

STUDY OF BIOACTIVE COMPOUND CONTENT IN DIFFERENT ONION SECTIONS

Vanesa Benítez^{a*}, Esperanza Mollá^a, M^a Angeles Martín-Cabrejas^a, Francisco Javier López-Andréu^a, Katherine Downes^b, Leon A. Terry^b, Rosa María Esteban^a.

^a Departamento de Química Agrícola, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain.

^b Plant Science Laboratory, Cranfield University, Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: 0034 497 4864

E-mail address: vanesa.benitez@uam.es

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The food industry produces a large amount of onion waste, making it necessary to search for possible ways for their utilization. One way could be to use these ‘waste’ onions as a new and natural source of high-value functional ingredients, due to the presence of bioactive compounds in onion, which present health benefits. The aim of this work was to provide information on the onion bulb (cv. Recas) and its sections in order to evaluate its potential use as a functional ingredient rich in fructooligosaccharides (FOS), flavonoids and alk(en)yl cystein sulfoxides (ACSOs), as well as good antioxidant activity.

RESULTS: The results showed that onion bulbs cv. Recas presented a moderate content of fructans, similar to other Spanish onion varieties, and these compounds were mainly found in the inner fleshy scales. Low ACSO content indicated that cv. Recas is a mildly pungent variety with the highest concentrations also being found in the inner fleshy

scales. However, cv. Recas presented a high level of flavonoids and therefore potential antioxidant activity, mainly in outer sections, like the brown skin.

CONCLUSION: In conclusion, bioactive compounds are distributed throughout the bulb, and therefore, wastes could be used to generate functional ingredients with important potential health promoting properties.

Keywords: ACSOs, fructans, fructooligosaccharides, flavonoids, onion, sections.

INTRODUCTION

Onions (*Allium cepa* L.) are the second most important horticultural crop worldwide, after tomatoes, with current annual production around 66 million tonnes (FAO, 2009). Over the past 10 years, onion production has increased by more than 25 %. Onion is a vegetable widely consumed in Europe, it is consumed uncooked in sandwiches and salads, but is also often cooked before eating. Moreover, an increase in demand for processed onions has led to an increase in waste production. Accordingly more than 500,000 tonnes of onion waste are produced annually in the European Union, mainly from Spain, UK and Holland (Waldron, 2001) and are increasing year on year. The main onion wastes include onion skins generated during industrial peeling, two outer fleshy scales and roots, and undersized, malformed, diseased or damaged bulbs. Due to the onions characteristic aroma, onion waste is not suitable for fodder in high concentrations (Schieber, 2001). Therefore onion waste could be used as a source of food ingredients, since it has been reported that onion is a potent cardiovascular and anticancer agent, with hypocholesterolemic, antithrombotic and antiplatelet activity, and antioxidant effects, besides the antiasthmatic and antibiotic effects (Moreno *et al*, 2006). At present, there is a considerable debate over the specific components responsible for

the health benefiting effects of onions. Two main groups of chemical compounds have been proposed: flavonoids and alk(en)yl cystein sulfoxides (ACSOs) (Mogren *et al.*, 2007).

Onion composition is variable and depends on cultivar, stage of maturation, environment, agronomic conditions, storage time and bulb section (Abayomi *et al.*, 2009; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2009; Downes *et al.*, 2010a). Water makes up the majority (80%–95%) of the fresh weight of onion. Up to 65 % or more of the dry weight may be in the form of non-structural carbohydrates which include glucose, fructose, sucrose and fructooligosaccharides (FOS) which are fructans of a low degree of polymerisation (Davis *et al.*, 2007). The main FOS in onion bulbs are kestose (GF2), nystose (GF3) and fructofuranosylnystose (GF4) (Jaime *et al.*, 2001; Vågen and Slimstad, 2008). Health benefits of these carbohydrates have been widely reported in the past few years (Roberfroid, 2001) and their prebiotic effect demonstrated in an acceptable number of studies (Playne *et al.* 2003).

Moreover, onion is one of the major sources of dietary flavonoids in many countries (Ly *et al.*, 2005). Two flavonoid subgroups are present in onion; anthocyanins, which impart a red/purple colour to some varieties and flavonols such as quercetin and its derivatives which may play a role in the production of yellow and brown compounds found in the skins of many other varieties (Downes *et al.* unpublished). In recent literature, quercetin 4'-glucoside and quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside are in most cases reported as the main onion flavonols of the flesh (Roldán-Marín *et al.*, 2009; Downes *et al.*, 2010a) whereas onion skins contain higher concentrations of quercetin aglycon (Downes *et al.*, 2009). Quercetin is known for its antioxidant and free radical scavenging power and its capability in protecting against cardiovascular disease (Bonaccorsi *et al.*, 2008).

Furthermore, quercetin exhibits anticancer, antiinflammatory and antiviral activity (Caridi *et al.*, 2007).

The ACSOs are the flavour and aroma precursors, which, when cleaved by the enzyme alliinase, generate the characteristic odour and taste of onion. Four ACSOs have been identified in *Allium*, and the flavour variation among species is due to differences in ACSO composition and concentration (Randle *et al.*, 1995). The three naturally occurring ACSOs in onion are trans-(+)-S-(1-propenyl)-l-cysteine sulfoxide (PECSO), which is normally found in the highest concentration and gives rise to the compound responsible for the lachrymatory effect, and (+)-S-methyl-l-cysteine sulfoxide (MCSO) and (+)-S-propyl-l-cysteine sulfoxide (PCSO), which are found in smaller amounts, or occasionally, in the case of PCSO, completely absent (Thomas and Parkin, 1994; Mallor & Thomas, 2008). *Allium* sulphur compounds have the ability to positively modify the antioxidant, apoptotic, inflammatory and cardiovascular systems in mammalian systems (Rose *et al.*, 2005).

The objective of this work was to determine the content of bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity in onion wastes emanating from industry and also in discarded whole onions in order to evaluate the potential use of onion waste as a source of bioactive compounds for its addition in a wide range of foodstuffs. Such information may be useful to food technologists for the potential exploitation of onion industry waste which could be used as functional food ingredients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

Onions cv. Recas were supplied by a Spanish onion producing industry (CEBACAT, Catalonia, Spain). Cv. Recas is a Valencia late cycle and long-day variety, which is

yellow, firm, with high density, and good storage capacity. The samples analyzed were not marketable onions, due to sprouting, damage in the outer scales, lost peel or below commercially acceptable size (< 45mm). From the 20 kg received, three batches of 10 onions were taken randomly. Onions were cut to obtain different sections similar to those generated in industrial peeling: top-bottom (~ 5-10 mm sliced off the top and bottom ends of the onion); brown dry outer skin; outer two fleshy scales and the remaining inner fleshy scales. In addition, the whole onion including all tissue types was analysed. The separated sections and whole onion were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen after cutting and stored at -40 °C. Subsequently, samples were freeze-dried, milled and sieved (0.5 mm). All analysis was carried out in triplicate.

Dry Matter

Dry matter content was evaluated by drying samples to a constant weight at 55 °C in a vacuum oven

Non-structural carbohydrates extraction and determination

Non-structural carbohydrates were extracted according to Jaime *et al.* (2001). Freeze-dried samples (1 g ± 0.1 mg) was homogenized in 50 mL of 70 % (v/v) ethanol and immediately heated at 100 °C for 10 min. Subsequently, the mixture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min and the supernatant decanted. The residue was extracted four extra times. All supernatants were pooled and vacuum evaporated at 30°C until dry. The concentrated sugars were redissolved in 50 mL of deionised water, and the solution stored at -20 °C until further determination of soluble carbohydrates. An extract aliquot was filtered by Sep-Pak cartridge and Millex HV13 filter (0.45 µm, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA).

Fructose, glucose, sucrose and FOS were identified and quantified in the extract using Beckman Coulter LC125 HPLC system (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) coupled to

Beckman 156 refractive index detector. The injection volume was 100 μ L and the separation occurred on an Aminex HPX-42C column (cationic ion exchanger, 0.78 x 30 m, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). The column temperature was maintained at 85 $^{\circ}$ C and deionised water was used as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹. The data were presented in System Gold 8.0 software. Appropriate dilutions of a solutions containing glucose, fructose and sucrose (Sigma, St Louis, MO) and 1-F-fructofuranosyl-nystose, nystose and kestose (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.,, Osaka, Japan) were used as calibration standards.

Total fructans

Total fructans concentration in freeze-dried samples was measured using a fructan assay kit (Megazyme, Co. Wicklow, Republic of Ireland) according to the manufacturer's instructions (AOAC method 999.03, AACC method 32.32.) (Chope et al., 2006).

Sulphur content

Total sulphur content was determined using an elemental analyzer LECO CHNS-932 (LECO, S.L. St. Joseph, Michigan, USA). The microanalysis was based on sample total oxidation through an instantaneous and complete combustion which converts the sample into its combustion products (CO₂, H₂O, N₂ and SO₂).

S-alk(en)yl-L-cysteine sulfoxides (ACSOs) were determined according to Mallor and Thomas (2008) with slight modifications. For ACSO extraction, 10 mg of freeze-dried sample was added to 1 mL of 12:5:3 (v/v/v) methanol: chloroform: water and incubated overnight at -20 $^{\circ}$ C. A 700 μ L sample of the extract was transferred to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube, to which 385 μ L of water and 315 μ L of chloroform was added. After mixing, the phases were separated by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 30 s at room temperature, and 790 μ L of the upper phase was collected into an Eppendorf tube and

then freeze-dried. This extract was resuspended in 600 μL of 0.03M HCl and filtered through a 0.2 μm filter.

HPLC analysis was carried out using an Agilent 1200 HPLC system (Agilent, Berks., UK) coupled to Agilent 1200 DA G1315B/G1365B photodiode array detector. The injection volume was 15 μL and the separation occurred on a ZORBAX eclipse XDB-C18 column (4.6 mm x 250 mm, 5 μm) with an Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB guard column, 1.0 mm $\times$ 17 mm (Part no. 5185-5921) at 25°C. The mobile phase was 0.03 M HCl degassed by sonication and run at 0.6 mL min⁻¹. The data was presented in Agilent ChemStation Rev. B.02.01 software and MCSO and PCSO were calibrated against authentic standards and PECSO calibrated against allyl-cysteine-sulphoxide.

Phenolic Compounds Extraction

Phenolic compounds were extracted according to Downes *et al.* (2009) with slight modifications. Freeze-dried samples were weighed (150 mg $\pm$ 0.5) and dissolved in 3 mL of 70:29.5:0.5 (v/v/v) methanol (analytical grade): water (Milli Q): HCl (analytical grade). After mixing well, vials were placed in a shaking water bath at 35 °C for 90 min; samples had to be vortex every 15 min during the extraction to mix. When the samples were cooled, they were filtered using a 0.2 μm filter. Extracts were stored in a freezer at -20 °C until further analysis. This extracts were used to determine total phenolics, total flavonoids, total antioxidant capacity and flavonols by HPLC

Total phenolics, total flavonoids and total antioxidant capacity absorbance assays

Total phenols and total antioxidant capacity were measured according to Terry *et al.* (2007) and total flavonoids were determined according to Downes *et al.*, (2010a)

Flavonol Determination by HPLC

Flavonols were determined according to Downes *et al.* (2010a) with slight modifications. Extracts were analysed using an Agilent 1200 series HPLC system

(Agilent, Berks., UK). Flavonols were separated on a ZORBAX eclipse XDB-C18 column, 4.6mm x 150 mm, 5µm particle size (Part no. 993967-902), with an Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse XDB guard column, 1.0 mm×17 mm (Part no. 5185-5921). The mobile phase consisted of HPLC grade water with 0.5 g L⁻¹ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (A) and acetonitrile with 0.5 g L⁻¹ TFA (B). The gradient involved a linear increase/decrease in the amount of solvent B in A (%B): 0-6min, 5-25%; 6-14 min, 25-85%; 14-15 min, 85-5%. The flow rate was 0.8 mL min⁻¹. Samples (10 µL) were injected and the separation took place at 30°C. The flavonols eluted were detected with an Agilent 1200 DA G1315B/G1365B photodiode array at a wavelength of 370 nm. The data was presented in Agilent ChemStation Rev. B.02.01 software and quercetin and quercetin glucoside concentrations were calculated against authentic calibration standards (quercetin 3-glucoside, quercetin 4-glucoside, quercetin 3,4-diglucoside and quercetin; PlantChem, Sandnes, Norway), while for isorhamnetin glucosides, the equivalent quercetin glucoside standards were used.

Statistical analysis.

Mean comparison was performed using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) (Bender, 1989). Differences were considered to be significant at $P \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dry matter

Bulb dry matter (DM) content is an important quality parameter for the onion industry as it is related to other quality attributes, such as pungency, storage life, fructans and firmness. DM values of whole onion and onion sections are presented in Table 1. In agreement with Sinclair *et al* (1995), cv. Recas could be labelled as “fresh market” with regard to its DM content (88 g kg⁻¹). Onion sections reflected significant differences in

their dry matter contents; an increase was observed from inner tissues towards outer tissues. Thus, the fleshy tissues formed by inner scales and outer fleshy scales showed the smallest levels of dry matter, around 7%, whereas brown skin had the highest percentage around 50%. Dry weight of red and brown onion cvs. has found to be as high as 80% (Downes et al., 2009).

Non structural carbohydrates

The distribution of fructans and soluble sugars in Recas whole onion was studied (Table 2) Total non-structural carbohydrate (NSC) in whole onion was lower than that expected, generally, NSCs constitute a remarkably high proportion (60-80%) of the dry weight of onion bulbs (Rutherford and Whittle, 1982).. The NSCs consist of glucose, fructose, sucrose and low molecular weight fructans, in agreement with other authors (Jaime et al., 2001; Chope et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2007). The main NSC component was glucose and the minor component of NSCs was fructans. Cv. Recas showed low fructan content and high free fructose levels; these results were similar to others varieties such as cv Grano de Oro or cv SS1 (Jaime et al., 2001; Chope et al. 2007)), although they are different to other variety results, since fructans are cultivar dependent. The low fructan content found in cv. Recas could be related to its low dry matter content, since low dry matter onions often have little fructans and proportionally larger amounts of simple sugars, mainly glucose. Therefore, the NSC profile could be used to identify high or low dry matter onion varieties, (Kahane et al., 2001; Chope et al., 2006). As well as being carbohydrate reserves, fructans are hydrolyzed to fructose to facilitate osmo-regulation as the bulb takes up water and expands during bulb development (Darbyshire and Henry, 1978; Jaime et al., 2001).

The NSC content of different onion sections were also analysed (Table 2). The NSC content in brown skin was not analyzed due to the small quantities found in previous

studies (Downes et al, 2009), which were not enough to be used as a fructan source (Jaime *et al.*, 2000). The highest concentration of NSCs and fructans were found in the inner scales, with a NSC profile very similar to the whole onion, since this section is the major contributor to the total weight of the bulb. However, there were differences between inner scales and the two outer scales with regard to NSC content. The two outer scales contained lower concentration of NSC components than inner, with sucrose and total fructans being the components present in lower proportions, whereas fructose and glucose contributed more to NSC content in the outer two scales than in inner. Furthermore, there is an increasing gradient of sucrose from the outer to the inner fleshy scales according to the results of Jaime *et al.* (2000) and a different spatial distribution of glucose within the bulb has been found according to Abayomi and Terry (2009). The glucose concentrations found herein were in the range of the low pungency onion cv. SS1 prior to storage. Onions cv. SS1 contained the highest concentrations of glucose in the inner scales (*ca.* 255 mg g⁻¹ DW) and slightly lower concentrations in the outer second and third scale (*ca.* 225 mg g⁻¹ DW) (Abayomi & Terry, 2009). Vertical spatial variation was also investigated in cv. SS1 with the top and bottom sections containing *ca.* 220 mg g⁻¹ DW and the middle section *ca.* 260 mg g⁻¹ DW. The discrepancy between these results and the results herein (Table 2) are probably due to several causes such as different cultivar, different extraction procedures and the different proportions of top and bottom sections sampled in each study. Only 5-10 mm were taken in this study but the top and bottom third of cv. SS1 was sampled after removal of the stem and base plate (Abayomi & Terry, 2009). The NSC content in the top-bottom section was lower than in fleshy sections. Both free sugars and total fructans showed a drastic decrease in the top-bottom section with respect to fleshy scales. Moreover, top-bottom NSC profile was different to that found

in fleshy scales, since fructose constituted the main sugar and sucrose contribution was higher than in fleshy scales.

A clear predominance of reducing sugars (fructose and glucose) against sucrose was observed in every section, with the two outer scales being the section that showed the highest ratio. In agreement, Salama *et al.* (1990) indicated higher levels of fructose and glucose in the outer leaves of onion bulbs. On the other hand, a positive correlation was observed between total fructan content and sucrose levels.

The FOS concentrations in whole onion and its sections are shown in Table 3. The content of these FOS decreased as the degree of polymerisation increased, with trisaccharides being the main component. The total FOS content of cv. Recas, as the sum of kestose, nystose and 1-F-nystose, was lower than the total FOS found in other varieties, but higher than that of cv. Grano de oro (Jaime *et al.*, 2001). Total FOS accounted for 73 % of total fructans in whole onion; therefore, fructans in this variety are composed mainly of FOS of low polymerization (DP3-DP5). According to the literature, if the NSC content increases, the fructan degree of polymerisation also increases (Jaime *et al.*, 2000).

The FOS analysis of different onion sections showed that FOS were mainly located in the inner part of the onion and in the two outer scales. Kestose was the main FOS component in every section agreeing with Downes *et al.* (2010b). FOS contribution to total fructans was among 73-87 % and. it was observed that the higher the fructan content, the greater its degree of polymerisation

Sulphur content.

The sulphur (S) and flavour precursors (ACSOs) content was studied in onion and its sections (Table 4). The highest S level was found in the inner scales and the lowest S level was found in brown skin. Sulphur is incorporated into onion flavour precursors

(ACSOs) among other compounds. However, there was no correlation between total S content and flavour precursor content in agreement with other authors that showed that sulphur accumulation was poorly correlated with pungency in several onion cultivars (Randle *et al.*, 1999; Chope *et al.*, 2009). The S content in onion flavour precursors (total ACSOs) only represented 19 % of total S in onion and among 15-35 % in onion sections. The percentage of S-ACSOs in total S content suffered a decrease from inner to outer sections, although flavour precursors in brown skin accounted for almost 30 % of total S content.

In this study, only two ACSOs were detected, the (+)-S-methyl-l-cysteine sulphoxide (MCSO) and trans-(+)-S-(1-propenyl)-l-cysteine sulphoxide (1-PECSO). Propyl cysteine sulphoxide (PCSO) was not found in this variety. This is in agreement with the results found by other authors (Thomas and Parkin 1994; Yoo and Pike (1998); Bacon *et al.* 1999). The total flavour precursor content of whole onion was lower than the results found in other studies (Thomas and Parkin, 1994; Yoo and Pike, 1998). ACSO content showed good correlation with fructans and dry weight, generally low dry weight onions have low ACSO and fructan content (Chope *et al.*, 2006).

On a dry weight basis, flavour precursor distribution within the bulb showed a decreasing gradient of concentration from inner to outer sections (Table 4), with the inner scales containing the highest content of ACSOs, The lowest level of precursors occurred in the brown skin suggesting that this material is of limited value as a source of flavour compounds.. This distribution is in agreement with Randle (1997), who indicates that there is a flavour gradient within the bulb. However, the distribution found in this study was in disagreement with Bacon *et al.* (1999), since they found in outer fleshy scales the highest content (on dry basis) of flavour precursors in three different varieties.

PECSO, a precursor of the lachrymatory factor, is the main flavour precursor in the whole bulb and its sections, accounting for 52-71 % of total precursors, these contributions were lower than those found in other varieties (Bacon *et al.*, 1999). A similar level was found in cv. Southport white glove (Randle *et al.*, 1995), although it was lower than the majority of other varieties (Yoo and Pike, 1998). The low levels and contribution of PECSO found in cv. Recas would indicate that it is a mildly pungent variety, since PECSO content is related to the onion pungency (Yoo and Pike, 1998). MCSO content was similar to the results found in other cultivars (Yoo and Pike, 1998). In general, PECSO is the main component in onion varieties accounting 90 % of onion flavour precursors (Thomas and Parkin, 1994; Yoo and Pike, 1998), even though there are some cases in which MCSO was the main component of onion flavour (Randle *et al.*, 1995). In cv. Recas, both components are in similar proportions in the whole bulb. The ratio of the various flavour precursors differs among cultivars and this ratio give rise to different taste and aroma (Randle,1997). When the bulb is cut the enzyme allinase converts ASCOs into volatile compounds such as pyruvate, 1-propenylsulfenic acid and ammonia. Abayomi & Terry (2009) measured the spatial distribution of pyruvate in cv. SS1. Prior to storage no difference in the spatial distribution of pyruvate in SS1 was recorded however after just 23 days storage (4°C in controlled atmosphere) the grouped inner scales contained double the concentration of pyruvate compared with the outer scales. This same trend was found herein for total S, MCSO, PECSO and total ACSO content suggesting pyruvate concentrations could be directly related to sulphur and ACSO content.

Phenolic content

Total phenolic, flavonoid and flavonol contents and antioxidant activity have been studied in whole onion and onion sections (Table 5) Total flavonols are the sum of individual flavonols obtained by HPLC shown in Table 6.

Total phenolic data reported in previous studies for onion bulb (Yang *et al.*, 2004; Santas *et al.*, 2008) showed lower values than those obtained in this work. Regarding the onion sections, a decrease was observed from outer to inner sections, with brown skin being the section with the highest level of phenolics. This trend was found previously in several varieties, although the present study showed higher level of phenolic compounds in onion sections (Prakash *et al.*, 2007), Flavonoids showed the same trend found in total phenolics. This distribution was also observed by Patil and Pike (1995) and Gennaro *et al.* (2002). Whole onion flavonoids in this assay were higher than flavonoids in other varieties (Yang *et al.* 2004). Flavonoids were the major group of phenolic compounds, accounting for a high percentage of total phenolics in onion sections. These results were in agreement with other authors (Yang *et al.*, 2004; Santas *et al.*, 2008).

With regard to total flavonols, whole onion content was higher than those reported on different onion varieties by Bonaccorsi *et al.* (2008). In relation to sections, the two outer scales showed the highest level of flavonols, followed by top-bottom, with the brown skin and inner fleshy scales containing the lowest amount of these compounds. Flavonols were the main component of flavonoids in fleshy leaves, however, in top-bottom and, especially, in brown skin flavonols represented a small percentage of total flavonoids. The remaining flavonoids in these sections could be anthocyanins (Downes *et al.*, 2009).

With regard to individual flavonols measured using HPLC, six different compounds were detected (Table 6) an aglycone; quercetin, and five flavonol glucosides The main

flavonols found in this study were quercetin 4'-glucoside and quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside and the minor conjugates were isorhamnetin glucosides and quercetin 3'-glucoside, these results were higher than in other varieties (Caridi *et al.* 2007). Main flavonols accounted for over 80 % of total flavonols in whole onion, fleshy sections and top-bottom, in agreement with the findings of Price and Rhodes (1997) and Rohn *et al.* (2007). However, in other studies, these conjugates accounted for about 88 % or 90 % (Lombard *et al.*, 2005; Bonaccorsi *et al.*, 2008). The main flavonol in whole onion was quercetin 4'-glucoside, as well as in the top-bottom section and brown skin, whereas the main flavonol in fleshy scales was the quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside. Our findings agreed with Tsushida and Suzuki (1995) who reported that quercetin 4'-glucoside represented the main quercetin glucoside in onions. The diglucoside:monoglucoside ratio was different depending on the section studied; Lombard *et al.* (2005) previously reported a similar ratio for whole onion. However, other authors obtained a higher diglucoside content than monoglucoside (Price and Rhodes, 1997; Downes *et al.*, 2010a). Discrepancies among the studies might be related either to cultivar differences or to sample preparation prior to processing (Lombard *et al.* 2005).

Free quercetin was found mainly in outer sections, such as brown skin and top-bottom. In the former this aglycone is the second major flavonol. The origin of higher quercetin content in brown skin could be due to the hydrolysis of quercetin glucosides during peel formation which suggests that quercetin could be involved in peel brown compounds formation (Patil and Pike, 1995). The presence of free quercetin in the edible part of raw onion was negligible, as other authors reported in previous studies.

Onion phenolic and sulphur compounds are among the onion bioactive compounds contributing to onion antioxidant properties (Benkeblia, 2005). Antioxidant capacity was determined in whole onion and each section (Table 5). Antioxidant capacity of

whole onion in the present study was higher than the antioxidant capacity observed by Santas *et al.* (2008) in several onion varieties. Moreover, the results showed that antioxidant capacity decreased from the outer to inner part of the onion. Thus, the best antioxidant activity corresponded to the brown skin, in agreement with Nuutila *et al.* (2003). This trend was also found by other authors (Ly *et al.* 2005; Prakash *et al.* 2007) in several onion varieties.

The high correlations between FRAP values and total phenolic content and total flavonoids ($r = 0.98$ and $r = 0.99$, respectively) confirm that flavonoids are the main compounds responsible for the antioxidant activity in onions sections according with other authors (Nuutila *et al.*, 2003; Santas *et al.*, 2008). Moreover, a high correlation between quercetin and FRAP values ($r = 0.99$) has been found, which indicates that quercetin content influences onion antioxidant activity. However, there was not a good correlation between quercetin or isorhamnetin glucosides and FRAP values, neither between total flavonols and FRAP values. Flavonols in the aglycone form are more active than when glycosylated, due to the presence of free hydroxyl groups (Rohn *et al.*, 2007; Santas *et al.*, 2008). In consequence, brown skin and the top and bottom sections showed better antioxidant capacity than inner fleshy leaves. Results obtained showed that onion sections could be used as a potential source of bioactive compounds, with good antioxidant capacity.

CONCLUSION

In general, variations in the distribution of bioactive compounds in different onion sections were found in this study. Fructans and ACSOs were mainly located in inner fleshy scales and their content was moderate, although similar to some other varieties. On the other hand, flavonoids were located mainly in brown skin, but all the sections

and whole onion showed high concentrations and higher concentrations than other varieties. Furthermore, onions are a good source of antioxidants with the highest capacity found in the outer sections. Therefore, onion waste could be used to produce functional ingredients with important health benefiting properties, due to the presence of bioactive compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by funding from Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (AGL2003-09138-C04-01). We thank CEBACAT (Asociación Catalana de Productores-Comercializadores de cebolla, Spain) for supplying the raw materials, SIDI (Servicio interdepartamental de investigación) for the sulphur content analysis. Vanesa Benítez would also like to thank Cranfield University for use of their facilities and Dr. Gemma Chope for her assistance. .

Literature cited

1. Abayomi LA, and Terry LA, Implications of spatial and temporal changes in concentration of pyruvate and glucose in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) bulbs during controlled atmosphere storage. *J Sci Food Agric* **89**: 683-687 (2009).
2. Bacon JR, Moates GK, NgA, Rhodes MJC, Smith AC and Waldron KW, Quantitative analysis of flavour precursors and pyruvate levels in different tissues and cultivars of onion (*Allium cepa*). *Food Chem* **64**: 257-261 (1999).
3. Bender FE, Douglass LW and Kramer A, Statistical Methods for food and agriculture. Food Products Press, New York, pp. 103-107 (1989).

- 420 4. Benkeblia N, Free-radical scavenging capacity and antioxidant properties of some
421 selected onions (*Allium cepa* L.) and garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) extracts. *Braz Arch*
422 *Biol Technol*, **48**: 753-759 (2005).
- 423 5. Bonaccorsi P, Caristi C, Gargiulli C and Leuzzi U, Flavonol glucosides in *Allium*
424 species: A comparative study by means of HPLC–DAD–ESI–MS–MS. *Food Chem*
425 **107**: 1668–1673 (2008).
- 426 6. Caridi DV, Trenerry C, Rochfort S, Duong S, Laughher D and Jones R, Profiling and
427 quantifying quercetin glucosides in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties using capillary
428 zone electrophoresis and high performance liquid chromatography. *Food Chem*
429 **105**: 691–699 (2007).
- 430 7. Chope, G.A., Terry, L.A. and White, P.J. Effect of controlled atmosphere storage on
431 abscisic acid concentration and other biochemical attributes of onion bulbs.
432 *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 39 (3), 233-242 (2006).
- 433 8. Chope, G.A., Terry, L.A. and White, P.J. The effect of the transition between
434 controlled atmosphere and regular atmosphere storage on bulbs of onion cultivars
435 SS1, Carlos and Renate. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 44, 228-239 (2007).
- 436 9. Chope, G. A. and Terry, L. A.. Use of canonical variate analysis to differentiate
437 onion cultivars by mineral content as measured by ICP-AES. *Food Chemistry* 115,
438 1108-1113 (2009)
- 439 10. Darbyshire B, Henry RJ, The distribution of fructans in onions. *New Phytol* **81**: 29-
440 34 (1978).
- 441 11. Davis F, Terry LA, Chope GA, and Faul CFJ, Effect of Extraction Procedure on
442 Measured Sugar Concentrations in Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Bulbs. *J Agric Food*
443 *Chem* **55**: 4299-4306 (2007).

- 444 12. Downes K, Chope GA, Terry LA, Effect of curing at different temperatures on
445 biochemical composition of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) skin from three freshly cured
446 and cold stored UK-grown onion cultivars. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **54**: 80–86
447 (2009).
- 448 13. Downes K, Chope GA, Terry LA, Postharvest application of ethylene and 1-
449 methylcyclopropene either before or after curing affects onion (*Allium cepa* L.) bulb
450 quality during long term cold storage. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **55**: 36-44. (2010a)
- 451 14. Downes K, Terry LA, A new acetonitrile-free mobile phase method for LC-ELSD
452 quantification of fructooligosaccharides in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Talanta* (**in**
453 **press**) (2010b)
- 454 15. Downes K, Chope GA, Terry LA, Chemometric profiling of onion (*Allium cepa* L.)
455 skin composition at different temperatures and after cold storage. *J Agric Food*
456 *Chem* (unpublished)
- 457 16. Gennaro L, Leonardi C, Esposito F, Salucci M, Maiani G, Quaglia G and Fogliano
458 V, Flavonoid and Carbohydrate Contents in Tropea Red Onions: Effects of
459 Homelike Peeling and Storage. *J Agric Food Chem* **50**: 1904-1910 (2002).
- 460 17. Jaime L, Martínez F, Martín-Cabrejas MA, Mollá E, López-Andréu FJ, Waldron
461 KW, Esteban RM, Study of total fructan and fructooligosaccharide content in
462 different onion tissues. *J Agric Food Chem* **81**, 177-182 (2000).
- 463 18. Jaime L, Martín-Cabrejas M, Mollá E, López-Andréu FJ and Esteban R, Effect of
464 storage on fructans and fructooligosaccharide of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *J Agric*
465 *Food Chem* **49**: 982-988 (2001).
- 466 19. Kahane R, Vialle-Guérin E, Boukema I, Bellamy DTC, Chamaux C and Kik C,
467 Changes in non-structural carbohydrate composition during bulbing in sweet and
468 high-solid onions in field experiments. *Environ Exp Botany* **45**: 73–83 (2001).

- 469 20. Lombard K, Peffley E, Geoffriau E, Thompson L and Herring A, Quercetin in
470 onion (*Allium cepa* L.) after heat-treatment simulating home preparation. *J Food*
471 *Comp Anal* **18**: 571–581 (2005).
- 472 21. Ly TN, Hazama C, Shimoyamada M, Ando H, Kato K and Yamauchi R,
473 Antioxidative compounds from the Outer Scales of Onion. *J Agric Food Chem* **53**:
474 8183-8189 (2005).
- 475 22. Mallor C, and Thomas B, Resource allocation and the origin of flavour precursors
476 in onion bulbs. *J Hortic Sci Biotech* **83**: 191–198 (2008)
- 477 23. Mogren LM, Olsson ME and Gertsson UE, Effects of cultivar, lifting time and
478 nitrogen fertiliser level on quercetin content in onion (*Allium cepa* L) at lifting. *J*
479 *Sci Food Agric* **87**: 470-476 (2007).
- 480 24. Moreno FJ, Corzo-Martínez M, Castillo del MD and Villamiel M, Changes in
481 antioxidant activity of dehydrated onion and garlic during storage. *Food Res Intern*
482 **39**: 89-897 (2006)
- 483 25. Nuutila AM, Puupponen-Pimia R, Aarni M, Oksman-Caldentey K-M, Comparison
484 of antioxidant activities of onion and garlic extracts by inhibition of lipid
485 peroxidation and radical scavenging activity. *Food Chem* **81**: 485–493 (2003).
- 486 26. Patil BS and Pike LM, Distribution of quercetin in different rings of various
487 coloured onions (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivars. *J Hortic Sci.* **70**: 643-650 (1995).
- 488 27. Playne MJ, Bennett LE and Smithers GW, Functional diary foods and ingredients.
489 *Aust. J. Diary Technol.* 58,242-264 (2003).
- 490 28. Prakash D, Singh BN and Upadhyay G, Antioxidant free radical scavenging
491 activities of fenol from onion (*Allium cepa*). *Food Chem* **102**: 1389-1393 (2007).

- 492 29. Price KR and Rhodes MJC, Analysis of the major flavonol glycosides present in
493 four varieties of onion (*Allium cepa*) and changes in composition resulting from
494 autolysis. *J Sci Food Agric* **74**: 331–339 (1997).
- 495 30. Randle WM, Lancaster JE, Shaw ML, Sutton KH, Hay RL and Bussard ML.
496 Quantifying Onion Flavour Compounds Responding to Sulfur Fertility Increases
497 Levels of Alk(en)yl Cysteine Sulfoxides and Biosynthetic Intermediates. *J Amer Sot*
498 *Hort Sci* **120**: 1075-1081 (1995).
- 499 31. Randle WM, Onion flavor chemistry and factors influencing flavor intensity, in
500 *Spices: flavor chemistry and antioxidant properties*, eds. by Risch SJ and Ho C,
501 American Chemical Society, Washington, DC pp. 41 (1997).
- 502 32. Randle, W. M., Kopsell, D. E., Kopsell, D. A., & Snyder, R. L.. Total sulphur and
503 sulphate accumulation in onion is affected by sulphur fertility. *Journal of Plant*
504 *Nutrition*, 22(1), 45–51 (1999).
- 505 33. Robertfroid MB. Prebiotics: preferential substrates for specific germs. *Amer J Clin*
506 *Nutr* **73**: 406 S- 9S (2001)
- 507 34. Rodríguez Galdón C, Tascón Rodríguez C, Rodríguez Rodríguez EM and Díaz
508 Romero C, Fructans and major compounds in onion cultivars (*Allium cepa*). *J Food*
509 *Comp Anal* **22**: 25–32 (2009).
- 510 35. Roldán-Marín E, Sánchez-Moreno C, Lloría R, de Ancos B, Cano MP, Onion high-
511 pressure processing: Flavonol content and antioxidant activity. *Food Sci Technol*
512 **42**: 835–841 (2009).
- 513 36. Ronh S, Buchner N, Driemel G, Rauser M and Kroh LW, Thermal Degradation of
514 Onion Quercetin Glucosides under Roasting Conditions. *J Agric Food Chem* **55**:
515 1568-1573 (2007).

- 516 37. Rose P, Whiteman M, Moore PK and Zhu LZ, Bioactive S-alk(en)yl cysteine
517 sulfoxide metabolites in the genus *Allium*: the chemistry of potential therapeutic
518 agents. *Nat Prod Rep* **22**: 351– 368 (2005).
- 519 38. Rutherford PP and Whittle R, The carbohydrate composition of onions during long-
520 term cold storage. *J Hortic Sci* **57**: 249-256 (1982).
- 521 39. Salama AM, Jicks JR and Nock JF, Sugar and organic acid changes in stored onion
522 bulbs treated with maleic hydrazide. *HortScience* **25**: 1625-1628 (1990).
- 523 40. Santas J, Carbó R, Gordon MH and Almajano MP, Comparison of the antioxidant
524 activity of two Spanish onion varieties. *Food Chem* **107**: 1210-1216 (2008).
- 525 41. Schieber A, Stintzing FC, Carle R, By-products of plant food processing as a source
526 of functional compounds – recent developments. *Trends Food Sci Technol* **12**: 401-
527 413 (2001).
- 528 42. Sinclair PJ, Blakeney AB and Barlow EWR, Relationships between bulb dry matter
529 content, soluble solids concentration and non-structural carbohydrate composition
530 in the onion (*Allium cepa* L.) bulbs. *J Sci Food Agric* **69**: 203-209 (1995).
- 531 43. Terry, L.A., Chope, G.A., Bordonaba, J.G. Effect of water deficit irrigation and
532 inoculation with *Botrytis cinerea* on strawberry (*Fragaria×ananassa*) fruit quality. *J.*
533 *Agric. Food Chem.* **55**, 10812–10819 (2007).
- 534 44. Thomas DJ and Parkin KL, Quantification of Alk(en)yl-L-cysteine Sulfoxides and
535 Related Amino Acids in Alliums by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. *J*
536 *Agric Food Chem* **42**: 1632-1638 (1994).
- 537 45. Tsushida T and Suzuki M, Isolation of flavonoid-glycosides in onion and
538 identification by chemical synthesis of the glycosides. *Nipp Shok Kaga Kaishi* **42**:
539 100–108 (1995).

- 540 46. Waldron K, Useful ingredients from onion waste. *Food Sci Technol* 15: 38-41
541 (2001).
- 542 47. Yang J, Meyers KJ, Van Der Heide J and Liu RH, Varietal Differences in Phenolic
543 Content and Antioxidant and Antiproliferative Activities of Onions. *J Agric Food*
544 *Chem* 52: 6787-6793 (2004).
- 545 48. Yoo KS and Pike LM, Determination of flavour precursor compound S-alk(en)yl-L-
546 cysteine sulfoxides by an HPLC method and their distribution in *Allium* species. *Sci*
547 *Hortic* 75: 1-10 (1998)
- 548
- 549

Table 1. Dry matter (g kg⁻¹) in whole onion and onion sections of cv. Recas

	Brown skin	Top and Bottom	Outer scales	Inner scales	Whole onion
Dry matter	519± 18 ^e	132 ± 35 ^d	63 ± 3 ^a	69 ± 2 ^b	88 ± 7 ^c

Mean values followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT ($P<0.005$).

Table 2. Content of non-structural carbohydrates (mg g⁻¹ DW) in whole onion and onion sections

	Sucrose	Glucose	Fructose	Total Fructans	NSC
Whole onion	65 ± 3^c	199 ± 7^b	175 ± 5^b	53 ± 1^c	492^c
% NSC	13	40	36	11	100
Inner scales	65 ± 1^c	221 ± 4^d	202 ± 2^d	54 ± 2^c	542^d
% NSC	12	41	37	10	100
Outer scales	38 ± 1^b	210 ± 3^c	195 ± 3^c	26 ± 2^b	469^b
% NSC	8	45	42	5	100
Top-bottom	26 ± 1^a	39 ± 2^a	51 ± 4^a	8 ± 1^a	124^a
% NSC	21	31	41	6	100

NSC = sucrose + glucose + fructose + total fructans

Mean values within a column followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT ($P<0.005$).

Table 3. Content of fructooligosaccharides (mg g⁻¹ DW) in whole onion and onion sections

	1-F-Nystose (GF ₄)	Nystose (GF ₃)	Kestose (GF ₂)	Total FOS
Whole onion	2.4 ± 0.6^c	17.5 ± 1.5^c	18.6 ± 0.4^c	38.5^c
% total FOS	6	45	48	100
Inner scales	2.3 ± 0.0^c	17.9 ± 0.1^c	19.2 ± 0.5^c	39.4^c
% total FOS	6	45	49	100
Outer scales	0.9 ± 0.0^b	9.6 ± 0.0^b	12.1 ± 0.6^b	22.6^b
% total FOS	5	42	53	100
Top-bottom	0.5 ± 0.0^a	1.2 ± 0.1^a	4.7 ± 0.1^a	6.4^a
% total FOS	8	19	73	100

Mean values within a column followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT ($P < 0.005$).

565 **Table 4. Content of total Sulphur and ACSOs in whole onion and onion sections**

	Total S ($\mu\text{moles g}^{-1}$ DW)	Total ACSOs ($\mu\text{moles g}^{-1}$ DW)	Total S-ACSOs vs. total S (%)	PECSO (mg g^{-1} DW)	MCSO (mg g^{-1} DW)	Total ACSOs (mg g^{-1} DW)
Whole onion	121.9 ± 3.2^c	23.8^c	19.5	2.2 ± 0.2^b	2.0 ± 0.1^c	4.2^c
Inner scales	153.1 ± 5.1^e	54.2^e	35.4	6.0 ± 0.5^d	3.1 ± 0.2^d	9.1^e
Outer scales	100.2 ± 2.1^b	29.9^d	29.9	3.6 ± 0.1^c	1.4 ± 0.1^b	5.0^d
Top-bottom	143.8 ± 3.3^d	22.2^b	15.5	2.4 ± 0.1^b	1.3 ± 0.1^b	3.7^b
Brown Skin	15.6 ± 0.6^a	4.6^a	29.6	0.4 ± 0.0^a	0.3 ± 0.0^a	0.7^a

566 Mean values within a column followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT ($P < 0.005$).

567 **Table 5. Content of total phenols, flavonoids and flavonols, and antioxidant capacity in whole onion and onion sections**

	Total Phenols (mg GAE g ⁻¹ DW)	Total Flavonoids (mg QE. g ⁻¹ DW)	Total Flavonols (mg g ⁻¹ DW)	Antioxidant activity (μmoles Fe ²⁺ g ⁻¹ DW)
Whole onion	17.3 ± 1.3 ^b	10.3 ± 0.3 ^b	9.0 ± 1.4 ^c	83.5 ± 1.8 ^b
Inner scales	9.4 ± 0.6 ^a	7.0 ± 0.1 ^a	6.1 ± 0.2 ^a	28.7 ± 1.7 ^a
Outer scales	19.7 ± 1.6 ^b	19.5 ± 0.7 ^c	19.2 ± 1.4 ^e	105.1 ± 0.6 ^c
Top-Bottom	30.5 ± 2.0 ^c	25.9 ± 0.7 ^d	15.3 ± 1.4 ^d	156.1 ± 1.6 ^d
Brown skin	52.7 ± 0.9 ^d	43.1 ± 1.8 ^e	7.9 ± 0.4 ^b	227.8 ± 3.2 ^e

568 Mean values within a column followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT ($P < 0.005$).

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576 **Table 6. Content of quercetin and their glucosides (mg g⁻¹ DW) in whole onion and onion sections**

	Quercetin	Quercetin 3'-glucoside	Quercetin 4'-glucoside	Quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside	Isorhamnetin 3,4'-diglucoside	Isorhamnetin 4'-glucoside	Ratio Di:Mon ¹
Whole onion	0.91 ± 0.04 ^c	0.16 ± 0.03 ^b	4.02 ± 0.53 ^b	3.1 ± 0.68 ^b	0.12 ± 0.02 ^a	0.53 ± 0.07 ^c	1:1.3
Inner scales	0.02 ± 0.00 ^a	0.10 ± 0.00 ^a	2.00 ± 0.07 ^a	3.70 ± 0.11 ^b	0.12 ± 0.00 ^a	0.25 ± 0.00 ^a	1.8:1
Outer scales	0.59 ± 0.04 ^b	0.42 ± 0.03 ^d	7.37 ± 0.53 ^d	9.49 ± 0.68 ^d	0.37 ± 0.02 ^c	1.03 ± 0.07 ^e	1.3:1
Top-Bottom	1.21 ± 0.09 ^d	0.40 ± 0.03 ^d	6.35 ± 0.60 ^c	5.90 ± 0.50 ^c	0.57 ± 0.04 ^d	0.86 ± 0.07 ^d	1:1.1
Brown skin	1.61 ± 0.02 ^e	0.31 ± 0.01 ^c	5.16 ± 0.34 ^c	0.30 ± 0.03 ^a	0.19 ± 0.01 ^b	0.32 ± 0.02 ^b	1:17

577 Mean values within a column followed by different superscript letter differ significantly when subjected to DMRT (*P*<0.005)

578 ¹Ratio Di:Mon, quercetin 3,4'-diglucoside:quercetin 4'-glucoside

579 .



DSPACE

<https://dspace.org/>

Characterization of industrial onion wastes (*Allium cepa* L.): dietary fibre and bioactive compounds.

Benítez, Vanesa; Mollá, Esperanza; Martín-Cabrejas, María A.; Aguilera, Yolanda; López-Andréu, Francisco J. ...

2011-03-31T00:00:00Z

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11130-011-0212-x>

Vanesa Benítez, Esperanza Mollá, María A. Martín-Cabrejas, Yolanda Aguilera, Francisco J. López-Andréu, Katherine Cools, Leon A. Terry, Rosa M. Esteban, Characterization of industrial onion wastes (*Allium cepa* L.): dietary fibre and bioactive compounds, *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, March 2011, Volume 66, Issue 1, Pages 48-57.