>>>>>>>>>>>>>Please insert Figure 1.<<<<<<<<<<<

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>Please insert Figure 2.<<<<<<<<<<<

The images were processed by sub-setting/cropping the quadrat area, geometrically correcting to produce a square of equal, and defined, dimensions. A dot-grid (11 x 11) was then placed over the images (Fig. 2), and the number of dots covering each waste component was counted digitally.

2.3. Hand sorting

From the evenly spread sample material 15 sub-samples were taken, after imaging, to ensure a representative sample (European Committee for Standardisation, 2005) for hand sorting. The waste was sorted into categories including paper, card, dense

plastics, film plastics, metals, wood etc. Each of the categories were then weighed and recorded, and the results reported as a percentage of the total mass.

The individual components of a fixed volume (30 litres) were weighed to determine the density (g/cm^3) of each component, where suitable literature values were not available, which was used to assist in the image analysis process.

3. Results and discussion

The compositional results of the physical and image sorting are shown in Table 1.

[illegible]

As shown in Table 1 the composition shown by physical sorting and from image analysis are different. Conversely there is strong evidence that two sets of data are not equal ($p < 0.01$, two tailed t -test). However, despite this, there is a strong correlation between the two datasets with correlation (r), with the lowest correlation being 0.55. The significance of these correlations are $p < 0.005$; and $p < 0.05$ for the weakest correlation ($r = 0.55$).

The physical composition of the wastes analysed indicate, on average, a higher quantity of plastics than in previous studies (Burnley et al., 2007; SLR Consulting, 2007). In the UK the proportion of plastics, paper and card in mixed residual C&I wastes are generally higher than in municipal solid waste (MSW). This is largely due to recycling targets applied to MSW, but not to C&I (Defra, 2007). The samples included within this study appear to be typical of a mixed residual C&I waste from the UK. There is very

1 limited information regarding C&I waste in the UK, despite being significantly higher in
2 volumes generated than MSW (Burnley, 2007a; Burnley et al., 2007; Jacobs Engineering
3 Ltd, 2010).

4 The differences between the determined mass of waste components can be
5 attributed to the use of density values for each of the materials. It is evident from the
6 table that paper and light plastics (i.e. film packaging) are over-estimated by the image
7 analysis method. These are the lightweight fractions of waste, relative to other
8 components and so the use of a density of each material is limited by the effect of sample
9 overlap and spreading. For example 2-3 sheets of paper could cover a large, whereas 2-3
10 compacted sheets of paper will cover a relatively small area; as a result the image
11 analysis technique evidently over-estimates the paper content due to this limitation. As a
12 result of these observations it is necessary to investigate alternative methods of relating a
13 2 dimensional image to a mass unit for a specific component. Previous studies have
14 investigated methods of calculating mass from a 2D image such as Banta *et al* (2003).
15 However this study focused (Banta et al., 2003) on the characterisation of limestone
16 particles; mixed waste materials are much more heterogeneous.

17 The results have highlighted that this technique could potentially be used in large-
18 scale waste composition studies, such as those frequently undertaken by Local
19 Authorities in the UK (Burnley, 2007a; Parfitt, 2002; Resource Futures, 2009). Such
20 technique would mean that the time involved in processing the waste would be
21 significantly reduced; the images could be processed offsite, thus providing a digital
22 record of the samples collected. Work is currently ongoing to enhance the accuracy of
23 the technique, specifically with regards to the density conversion for each of the

materials; determining the maximum waste layer depth and improving the timescale involved with processing the images. Further work aims to automate the technique as far as practically possible, resulting in a powerful tool for assessing waste composition and aiding decisions regarding adaptation of waste treatment processes to allow for changes in waste composition.

4. Conclusions

This paper has presented early findings of ongoing research. The image analysis technique has shown early potential that it could be a suitable methodology for assessing the composition of mixed waste materials, however further work is required to reduce limitations of the method. Research is currently ongoing at Cranfield University to improve the accuracy of the process and to investigate other potential applications within the waste industry.

References

- Banta, L., Cheng, K., Zaniwski, J., 2003. Estimation of limestone particle mass from 2D images. *Powder Technol.* 132, 184-189.
- Blomberg, J., Persson, B., 2004. Plastic deformation in small clear pieces of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) during densification with the CaLignum process. *Journal of Wood Science* 50, 307-314.
- Burnley, S.J., 2007a. A review of municipal solid waste composition in the United Kingdom. *Waste Management* 27, 1274-1285.
- Burnley, S.J., 2007b. The use of chemical composition data in waste management planning - A case study. *Waste Management* 27, 327-336.
- Burnley, S.J., Ellis, J.C., Flowerdew, R., Poll, A.J., Prosser, H., 2007. Assessing the composition of municipal solid waste in Wales. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 49, 264-283.
- Council of the European Union, 1999. Directive 1999/31/EC on the Landfill of Waste. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L 182, 1-19.
- Council of the European Union, 2000. Directive 2000/76/EC on the Incineration of Waste. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L 332, 91-111.
- Council of the European Union, 2008. Directive 2008/98/EC on the Waste and Repealing Certain Directives. *Official Journal of the European Communities* L 312/3.

Defra. 2007. Waste strategy for England 2007, from
<http://www.defra.gov.uk/environment/waste/strategy/strategy07/documents/waste07-strategy.pdf>,
Retrieved 4 November 2011

Entec UK Ltd, Eunomia Research and Consulting Ltd. 2004. Waste composition analysis: guidance for local authorities, Defra,

European Committee for Standardisation, 2005. CEN 14899:2005, Characterization of waste- Sampling of waste materials.

Foster, M.S., Harrold, C., Hardin, D.D., 1991. Point vs. photo quadrat estimates of the cover of sessile marine organisms. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 146, 193-203.

Friends of the Earth. 2008. Sorting Residual Waste: A guide for councils to save money and help the environment by cutting back on residual waste, Earth, F.o.t., from
http://www.foe.co.uk/resource/briefings/residual_waste.pdf, Retrieved 30.11.2010

Gray, M.J., Foster, M.A., Peña Peniche, L.A., 2009. New technology for estimating seed production of moist-soil plants. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73, 1229-1232.

Grosso, M., Motta, A., Rigamonti, L., 2010. Efficiency of energy recovery from waste incineration, in the light of the new Waste Framework Directive. *Waste Management* 30, 1238-1243.

Jacobs Engineering Ltd. 2010. Commercial and Industrial Waste Survey 2009, Defra, from
<http://www.defra.gov.uk/evidence/statistics/environment/waste/documents/commercial-industrial-waste101216.pdf>, Retrieved 29th March 2011

Nowak, D.J., Rowntree, R.A., McPherson, E.G., Sisinni, S.M., Kerkmann, E.R., Stevens, J.C., 1996. Measuring and analyzing urban tree cover. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 36, 49-57.

Paine, D.P., Kiser, J.D., 2003. *Aerial Photography and Image Interpretation*, 2nd ed. Wiley and Sons Inc., Jersey.

Parfitt, J., 2002. Analysis of household waste composition and factors driving waste increases. WRAP.

Parfitt, J.P., Flowerdew, R., 1997. Methodological problems in the generation of household waste statistics: An analysis of the United Kingdom's National Household Waste Analysis Programme. *Applied Geography* 17, 231-244.

Resource Futures. 2009. Municipal waste composition: a review of municipal waste component analyses,

SLR Consulting. 2007. Determination of the Biodegradability of Mixed Industrial and Commercial Waste Landfilled in Wales, Agency, E.,

Wagland, S.T., Kilgallon, P., Coveney, R., Garg, A., Smith, R., Longhurst, P.J., Pollard, S.J.T., Simms, N.J., 2011. Comparison of coal/solid recovered fuel (SRF) with coal/refuse derived fuel (RDF) in a fluidised bed reactor. *Waste Management* In Press.

1 **Table 1. Composition data of waste materials from physical and image sorting.**

2

Physical Analysis							
	Site A1	Site A2	Site B1	Site B2	Site C1	Site C2	Mean
Paper	50.3	24.7	40.3	24.5	13.8	23.0	25.3
Cardboard	20.0	10.4	27.7	15.9	15.4	19.8	17.8
Light Plastics	1.4	28.5	14.2	9.2	8.3	9.4	13.9
Dense Plastics	12.3	9.2	12.7	7.9	3.9	9.4	8.6
Glass	3.5	0.3	0.0	4.3	12.7	0.4	3.5
Organics	4.9	12.5	3.9	20.7	32.0	11.9	16.2
Metals	3.4	13.5	1.3	6.1	0.1	11.9	6.6
Wood	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.2	6.8	4.0
Textile	4.3	0.0	0.0	8.8	0.1	5.4	2.9
WEEE	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	1.8	0.4
Inert	0.0	0.6	0.0	2.6	0.6	0.4	0.8
Image Analysis							
Paper	75.9	39.4	58.8	30.6	31.5	40.9	40.2
Cardboard	11.5	19.4	22.6	12.2	18.9	17.9	18.2
Light Plastics	8.0	31.2	18.2	23.9	29.1	20.1	24.5
Dense Plastics	9.2	5.8	3.9	7.1	2.8	11.3	6.2
Glass	0.1	0.3	0.1	1.4	1.6	0.0	0.7
Organics	0.2	5.8	1.1	12.1	19.7	12.8	10.3
Metals	2.3	2.0	1.1	2.6	2.2	1.7	1.9
Wood	0.7	1.6	0.0	0.6	1.4	4.1	1.5
Textile	0.9	2.7	0.6	9.3	0.8	1.2	2.9
WEEE	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.1	1.0	0.3
Inert	0.0	1.2	2.3	8.4	0.0	0.4	2.5
Correlation [<i>r</i>]	0.96	0.87	0.94	0.77	0.55	0.84	0.91

3

4

- 1 **Figure 1. Placement of quadrat on mixed waste sample.**



- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

- 1 **Figure 2. Processed image with completed dot-grid analysis.**



- 2



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Development of an image-based analysis method to determine the physical composition of a mixed waste material

Wagland, Stuart T.; Veltre, F.; Longhurst, Philip J.

2012-02-01

Elsevier

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.09.019>

S.T. Wagland, F. Veltre, P.J. Longhurst, Development of an image-based analysis method to determine the physical composition of a mixed waste material, Waste Management, Volume 32, Issue 2, February 2012, pp. 245–248